

2. *Orders Mr Wendler to bear his own costs and to pay the costs of the European Commission;*
3. *Orders the Council of the European Union to bear its own costs.*

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 167 of 18.07.2009, p. 27.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 26 October 2010 — AB v Commission**

(Case F-3/10) (<sup>1</sup>)

*(Staff cases — Contract staff — Non-renewal of a fixed-term contract — Complaint out of time — Manifest inadmissibility)*

(2011/C 13/73)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* AB (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: S.A. Pappas, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and D. Martin, Agents)

**Re:**

Application for annulment of the decision not to renew the applicant's contract as a member of the contract staff.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *The applicant shall pay the costs.*

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 100, 17.4.2010, p. 69.

**Action brought on 22 September 2010 — Nolin v Commission**

(Case F-82/10)

(2011/C 13/74)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Michel Nolin (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: M. Velardo, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission

**Subject-matter and description of the proceedings**

Application for the annulment of the applicant's salary adjustment slip for the period from July to December 2009

and the salary slip of 1 January 2010 issued within the framework of the annual adjustment of the remuneration and pensions of officials and other servants pursuant to Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1296/2009 of 23 December 2009.

**Form of order sought**

— Annul the applicant's salary slip RG/2009 and his salary slip of 01/2010;

— order the European Commission to pay the costs.

**Action brought on 23 September 2010 — Giannakouris v Commission**

(Case F-83/10)

(2011/C 13/75)

*Language of the case: Greek*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Konstantinos Giannakouris (Roodt-sur-Syre, Luxembourg) (represented by: V. Christianos, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission

**Subject-matter and description of the proceedings**

Annulment of the decision of the Commission whereby the education allowance granted to the applicant was reduced on the basis that his daughter receives financial assistance from a Member State by way of a grant and a loan.

**Form of order sought**

— First, annul the decision to reduce the 'education allowance' paid to the applicant, as evidenced from the pay slip for February 2010, and annul that pay slip in so far as it reduces the 'education allowance' in part; second, annul the decision of the Commission of 26 February 2010, relating to the reduction of the 'education allowance' paid to the applicant and the deduction of EUR 770,85 from that allowance, the deduction appearing on the pay slip for March 2010; third, annul the pay slip for March 2010 reducing the 'education allowance' paid to the applicant and containing a retroactive deduction of EUR 770,85; fourth, annul the pay slips from April to August 2010, in so far as they contain a reduction in part of the 'education allowance'; and fifth, annul the decision of the Commission of 9 July 2010 expressly rejecting the complaint;

— reimburse the applicant, with interest, the sums withheld from him;

— order the European Commission to pay the costs.