

## EUROPEAN UNION CIVIL SERVICE TRIBUNAL

### Action brought on 7 April 2009 — Roumimper v Europol

(Case F-41/09)

(2009/C 180/115)

*Language of the case: Dutch*

#### Parties

*Applicant:* Jaques Pierre Roumimper (Zoetermeer, Netherlands) (represented by: P. de Casparis and D. Dane, lawyers.)

*Defendant:* European Police Office (Europol)

#### Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the decision of 12 June 2008 informing the applicant that it was not possible to offer him a permanent post, and also the decision of 7 January 2009 rejecting the complaint brought against the former decision.

#### Form of order sought

— Annul the decision of 12 June 2008 whereby the defendant informed the applicant that it could not offer him permanent employment and also the decision made on his complaint on 7 January 2009 that the grounds of complaint brought by the applicant against the decision of 12 June 2008 were unfounded;

— Order Europol to pay the costs.

---

### Action brought on 9 April 2009 — Esneau-Kappé v Europol

(Case F-42/09)

(2009/C 180/116)

*Language of the case: Dutch*

#### Parties

*Applicant:* Anne Esneau-Kappé (The Hague, Netherlands) (represented by: P. de Casparis and D. Dane, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Police Office (Europol)

#### Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the decision of 12 June 2008 informing the applicant that it was not possible to offer her a permanent

post, and also the decision of 7 January 2009 rejecting the complaint brought against the former decision.

#### Form of order sought

— Annul the decision of 12 June 2008 whereby the defendant informed the applicant that it could not offer her permanent employment and also the decision made on her complaint on 7 January 2009 that the grounds of complaint brought by the applicant against the decision of 12 June 2008 were unfounded;

— Order Europol to pay the costs.

---

### Action brought on 15 April 2009 — van Heuckelom v Europol

(Case F-43/09)

(2009/C 180/117)

*Language of the case: Dutch*

#### Parties

*Applicant:* Carlo van Heuckelom (the Hague, the Netherlands) (represented by: J. Damminghs and D. Dane, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Police Office (Europol)

#### Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the decision of 14 July 2008 awarding the applicant a single step of classification in grade and the decision of 19 January 2009 rejecting the complaint brought against the first decision.

#### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Tribunal should:

— annul the decision of 19 January 2009 rejecting the applicant's complaint against the decision of 14 July 2008, and annul also that latter decision of 14 July 2008 awarding the applicant a single step from 1 April 2008;

— order Europol to pay the costs.

---