- 3. Acetificio Marcello de Nigris Srl shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by the European Commission.
- 4. The Italian Republic and Consorzio Filiera Aceto Balsamico di Modena shall bear their own costs.

(1) OJ C 256, 24.10.2009.

Order of the General Court of 30 June 2011 — Tecnoprocess v Commission

(Case T-367/09) (1)

(Action for failure to act — Request to act — Manifest inadmissibility — Action for damages — Causal link — Action manifestly lacking any foundation in law)

(2011/C 282/33)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Tecnoprocess Srl (Rome, Italy) (represented by: A. Majoli, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Prete and A. Bordes, acting as Agents)

Re:

Action, first, for a declaration that the European Commission and the European Union delegation to Nigeria have failed to act and, secondly, for compensation for damage allegedly suffered as a result of that failure to act

Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed in part as inadmissible and in part as manifestly lacking any foundation in law.
- 2. Tecnoprocess Srl shall pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 267, 7.11.2009.

Order of the General Court of 30 June 2011 – Tecnoprocess v Commission

(Case T-403/09) (1)

(Action for damages — Unjust enrichment — Application initiating proceedings — Formal requirements — Inadmissibility)

(2011/C 282/34)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Tecnoprocess Srl (Rome, Italy) (represented by: A. Majoli, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Bordes and L. Prete, Agents)

Re:

Application, first, for a declaration that the European Commission and the delegations of the European Union to Morocco and Nigeria have been unjustly enriched and, second, for an order that the Commission pay the sum of EUR 114 069,94 and the interest due on that sum.

Operative part of the order

- 1. The application is dismissed as inadmissible.
- 2. Techoprocess Srl is ordered to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 297, 5.12.2009.

Order of the General Court of 28 June 2011 — van Arum v Parliament

(Case T-454/09 P) (1)

(Appeals — Staff Cases — Officials — Reports — Staff report — Reporting exercise for 2005 — Appeal in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly unfounded)

(2011/C 282/35)

Language of the case: Dutch

Parties

Appellant: Rinse van Arum (Winksele, Belgium) (represented by: W. van den Muijsenbergh, lawyer)

Other party to the proceedings: European Parliament (represented by: J. F. de Wachter, K. Zejdová et R. Ignătescu, Agents)

Re:

Appeal against the judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal of the European Union (Second Chamber) of 10 September 2009 in Case F-139/07 van Arum v Parliament ECR-SC I-A-1-0000 and II-A-1-0000, seeking to have that judgment set aside.

Operative part of the order

- 1. The appeal is dismissed.
- 2. Mr Rinse van Arum is ordered to bear his own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Parliament in these proceedings.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 37, 13.2.2010.