INFORMATION ON UNPUBLISHED DECISIONS

3. The Federal Republic of Germany is ordered to bear its own costs.

Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Seventh Chamber) of 6 October 2009 — FAB v Commission

(Case T-8/06)

(State aid — Digital terrestrial television — Aid granted by the German authorities to broadcasters which use the digital terrestrial television network (DVB-T) in Berlin-Brandenburg — Decision declaring the aid incompatible with the common market and ordering its recovery — Action for annulment — Meaning of State aid — State resources — Compensation for discharging public service obligations — Aid intended to promote culture — Legitimate expectations)

- 1. State aid Concept Aid granted to private broadcasters to facilitate the changeover to digital terrestrial television without a public tendering procedure or call for tenders Included (Art. 87(1) EC) (see paras 48-58)
- 2. Competition Undertakings entrusted with the operation of services of general economic interest Definition of services of general economic interest (Art. 86(2) EC) (see paras 63, 64)
- 3. State aid Prohibition Exceptions Discretion of the Commission Judicial review (Art. 87(3) EC) (see paras 77, 78)
- 4. State aid Recovery of unlawful aid Possible legitimate expectation on the part of the recipient (Art. 88 EC) (see paras 92, 93)

Re:

APPLICATION for annulment of Commission Decision 2006/513/EC of 9 November 2005 on the State aid which the Federal Republic of Germany has implemented for the introduction of digital terrestrial television (DVB-T) in Berlin-Brandenburg (OJ 2006 L 200, p. 14).

Operative part

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders FAB Fernsehen aus Berlin GmbH to pay the costs.

Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Seventh Chamber) of 6 October 2009 — Germany v Commission

(Case T-21/06)

(State aid — Digital terrestrial television — Aid granted by the German authorities to broadcasters which use the digital terrestrial television network (DVB-T) in Berlin-Brandenburg — Decision declaring the aid incompatible with the common market and ordering its recovery — Principles of sound administration and proportionality — Rights of the defence)

- 1. State aid Prohibition Exceptions Discretion of the Commission Judicial review Limits Possibility of adopting guidelines (Art. 87(3) EC) (see paras 50, 51)
- 2. State aid Examination by the Commission Discretion of the Commission Principle of sound administration Rights of the defence (see paras 77-79)