

the claim for reimbursement of the sum of EUR 41 833 — or any other such sum claimed — and to desist from any automatic deduction of that amount from the pension of Mr de Pretis Cagnodo, it having been confirmed and declared that Mrs Trampuz can in no way be criticised or censured regarding the calculation and payment of the costs of the hospital stay as claimed by the hospital where she was admitted, the illness which caused her to be hospitalised and the surgery which she underwent have been categorised as 'serious', and the length of time for which she was admitted regarded as inevitable and clinically correct;

— order the defendant to pay the costs.

---

**Action brought on 26 October 2010 — Schätzel v Commission**

**(Case F-109/10)**

(2011/C 13/86)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Michael Wolfgang Schätzel (Ransbach-Baumbach, Germany) (represented by: R. Oehmen, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission

**Subject-matter and description of the proceedings**

Annulment of the decision of the Commission refusing to pay the applicant a severance grant

**Form of order sought**

— Annul the decision of the European Commission of 8 April 2010 to refuse [the applicant a severance grant] and the decision rejecting the appeal of 30 July 2010, Appeal R/351/10 and order the Commission to pay him a severance grant in respect of his service from 1 March 2009 to 28 February 2010, equal to the actuarial value of his pension rights acquired during service in the Commission;

— Order the Commission to pay the costs.

---

**Action brought on 29 October 2010 — Couyoufa v Commission**

**(Case F-110/10)**

(2011/C 13/87)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Denise Couyoufa (Athens, Greece) (represented by: S. Pappas, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission

**Subject-matter and description of the proceedings**

Annulment of the defendant's decision rejecting the applicant's application for exemption from the mandatory staff rotation.

**Form of order sought**

— Declare that the decision of 31 July 2008 is vitiated by illegality;

— Annul the decision of 26 February 2010 rejecting Ms Couyoufa's application;

— Annul the decision rejecting her appeal against that decision;

— Order the European Commission to pay the costs.

---

**Action brought on 2 November 2010 — Trentea v FRA**

**(Case F-112/10)**

(2011/C 13/88)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Cornelia Trentea (Vienna, Austria) (represented by: L. Levi and M.Vandenbussche, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA)

**The subject matter and description of the proceedings**

First, annulment of the decision of the Authority Responsible for Concluding Contracts of Employment rejecting the Appellant's candidature for a post of administrative assistant in the procurement and finance fields and of the decision appointing another candidate. Second, compensation for material and non-material loss.

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— annul the Decision of the Authority Responsible for Concluding Contracts of Employment of 5 June 2010 rejecting the Appellant's candidature for post (ref. TAADMIN-AST4-2009) and the Decision appointing another candidate;

- If necessary, annul the Decision of 22 July 2010 rejecting the Appellant's complaint and of the Decision of 27 September 2010 rejecting the Appellant's Request for review and Completion to the Complaint;
  
- Order that the Defendant compensates the Appellant's material prejudice corresponding to the difference between her current salary and the AST4 salary, until retirement age, including all allocations and indemnities and compensation of pension rights;
  
- Order that the Defendant compensates the Appellant's moral prejudice evaluated ex aequo et bono at 10 000 Euro;

- order that the Defendant pays all costs.

—————  
**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal of 18 November 2010**  
**— Vereecken v Commission**

**(Case F-17/06) <sup>(1)</sup>**

(2011/C 13/89)

*Language of the case: French*

The President of the First Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

—————  
<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 96, 22.4.2006, p. 39.

---