Re:

Appeal brought against the judgment of the Court of First Instance (Eighth Chamber, Extended Composition) of 11 June 2009 in Case T-301/02 *AEM* v *Commission* by which that court dismissed the action brought for annulment of Articles 2 and 3 of Commission Decision 2003/193/EC of 5 June 2002 on State aid granted by Italy in the form of tax exemptions and subsidised loans to public utilities with a majority public capital holding (OJ 2003 L 77, p. 21).

## Operative part

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the main appeal and the cross-appeal;
- 2. Orders A2A SpA to pay the costs of the main appeal;
- 3. Orders the European Commission to pay the costs of the cross-appeal.

Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 21 December 2011 — Iride, formerly Azienda Mediterranea Gas e Acqua v Commission

(Case C-329/09 P)

(Appeal — State aid — Aid granted to public utilities — Tax exemptions — Decision declaring the aid scheme incompatible with the common market — Action for annulment — Admissibility — Standing — Legal interest in bringing proceedings)

## INFORMATION ON UNPUBLISHED DECISIONS

1.	Appeals — Grounds — Mistaken assessment of the facts — Inadmissibility — Review by the Court of the assessment of the facts and evidence — Possible only where the clear sense of the evidence has been distorted (Art. 225 EC; Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 58, first para.) (see paras 34-36)
2.	Appeals — Classification of an application made by the respondent seeking only the replacement of grounds — Application inadmissible if there is no legal interest in bringing proceedings (see para. 50)
Re	
Ext wh and Ital	peal brought against the judgment of the Court of First Instance (Eighth Chamber, ended Composition) of 11 June 2009 in Case T-300/02 <i>AMGA</i> v <i>Commission</i> , by ich that court rejected as inadmissible an application for annulment of Articles 2 l 3 of Commission Decision 2003/193/EC of 5 June 2002 on State aid granted by y in the form of tax exemptions and subsidised loans to public utilities with a matry public capital holding (OJ 2003 L 77, p. 21).
Op	erative part
The	e Court:
1.	Dismisses the appeal;
2.	Orders Iride SpA to pay the costs of the appeal proceedings;

Orders the European Commission to pay the costs relating to the request for replacement of grounds.

3.