

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: D. Martin and J. Baquero Cruz, Agents)

Re:

Annulment of the Appointing Authority's decision not to grant the applicant installation allowance

Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

1. Dismisses Mr. Chaouch's action;
2. Orders Mr. Chaouch to pay all the costs.

(¹) OJ C 129 of 06.06.2009, p. 21.

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (3rd Chamber) of 11 May 2011 — J v Commission

(Case F-53/09) (¹)

(Civil service — Officials — Social security — Accident insurance and occupational disease — Article 73 of the Staff Regulations — Refusal to recognise the cause of an occupational disease — Obligation to conduct the procedure within a reasonable period)

(2011/C 252/106)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: J (London, United Kingdom) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis and É. Marchal, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: D. Martin and J. Baquero Cruz, Agents)

Re:

Annulment of the decision rejecting the applicant's claim that the disease from which she is suffering should be recognised as an occupational disease, and of the decision to charge her for the fees and costs of the doctor whom she designated and 50 % of the fees and incidental costs of the third doctor on the medical committee

Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

1. Orders the European Commission to pay the applicant damages of one euro;
2. Dismisses the other claims in the action;
3. Orders the European Commission to pay, as well as its own costs, one quarter of the applicant's costs;
4. Orders the applicant to bear three-quarters of her own costs.

(¹) OJ C 180, of 01.08.2009, p. 64.

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (3rd Chamber) of 15 February 2011 — Barbin v Parliament

(Case F-68/09) (¹)

(Civil service — Officials — 2006 promotion exercise — Enforcement of a judgment of the Tribunal — Comparative examination of the merits — Principle of equal treatment — Half-time parental leave)

(2011/C 252/107)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Florence Barbin (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis and É. Marchal, lawyers)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: A. Lukošiuūtė, C. Burgos, J.F. de Wachter, R. Ignătescu and K. Zejdová, Agents)

Re:

Annulment of the European Parliament's decision not to promote the applicant to Grade AD 12 for the 2006 promotion exercise

Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Ms Barbin to pay all the costs.

(¹) OJ C 220 of 12.09.09, p. 43.

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (3rd Chamber) of 13 April 2011 — Sukup v Commission

(Case F-73/09) (¹)

(Civil service — Remuneration and allowances — Dependent child allowance — Education allowance — Retrospective award)

(2011/C 252/108)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Viktor Sukup (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: S. Rodrigues and C. Bernard-Glanz, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and D. Martin, Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of the decision of the European Commission's Office for administration and payment of individual rights refusing to grant the applicant dependent child allowance or education allowance