# GENERAL COURT

Judgment of the General Court of 16 October 2013 — Singer v OHIM — Cordia Magyarország (CORDIO)

(Case T-388/12) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community word mark CORDIO — Earlier Community word mark CORDIA — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2014/C 45/46)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Daniela Singer (Obertrubach, Germany) (represented by: B. Korom, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Schifko, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the Court: Cordia Magyarország Ingatlanforgalmazó Zrt (Budapest, Hungary) (represented by: A. Nagy, lawyer)

### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 10 July 2012 (Case R 1842/2011-2), relating to opposition proceedings between Cordia Magyarország Ingatlanforgalmazó Zrt and Ms Daniela Singer

### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- Orders Daniela Singer to bear her own costs and to pay the costs incurred by OHIM;
- Orders Cordia Magyarország Ingatlanforgalmazó Zrt to bear its own costs.

Order of the General Court of 9 December 2013 — El Corte Inglés v Commission

(Case T-38/09) (1)

(Customs Union — Import of textiles declared to have originated in Jamaica — Recovery 'a posteriori' of import duties — Application for remission of duties — Articles 220(2)(b) and 239 of Regulation (EEC) No 2913/92 — Commission decision rejecting that application — Annulment by the national court of the decision of the national authorities to enter in the accounts duties a posteriori — No need to adjudicate)

(2014/C 45/47)

Language of the case: Spanish

#### **Parties**

Applicant: El Corte Inglés, SA (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: M. Baz and P. Muñiz, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: G. Valero Jordana and L. Keppenne, acting as Agents)

Intervener in support of the applicant: Axstores AB, formerly Åhléns AB (Stockholm, Sweden) (represented initially by P. Fohlin and U. Käll, subsequently by U. Käll and T. Wetterlundh, lawyers)

### Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision C(2008) 6317 final of 3 November 2008, finding, first, that it is justified to proceed to recovery *a posteriori* of those import duties not demanded from the applicant and, second, that the remission of those duties is not justified by the existence of a special situation, regarding the import of textiles declared to have originated in Jamaica (Case REM 03/07).

## Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. Each party shall bear its own costs.

<sup>(</sup>¹) OJ C 331, 27.10.2012.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 69, 21.3.2009.