

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of
25 February 2008 — Anselmo v Council**

(Case F-85/07) ⁽¹⁾

*(Staff case — Officials — Recruitment — Appointment —
Grading — Successful candidates in an internal competition
— New evidence — Lack — Manifestly inadmissible)*

(2008/C 92/96)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Anselmo (Brussels, Belgium) and Others (represented by: S.A. Pappas, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Re:

Annulment of the decisions of the appointing authority rejecting the complaints introduced by the applicants, successful candidates in internal competition B/277, because of discrimination which they maintain that they suffered in comparison with officials who benefited from the attestation procedure.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *Each party is ordered to pay its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 269, 10.11.2007, p. 71.

**Action brought on 9 November 2007 — Hecq v
Commission**

(Case F-133/07)

(2008/C 92/97)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: André Hecq (Chaumont-Gistoux, Belgium) (represented by: L. Vogel, lawyer)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities

The subject-matter and description of the proceedings

(i) annulment of the decision of the appointing authority of 12 July 2007 to the extent that it dismisses a complaint

brought by the applicant against a decision of the appointing authority which refused him entitlement to certain benefits, and (ii) order that the defendant pay compensation and default interest

Form of order sought

- Annul in part the decision of the appointing authority of 12 July 2007 to the extent that it dismisses the application for benefits brought by the applicant in terms of his complaint of 19 March 2007, and to the extent that it rejects the principle of default interest calculated, from 29 April 2003 on benefits which might be awarded to the applicant under Article 73 of the Staff Regulations;
- Order the defendant to pay compensation of EUR 2 000, with the addition of interest at the rate of 6 %, to date from 19 March 2007, but subject to any subsequent increase, decrease or specification;
- Order the defendant to pay to the applicant default interest, at the rate of 6 % *per annum*, on all benefits which might subsequently be awarded to him under Article 73 of the Staff Regulations;
- Order the Commission of the European Communities to pay the costs.

**Action brought on 6 December 2007 — Van Arum v
Parliament**

(Case F-138/07)

(2008/C 92/98)

Language of the case: Dutch

Parties

Applicant: Rinse van Arum (Winksele, Belgium) (represented by: W. van den Muijsenbergh, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament

The subject-matter and description of the proceedings

(i) The applicant seeks an alteration of the decision of the appointing authority to award him one merit point to a decision to award him two merit points, and alternatively, annulment of that decision and an order that the appointing authority send to the Tribunal all of the papers and documents on the basis of which the contested decision was taken. (ii) The applicant seeks an order that the defendant pay to him the token sum of one euro in compensation.