

**Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber)  
of 21 February 2008 — Semeraro v Commission**

(Case F-19/06) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Staff cases — Officials — Appraisal — Career development report — 2003 appraisal procedure — Article 43 of the Staff Regulations — Obligation to state reasons — Promotion — Attestation procedure)*

(2008/C 209/124)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Maria Magdalena Semeraro (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: L. Vogel, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Commission of the European Communities (represented by: G. Berscheid and M. Velardo, Agents)

**Re:**

Application for annulment of the Appointing Authority's decision of 8 November 2005 rejecting the applicant's complaint against her Career Development Report for 2004

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Tribunal:*

1. Annuls Ms Serrano's career development report covering the period from 1 January to 31 December 2004;
2. Orders the Commission of the European Communities to pay all the costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 108, 6.5.2006, p. 30.

**Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber)  
of 6 March 2008 — Skareby v Commission**

(Case F-46/06) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Staff case — Officials — Appraisal — Career development report — 2004 appraisal procedure — Objectives — Obligation to state reasons — Manifest error of assessment)*

(2008/C 209/125)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Carina Skareby (Bichkek, Kirghizistan) (represented by: S. Rodrigues and Y. Minatchy, lawyers, then by S. Rodrigues and C. Bernard-Glanz, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Commission of the European Communities (represented by: V. Joris and M. Velardo, agents)

**Re:**

First, annulment of the applicant's career development report for 2004 and, secondly, a claim for damages.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Tribunal:*

1. dismisses the action;
2. orders each party to bear its own costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 143 of 17.6.2006, p. 39.

**Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber)  
of 3 April 2008 — Bakema v Commission**

(Case F-68/06) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Staff case — Contract staff — Classification in grade — Function group IV — Diploma — Professional experience)*

(2008/C 209/126)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Reint J. Bakema (Zuidlaren, Netherlands) (represented by: L. Rijpkema and A. Kootstra)

*Defendant:* Commission of the European Communities (represented by: J. Currall and M. Velardo, agents)

**Re:**

Annulment of the Commission's Decision refusing to reclassify the applicant from grade 14 to grade 16 in function group IV and to regard his 'kandidaatsdiploma' as attesting to completed university studies for the purposes of Article 82 of the CEOS and of Article 2 of the GPI concerning the procedures governing the engagement and employment of contract staff by the Commission