Action brought on 22 October 2010 — Bömcke v EIB

(Case F-105/10)

(2011/C 30/127)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Eberhard Bömcke (Athus, Belgium) (represented by: D. Lagasse, lawyer)

Defendant: European Investment Bank

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the decision taken by the defendant's director of human resources confirming that the applicant's authority to represent staff has expired and damages.

Form of order sought

- Annul the decision of the director of human resources of the EIB, notified to the applicant by letter dated 12 October 2010 and received on 15 October 2010,
- order the EIB to make good the non-material damage caused to the applicant by the abovementioned decision and award him damages of EUR 25,000 to that end.
- order the EIB to pay the costs.

Action brought on 26 October 2010 — Filice and Others v Court of Justice

(Case F-108/10)

(2011/C 30/128)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Stefania Filice (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) and Others (represented by: B. Cortese, C. Cortese and F. Spitaleri, lawyers)

Defendant: Court of Justice of the European Union

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the defendant's decisions, set out in the applicants' salary slips, to limit the adjustment of their salaries, from July 2009, to an increase of 1,85% within the framework of the annual adjustment of the remuneration and

pensions of officials and other servants pursuant to Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1296/2009 of 23 December 2009.

Form of order sought

- Annul the decisions of the Court of Justice of the European Union set out in their pay slips issued since January 2010 and in their salary adjustment slips for 2009, in so far as they apply an adjustment rate of 1,85% instead of a rate of 3,7%;
- Order the Court of Justice to refund the difference between the amounts of salary paid pursuant to Regulation No 1296/09 until the date on which the judgment is delivered in the present case and the amounts which should have been paid to them if the adjustment had been calculated correctly, plus interest at the rate set by the European Central Bank for principal refinancing operations applying during the periods concerned, plus three and a half points; that interest to be paid from the date on which the principal sums claimed fell due;
- Order the Court of Justice to pay the costs.

Action brought on 29 October 2010 — Bernaldo de Quirós v Commission

(Case F-111/10)

(2011/C 30/129)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Belén Bernaldo de Quirós (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: L. Levi, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the implied decision rejecting the applicant's note in which she applied to the defendant for the protection provided under Article 22a (3) of the Staff Regulations, and damages.

Form of order sought

- Annulment of the implied decision rejecting the applicant's request of 1 October 2009 and, if required, of the IDOC letter/decision of 3 November 2009 and of the letter from the Director-General of the Human Resources Directorate General of the European Commission of 22 March 2010;
- annulment, as necessary, of the decision dated 3 August 2010 and notified on the following day, 4 August 2010, rejecting her complaint;