

Order of the General Court of 21 March 2014 — Frucona Košice v Commission(Case T-11/07 RENV) ⁽¹⁾

(State aid — Spirit and spirit-based beverages — Cancellation of a tax debt in a collective bankruptcy procedure — Decision declaring the aid incompatible with the internal market and ordering its recovery — No longer any legal interest in bringing proceedings — Decision repealing and replacing the contested decision — No need to adjudicate)

(2014/C 159/32)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Frucona Košice a.s. (Košice, Slovakia) (represented by: K. Lasok QC, J. Holmes and B. Hartnett, Barristers, and O. Geiss, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Armati and K. Walkerová, Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: St. Nicolaus — trade a.s. (Bratislava, Slovakia) (represented by: N. Smaho, lawyer)

Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision 2007/254/EC of 7 June 2006 on State aid C 25/05 (ex NN 21/05) implemented by the Slovak Republic for Frucona Košice a.s. (OJ 2007 L 112, p. 14)

Operative part of the order

- 1) *There is no need to adjudicate on the action.*
- 2) *The European Commission shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by Frucona Košice a.s.*
- 3) *St. Nicolaus — trade a.s. shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 56, 10.3.2007.

Order of the General Court of 27 March 2014 — Ecologistas en Acción v Commission(Case T-603/11) ⁽¹⁾

(Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Documents relating to the carrying out of an industrial project on a site protected under Directive 92/43/EEC — Documents originating from a Member State — Opposition by the Member State — Refusal of access — Exception relating to the protection of the purpose of inspections, investigations and audits — Exception relating to the protection of court proceedings — Environmental information — Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006 — Action manifestly lacking any foundation in law)

(2014/C 159/33)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Ecologistas en Acción-CODA (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: J. Doreste Hernández, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: P. Costa de Oliveira and I. Martínez del Peral, acting as Agents)

Intervening party in support of the defendant: Kingdom of Spain (represented initially by S. Centeno Huerta, then by M. J. García-Valdecasas Dorrego, abogados del Estado)