

prohibition on making available economic resources to the persons listed in Annexes IV and V of the aforesaid regulation — Concept of ‘making available indirectly’ — Simultaneous application of the provisions prohibiting the making available of economic resources, on the one hand, and the contravention of the latter prohibition, on the other hand

Operative part of the judgment

1. Article 7(3) of Council Regulation (EC) No 423/2007 of 19 April 2007 concerning restrictive measures against Iran must be interpreted as meaning that the prohibition on indirectly making available an economic resource, within the meaning of Article 1(i) of that regulation, encompasses acts relating to the supply and installation in Iran of a sintering furnace in working condition but not yet ready to use for the benefit of a third party which, acting on behalf, under the control or on the instructions of a person, an entity or a body listed in Annexes IV and V to that regulation, intends to use that furnace to manufacture, for the benefit of such a person, entity or body, goods capable of contributing to nuclear proliferation in that State;
2. Article 7(4) of Regulation No 423/2007 must be interpreted as meaning that:
 - it covers activities which, under cover of a formal appearance which enables them to avoid the constituent elements of an infringement of Article 7(3) of the regulation, none the less have the object or effect, direct or indirect, of frustrating the prohibition laid down in that provision;
 - the terms ‘knowingly’ and ‘intentionally’ imply cumulative requirements of knowledge and intent, which are met where the person participating in an activity having such an object or such an effect deliberately seeks that object or effect or is at least aware that his participation may have that object or that effect and he accepts that possibility.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 252, 27.8.2011.

Order of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 18 November 2011 (reference for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale di Bari — Italy) — Giovanni Colapietro v Ispettorato Centrale Repressioni Frodi

(Case C-519/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Articles 92(1), 103(1) and the second subparagraph of 104(3) of the Rules of Procedure — Wine sector — Regulation (EEC) No 822/87 and Regulation (EC) No 343/94 — Answer to the question admitting of no reasonable doubt — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2012/C 49/22)

Language of the case: Italian

Referring court

Tribunale di Bari

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Giovanni Colapietro

Defendant: Ispettorato Centrale Repressioni Frodi

Re:

Reference for a preliminary ruling — Tribunale di Bari — Wine sector — Compulsory distillation system — 1993/1994 wine year — Scope of temporal application of Council Regulation (EEC) No 822/87 of 16 March 1987 on the common organisation of the market in wine (OJ 1987 L 84, p. 1) — Repealing of that regulation by Commission Regulation (EC) No 343/94 of 15 February 1994 opening compulsory distillation as provided for in Article 39 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 822/87 and derogating for the 1993/94 wine year from certain detailed rules for the application thereof (OJ 1994 L 44, p. 9) — Administrative sanction under national law for infringements of Regulation No 882/87 — Applicability in the case of infringement of Regulation No 343/94 — Proportionality of the administrative sanction imposed

Operative part of the order

Commission Regulation (EC) No 343/94 of 15 February 1994 opening compulsory distillation as provided for in Article 39 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 822/87 and derogating for the 1993/94 wine year from certain detailed rules for the application thereof implements Regulation No 822/87 and neither repeals nor replaces it.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 13, 15.1.2011.

Order of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 20 October 2011 — DTL Corporación, SL v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs), Gestión de Recursos y Soluciones Empresariales SL

(Case C-67/11 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeal — Community trade mark — Regulation (EC) No 40/94 — Article 8(1)(b) — Opposition procedure — Figurative mark containing the word element ‘Solaria’ and earlier national figurative mark containing the word element ‘Solartia’ — Registration refused in part — Likelihood of confusion — Request for a stay of the proceedings before the General Court — Failure to lodge the request in good time)

(2012/C 49/23)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Appellant: DTL Corporación, SL (represented by: A. Zuazo Araluze, abogado)