## Form of order sought

- alter the decision to award him one merit point to a decision to award him two merit points;
- alternatively, order the appointing authority to send to the Tribunal all of the papers and documents on the basis of which the Director General made his decisions of 7 September and 23 November 2006 and annul those decisions together with the decision of the joint committee;
- order the appointing authority to pay to the applicant in compensation a token sum of one euro;
- order the European Parliament to pay the costs.

# Action brought on 10 December 2007 — Van Arum v Parliament

(Case F-139/07)

(2008/C 92/99)

Language of the case: Dutch

### **Parties**

Applicant: Rinse Van Arum (Winksele, Belgium) (represented by: W. van den Muijsenbergh, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament

# The subject-matter and description of the proceedings

(i) The applicant seeks alteration of his Staff Report and, alternatively, its annulment. As a further alternative, the applicant seeks an order that all evidence supporting certain contested remarks in his Staff Report be disclosed to him and that the Tribunal rule on the contested facts and marking. (ii) The applicant seeks an order that the appointing authority pay to him a token sum of one euro in compensation.

# Form of order sought

- Alter the Staff Report;
- Alternatively, annul the report in its entirety;
- As a further alternative, order that all evidence supporting certain contested remarks in the Staff Report be disclosed to the applicant and rule on the contested facts and marking;
- Order the appointing authority to pay to him a token sum of one euro in compensation;
- Order the European Parliament to pay the costs.

### Action brought on 20 December 2007 — Maniscalco v Commission

(Case F-141/07)

(2008/C 92/100)

Language of the case: Italian

### **Parties**

Applicant: Daniele Maniscalco (Rome, Italy) (represented by: C. Cardarello and F. D'amora, avvocati)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities

#### Re

Annulment of the decision to recruit the applicant in Function Group IV, at Grade 13, step 1.

# Forms of order sought

- annul decision ADMIN.B.2/OG/jmt/D(07)23504;
- declare the claim for recruitment at a higher grade and for payment of the resulting difference in salary as at the date of establishment of the report recognising the applicant's entitlement to recruitment at grade 16 to be well founded
- order the Directorate General for Personnel and administration
  Directorate A Staff and Careers to pay the sum owed, with interest and costs, corresponding to the difference between a grade 13 salary and the grade 16 salary to which the applicant was entitled;
- appoint the applicant for the future at grade 16 as a member of the contract staff in the appropriate step for the number of years' experience he has.

# Action brought on 21 December 2007 — Yannoussis v Commission

(Case F-143/07)

(2008/C 92/101)

Language of the case: French

### **Parties**

Applicant: Georgios Yannoussis (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: A. Pappas, lawyer)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities

#### Re:

Annulment of the decision of the appointing authority of 21 December 2006 rejecting the applicant's candidature for the vacant position of Head of the Representation of the Commission in Greece.

# Form of order sought

- Annul the decision of the appointing authority of 21 December 2006;
- Order the Commission to pay the costs.

## Action brought on 24 December 2007 — Efstathopoulos v Parliament

(Case F-144/07)

(2008/C 92/102)

Language of the case: Greek

## **Parties**

Applicant: Spyridon Efstathopoulos (Chalandri, Greece) (represented by: N. Korogiannakis and M. Michi, lawyers)

Defendant: European Parliament

## Re:

Annulment of the decision of the European Parliament of 18 April 2007, in those parts concerning the taking into account of a 'productivity allowance' in the applicant's gross salary; the recovery, already effected, of the sum of EUR 390 from the applicant's retirement pension; the obligation to recover the sum of EUR 10 036,99 for the period from March 2005 to March 2007; and the monthly reduction of the applicant's pension by EUR 600 for the entire period during which he was paid the allowance in issue of EUR 670, namely between March 2005 and September 2007.

# Form of order sought

— Annul the decision of the European Parliament of 18 April 2007, PERS-B-AFF-SOCIAL D(2007) 22300 in those parts which relate to the inclusion of the 'productivity allowance' in the applicant's gross salary; the recovery, already effected, of the sum of EUR 390 from the applicant's retirement pension; the obligation to recover the sum of EUR 10 036,99 for the period from March 2005 to March 2007; and the monthly reduction of the applicant's pension by EUR 600 for the entire period during which he was paid the allowance in issue of EUR 670, namely between March 2005 and September 2007;

- Annul the decision of the appointing authority of the European Parliament of 14 September 2007 rejecting the complaint of 9 May 2007 brought by the applicant against the decision of 18 April 2007;
- Annul any other decision connected or subsequent to those decisions or taken in execution of them;
- Order the European Parliament to pay the costs.

## Action brought on 5 January 2008 — Hambura v Parliament

(Case F-4/08)

(2008/C 92/103)

Language of the case: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Johannes Hambura (Soultzbach, France) (represented by: S. Hambura, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament

## The subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annul the decision of the Directorate-General for Personnel of 5 December 2007 to disallow the applicant from taking part in selection procedure PE/95/S, annul that selection procedure and carry out that selection procedure again.

### Form of order sought

- declare the invalidity of the decision of the Directorate-General for Personnel (Competitions Unit) of the European Parliament of 5 December 2007, which refuses the use of electronic application forms in connection with a selection procedure;
- annul selection procedure PE/95/S, in respect of a female or male Doctor, published in the OJ C 244 A, 18.10.2007, and carry out the procedure again using electronic application forms:
- in the alternative, decide the order in which the case is to be dealt with in accordance with Article 47(2) of the Rules of Procedure so that the applicant is still able to take part in selection procedure PE/95/S.