Operative part

- 1. The appeal is dismissed.
- 2. Nuova Agricast Srl is ordered to pay the costs.

Order of the Court (Eighth Chamber) of 29 June 2009 — Cofra v Commission

(Case C-295/08 P)

(Appeal — Public access to documents of the institutions — Documents originating from a Member State — Objection of the Member State to disclosure of the documents — Refusal to access — New application — Confirmatory measure)

- 1. Appeals Grounds Incorrect assessment of the facts Inadmissibility Legal classification of the facts Admissibility (Art. 225 EC) (see paras 33, 34)
- 2. European Communities Institutions Right of public access to documents Regulation No 1049/2001 Exceptions to the right of access to documents Conditions Requirement that the institution should examine the documents specifically and individually (European Parliament and Council Regulation No 1049/2001, Art. 4(7)) (see paras 52-56)

Re:

Appeal brought against the order of the Court of First Instance (Fifth Chamber) of 15 April 2008 in Case T-478/07 *Cofra* v *Commission* by which that Court dismissed as inadmissible an action for annulment of the Commission's decision of 5 October 2007 rejecting a request made by the appellant for review of that institution's earlier refusal to allow it access to certain documents.

Operative part

- 1. The appeal is dismissed.
- 2. Cofra Srl is ordered to pay the costs.

Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 30 June 2009 — Commission v Belgium

(Case C-490/08)

(Failure of Member State to fulfil obligations — Directive 2005/68/EC — Reinsurance — Failure to adopt within the prescribed period)

Actions for failure to fulfil obligations — Examination of the merits by the Court — Situation to be taken into consideration — Situation on expiry of the period laid down in the reasoned opinion (Art. 226 EC) (see para. 8)

Failure of Member State to fulfil obligations — Failure to adopt or communicate, within the prescribed period, the measures necessary to comply with Directive 2005/68/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 November 2005 on reinsurance and amending Council Directives 73/239/EEC and 92/49/EEC as well as Directives 98/78/EC and 2002/83/EC (OJ 2005 L 323, p. 1).

Operative part

The Court:

1. Declares that by failing to adopt all the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary in order to comply with Directive 2005/68/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 November 2005 on reinsurance and amending Council Directives 73/239/EEC and 92/49/EEC as well as