

**Order of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 22 October 2010 —  
Seacid v Parliament and Council**

**(Case C-266/10P)**

(Appeal — Directive 2000/35/EC — Action for partial annulment — Application brought out of time — Appeal clearly unfounded)

1. *Procedure — Decision taken by way of reasoned order — Conditions — Action manifestly inadmissible or manifestly lacking any foundation in law (Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, Art. 47; Rules of Procedure of the General Court, Arts 2(2), 18, 19, 24(6) and 111) (see paras 11-18)*
2. *Actions for annulment — Time-limits — Point from which time starts to run — Date of publication of the measure at issue — Calculation (Art. 230, fifth para., EC; Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, Arts 17 and 47; Rules of Procedure of the General Court, Art. 102(1)) (see paras 25-27, 30)*

**Re:**

Appeal brought against the order of the General Court (Sixth Chamber) of 16 March 2010 in Case T-530/09 *Seacid v Parliament and Council*, by which the Court dismissed an action for annulment of the last sentence of Article 5(1) of Directive 2000/35/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 June 2000 on combating late payment in commercial transactions (OJ 2000 L 200, p. 35) — Time-limits for bringing proceedings — Manifest inadmissibility.

**Operative part**

1. The appeal is dismissed.
2. Sistemul electronic de arhivare, criptare și indexare digitalizată Srl (Seacid) is ordered to bear its own costs.