

3. Orders Mr Sison to pay, so far as the costs relating to the action for compensation are concerned, the costs incurred by the Council in addition to his own costs;
4. Orders the Kingdom of the Netherlands, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Commission to bear their own costs.

(¹) OJ C 269, 10.11.2007.

Judgment of the General Court of 23 November 2011 — Dennekamp v Parliament

(Case T-82/09) (¹)

(Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Documents relating to the affiliation of certain Members of the European Parliament to the additional pension scheme — Refusal to grant access — Exception relating to the protection of privacy and the integrity of the individual — Article 8(b) of Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 — Transfer of personal data)

(2012/C 13/20)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Gert-Jan Dennekamp (Giethoorn, Netherlands) (represented by: O. Brouwer, A. Stoffer and T. Oeyen, lawyers)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented initially by N. Lorenz, H. Krück and D. Moore, and subsequently by N. Lorenz and D. Moore, Agents)

Interveners in support of the applicant: Kingdom of Denmark (represented by: B. Weis Fogh, J. Bering Liisberg and S. Juul Jørgensen, Agents); Republic of Finland (represented by: J. Heliskoski and H. Leppo, Agents); and European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS) (represented initially by H. Hijmans and H. Kranenborg, and subsequently by H. Kranenborg and I. Chatelier, Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of Decision A(2008) 22050 of the European Parliament of 17 December 2008 refusing to grant the applicant access to certain documents relating to the affiliation of certain Members of the European Parliament to the additional pension scheme.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Mr Gert-Jan Dennekamp to bear his own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the European Parliament;

3. Orders the Kingdom of Denmark, the Republic of Finland and the European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS) to bear their own costs.

(¹) OJ C 102, 1.5.2009.

Judgment of the General Court of 24 November 2011 — EFIM v Commission

(Case T-296/09) (¹)

(Competition — Concerted practice — Abuse of a dominant position — Markets for ink cartridges — Decision rejecting a complaint — No Community interest)

(2012/C 13/21)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: European Federation of Ink and Ink Cartridge Manufacturers (EFIM) (Cologne, Germany) (represented by: D. Ehle, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Antoniadis and A. Biolan, Agents, and W. Berg, lawyer)

Intervener in support of the defendant: Lexmark International Technology SA (Meyrin, Switzerland) (represented by: R. Snelders, lawyer, and G. Eclair-Heath, Solicitor)

Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision C(2009) 4125 of 20 May 2009 rejecting complaint COMP/C-3/39.391 concerning purported infringements of Articles 81 EC and 82 EC by Hewlett-Packard, Lexmark, Canon and Epson in the market for ink cartridges.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders European Federation of Ink and Ink Cartridge Manufacturers (EFIM) to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the European Commission;
3. Orders Lexmark International Technology SA to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 256, 24.10.2009.