

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of
12 May 2011 — Caminiti v Commission**

(Case F-71/09) ⁽¹⁾

*(Staff case — Officials — Action manifestly unfounded —
Entry into force of Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 723/2004 —
Articles 44 and 46 of the Staff Regulations — Article 7 of
Annex XIII to the Staff Regulations — Classification —
Multiplication factor — Promotion points)*

(2012/C 138/58)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Paolo Caminiti (Tubize, Belgium) (represented by: L. Levi, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and J. Baquero Cruz, Agents)

Re:

Application to annul the defendant's decision to classify the applicant in Grade AST 9, step 4, with a multiplication factor equal to 1 and, consequently, the reinstatement of the applicant in Grade AST 9, step 2, retaining a multiplication factor of 1,071151.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as being in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly without foundation in law.*
2. *Mr Caminiti is ordered to refund to the Civil Service Tribunal the sum of EUR 500 under Article 94 of the Rules of Procedure.*
3. *Mr Caminiti shall bear his own costs and is ordered to pay the costs incurred by the Commission.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 244, 10.10.2009, p. 17.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of
16 March 2011 — Marcuccio v Commission**

(Case F-21/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Civil service — Officials — Action for damages — Illegality — Letter concerning the costs of a case sent to the lawyer who represented the applicant in the case — Action manifestly devoid of any basis in law — Article 94 of the Rules of Procedure)

(2012/C 138/59)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Luigi Marcuccio (Tricase, Italy) (represented by: G. Cipressa, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and C. Berardis-Kayser, Agents, and A. Dal Ferro, lawyer)

Re:

Action for annulment of the decision rejecting the applicant's request for compensation for the fact that the defendant sent a letter concerning the applicant to a lawyer who no longer represented him.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly devoid of any basis in law.*
2. *Mr Marcuccio is ordered to pay all the costs.*
3. *Mr Marcuccio is ordered to pay to the Tribunal the sum of EUR 2 000.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 161, 19.6.2010, p. 57.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of
13 April 2011 — Wilk v Commission**

(Case F-32/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Civil Service — Members of the temporary staff — Reimbursement of expenses — Installation allowance — Installation with family at the place of employment — Recovery of undue payments — Action manifestly inadmissible or manifestly lacking any foundation in law)

(2012/C 138/60)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Christian Wilk (Trier, Germany) (represented by: R. Adam, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and D. Martin, Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of decisions ordering recovery of one half of the installation allowance paid to the applicant following his divorce, and an application for damages

Operative part of the order

1. *Mr Wilk's action is dismissed as being in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly lacking any foundation in law.*