CLUB-TOUR

OPINION OF ADVOCATE GENERAL TIZZANO

delivered on 17 January 2002 1

1. By order of 31 October 2000, received at the Court Registry on 3 November, the 8° Juízo Cível da Comarca do Porto (8th Civil District of the Oporto Local Court, Portugal), pursuant to Article 234 EC, referred two questions to the Court for a preliminary ruling concerning the interpretation of Directive 90/314/EEC, 2 in connection with a case brought by a travel agency against a customer who refused to pay the agency for the service provided due to the serious discomfort suffered during the course of a holiday at a hotel complex. The national court seeks to ascertain whether the concept of a 'package' holiday, which defines the scope of the directive, also includes 'customised' packages, that is packages organised at the request and on the initiative of the consumer or a strictly defined group of consumers in accordance with their specific wishes.

I — Legal background

A — Directive 90/314/EEC

2. The purpose of the directive is to approximate the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to packages sold or offered for sale in the territory of the Community (Article 1).

3. Article 2 of the directive provides that:

'For the purposes of this Directive:

^{1 —} Original language: Italian.

Council Directive 90/314/EEC of 13 June 1990 on package travel, package holidays and package tours (OJ 1990 L 158, p. 59, hereinafter 'the directive').

^{(1) &}quot;package" means the pre-arranged combination of not fewer than two of

the following when sold or offered for sale at an inclusive price and when the service covers a period of more than 24 hours or includes overnight accommodation: (4) "consumer" means the person who takes or agrees to take the package ("the principal contractor"), or any person on whose behalf the principal contractor agrees to purchase the package ("the other beneficiaries") or any person to whom the principal contractor or any of the other beneficiaries transfers the package ("the transferee");

(a) transport;

(b) accommodation;

- (5) "contract" means the agreement linking the consumer to the organiser and/or the retailer.'
- (c) other tourist services not ancillary to transport or accommodation and accounting for a significant proportion of the package.
- 4. Article 3 of the directive provides that:

The separate billing of various components of the same package shall not absolve the organiser or retailer from the obligations under this Directive:

- '1. Any descriptive matter concerning a package and supplied by the organiser or the retailer to the consumer, the price of the package and any other conditions applying to the contract must not contain any misleading information.
- (2) "organiser" means the person who, other than occasionally, organises packages and sells or offers them for sale, whether directly or through a retailer;
- 2. When a brochure is made available to the consumer, it shall indicate in a legible, comprehensible and accurate manner both the price and adequate information concerning:
- (3) "retailer" means the person who sells or offers for sale the package put together by the organiser;
- (a) the destination and the means, characteristics and categories of transport used;

(b) the type of accommodation, its location, category or degree of comfort and its main features, its approval and tourist classification under the rules of the host Member State concerned: The particulars contained in the brochure are binding on the organiser or retailer, unless:

(c) the meal plan;

 changes in such particulars have been clearly communicated to the consumer before conclusion of the contract, in which case the brochure shall expressly state so,

(d) the itinerary;

- changes are made later following an agreement between the parties to the contract.'
- (e) general information on passport and visa requirements for nationals of the Member State or States concerned and health formalities required for the journey and the stay;
- 5. Under Article 4 of the directive:
- (f) either the monetary amount or the percentage of the price which is to be paid on account, and the timetable for payment of the balance;
- '1. (a) The organiser and/or the retailer shall provide the consumer, in writing or any other appropriate form, before the contract is concluded, with general information on passport and visa requirements applicable to nationals of the Member State or States concerned and in particular on the periods for obtaining them, as well as with information on the health formalities required for the journey and the stay;

(g) whether a minimum number of persons is required for the package to take place and, if so, the deadline for informing the consumer in the event of cancellation.

- (b) The organiser and/or retailer shall also provide the consumer, in writing or any other appropriate form, with the following information in good time before the start of the journey:
- (iii) in the case of journeys or stays abroad by minors, information enabling direct contact to be established with the child or the person responsible at the child's place of stay;

- (i) the times and places of intermediate stops and transport connections as well as details of the place to be occupied by the traveller, e.g. cabin or berth on ship, sleeper compartment on train;
- (iv) information on the optional conclusion of an insurance policy to cover the cost of cancellation by the consumer or the cost of assistance, including repatriation, in the event of accident or illness.

- (ii) the name, address and telephone number of the organiser's and/or retailer's local representative or, failing that, of local agencies on whose assistance a consumer in difficulty could call.
- 2. Member States shall ensure that in relation to the contract the following principles apply:
- (a) depending on the particular package, the contract shall contain at least the elements listed in the Annex;
- Where no such representatives or agencies exist, the consumer must in any case be provided with an emergency telephone number or any other information that will enable him to contract the organiser and/or the retailer;
- (b) all the terms of the contract are set out in writing or such other form as is comprehensible and accessible to the consumer and must be communicated to him before the conclusion of the contract; the consumer is given a copy of these terms;

(c) the provision under (b) shall not preclude the belated conclusion of lastminute reservations or contracts. or disembarkation fees at ports and airports,

- 3. Where the consumer is prevented from proceeding with the package, he may transfer his booking, having first given the organiser or the retailer reasonable notice of his intention before departure, to a person who satisfies all the conditions applicable to the package. The transferor of the package and the transferee shall be jointly and severally liable to the organiser or retailer party to the contract for payment of the balance due and for any additional costs arising from such transfer.
- the exchange rates applied to the particular package.
- (b) During the 20 days prior to the departure date stipulated, the price stated in the contract shall not be increased.

...'

- 4. (a) The prices laid down in the contract shall not be subject to revision unless the contract expressly provides for the possibility of upward or downward revision and states precisely how the revised price is to be calculated, and solely to allow for variations in:
- 6. On the basis of the annex to the directive, amongst the 'Elements to be included in the contract if relevant to the particular package' are the 'special requirements which the consumer has communicated to the organiser or retailer when making the booking, and which both have accepted' (letter (j)).
- transportation costs, including the cost of fuel,
- B National provisions
- dues, taxes or fees chargeable for certain services, such as landing taxes or embarkation
- 7. Amongst the instruments transposing the directive into Portuguese law is Decree Law No 209/97 of 13 August 1997 which

governs the access to and the operation of the activities of travel agencies. ³ Article 17 of that decree law includes in the concept of tourist travel not only 'packages', as defined in Article 2(1) of the directive (see Article 17(2) of the Decree Law), but also 'customised' holidays, that is 'prepared at the request of the client to meet the needs stated by him' (Article 17(3)). ⁴

Gregolimano. It was therefore Club Med which made the necessary reservations at the hotel complex in Gregolimano (accommodation, meals and transfers) and prepared the programme, publicised it and set the inclusive price.

II — Facts of the case and questions referred for a preliminary ruling

8. Mr Alberto Carlos Lobo Gonçalves Garrido had purchased a holiday package from Club-Tour, Viagens e Turismo S.A. (hereinafter 'Club-Tour'), a travel agency which specialises in the organisation and sale of package travel, including airline tickets and accommodation for two weeks, at full board, at a Greek hotel complex known as 'Club Med Gregolimano', at a price of PTE 1 692 928, of which PTE 1 155 860 was for accommodation at the abovementioned hotel complex.

10. On arrival at the hotel complex, the Garrido family were unpleasantly surprised to find it infested with thousands of wasps which prevented them from enjoying their holiday for the whole duration of their stay. In addition, the immediate request by Mr Garrido to be transferred to another complex could not be met by Club-Tour, as Club Med, which Club Tour contacted for that purpose, stated that they were unable to offer a valid alternative for that time.

9. For Mr Garrido's holiday, Club-Tour contacted the travel agency Club Med Viagens Lda (hereinafter 'Club Med'), and purchased from it the stay at Club Med

11. In view of the above, on his return to

Portugal Mr Garrido refused to pay the price agreed with Club-Tour. Club-Tour then brought an action before the 8th Civil District of the Oporto Local Court seeking that Mr Garrido be ordered to pay the amount due. In support of its claim, the agency challenged in particular the applicability of the directive to this case on the grounds that, in its view, the service offered in that situation could not be described as a

^{3 —} Diário da República I Série A No 186 of 13 August 1997, page 4219.

^{4 —} Unofficial translation.

'pre-arranged combination' within the meaning of Article 2(1) of the directive.

III — Legal analysis

12. Given that, as shown in its preamble, the intention of the directive is to protect the consumer of tourism services by making the operators and travel agents responsible for losses due to improper performance of the contractual obligations, and on the assumption that the national law must be interpreted and applied in accordance with the directive, the 8th Civil District of the Oporto Local Court referred the following questions to the Court for a preliminary ruling:

A — First question

13. In the first question, the national court asks, essentially, whether the concept of 'package' in Article 2(1) of the directive also includes 'customised' package holidays, that is to say, tourism services which are organised at the request and on the initiative of the consumer or a strictly defined group of consumers in accordance with their wishes and therefore not fixed in advance unilaterally by the travel agencies.

'(1) Does a package organised by the agency, at the request and on the initiative of the consumer or a strictly defined group of consumers in accordance with their wishes, including transport and accommodation through a tourism undertaking, at an inclusive price, for a period of more than 24 hours or including overnight accommodation, fall within the scope of the concept of "package travel" as defined in Article 2(1)?

14. First of all, I must point out that all the parties which presented observations in these proceedings, namely the Portuguese, Austrian, Belgian, Spanish and French Governments, and also the Commission, were in agreement that a positive answer should be given to the question at issue, on the basis of arguments which I shall now set out and with which I am fully in agreement.

(2) May the expression "pre-arranged" which appears in the directive be interpreted as referring to the moment when the contract is entered into between the agency and the customer?"

15. First, it is observed that that answer results from the text of the provision under discussion, which defines in broad terms

the concept of a 'package', a concept from which nothing suggests that 'customised' holidays are excluded, or that they should be treated differently as compared with the general rules. Under Article 2(1) of the directive, for a service to be described as a 'package', it is sufficient, firstly, that the combination of tourist services sold by a travel agency at an inclusive price includes two of three components which, under that provision, are the main features of those services (that is, transport, accommodation and other tourist services not ancillary to transport or accommodation and accounting for a significant proportion of the package), and secondly, that the service exceeds 24 hours or includes an overnight stay. On the other hand, the directive does not require the service to be the result of a proposal by the agency to the customer, or that it should correspond to a rigid plan, except for the aspects indicated; any changes to that plan made at the request of the individual consumer do not therefore appear in themselves capable of changing those characteristics which define the concept at issue.

16. In confirmation of the above, various Governments and the Commission rightly recalled that in its judgment in *Rechberger* 5 the Court confirmed that 'according to Article 2(1) of the directive, all that is needed to constitute a package is the prearranged combination of at least two of the three components mentioned in that paragraph, when sold or offered for sale at an inclusive price' (paragraph 29), so that the fact that the payment due from the con-

sumer corresponds to only one of the components of the service does not preclude the application of the directive. Not only that, but the Court went on to say that 'there is no basis in the text of the directive for limiting its scope to packages offered to a potentially unlimited number of consumers and that it would be contrary to the purpose of the directive to do so. In order for the directive to apply, it is sufficient if the package is sold or offered for sale within the territory of the Community at an inclusive price and includes at least two of the components mentioned in Article 2(1) of the directive' (paragraph 31).

17. As various Governments have emphasised, the judgment in AFS 6 is to the same effect, a case in which the Court gave a ruling with reference to a service for which the applicability of Article 2(1) of the directive had been called in doubt, as the stay was of long duration and provided free of charge (it was travel as part of a student exchange programme). On that occasion, after pointing out again that the concept of the 'package' does not require all the components stated in Article 2(1) of the directive to be present, the Court stated firstly that the fact that the service was provided for consideration 'does not constitute an essential element' of that concept (paragraph 26) and secondly, that while 'the accommodation included in package travel (is) normally of relatively short

 ^{6 —} Case C-237/97 AFS Intercultural Programs Finland [1999] ECR I-825.

duration', that duration 'cannot be regarded as a defining element', as the directive, which applies to 'any travel exceeding 24 hours', '[does not provide for] any maximum duration' (paragraph 27).

18. In support of that interpretation, some Governments and the Commission also mentioned the preparatory work for the directive and the amendments which were made during the course of that work to Article 2(1), specifically to broaden the concept of 'package' service or travel at an inclusive price as compared with the original proposal by the Commission of 23 March 1988. While in the original proposal the concept of 'package' included only 'the pre-arranged combination of not less than two of the following when organised at a global price' now, as we have seen, 'package' means 'the pre-arranged combination of not fewer than two of the following when sold or offered for sale at an inclusive price.' 8 This essentially confirms that the Community legislature intentionally chose to move from the concept of a service designed and offered for sale without any intervention from the consumer to a concept which does not allow the exclusion of a 'customised' service, that is 'sold' in accordance with the particular needs of a given consumer. But that is not all. Again, in the original proposal by the Commission, 'organiser' was defined as 'the person who, in the course of his business, organises the package and offers it by means of brochures or other forms of advertising, to the public generally', thus clearly meaning that the 'package' corresponded to pre-arranged models, described and advertised in brochures. However, in the final text, as a result of concerns expressed by the European Parliament and the Economic and Social Committee, which considered that definition to be too restrictive of the scope of the directive, the provision defines the 'organiser' as 'the person who, other than occasionally, organises packages and sells or offers them for sale, whether directly or through a retailer.'9

19. In a 1999 report on the implementation of the directive, ¹⁰ which is also mentioned by the parties several times, the Commission had emphasised, among other things, the changes made to the directive during the preparatory work, deducing that even though the text of Article 2(1) of the directive is not explicit in that sense, it would be difficult to argue that the provision does not also include 'customised' packages, given that the requirements to protect the consumer are the same for 'customised' holidays and holidays prepared in advance by the organiser.

^{7 —} COM(88) 41 final (OJ 1988 C 96, p. 5).

^{8 —} My italics.

 ^{9 —} Article 2(2) of the directive (my italics).
10 — Report on the implementation of Directive 90/314/EEC on package travel and holiday tours in the domestic legislation of EC Member States [SEC(1999) 1800 final; see section 1.2.1].

20. The Commission also noted, however, that a systematic reading of the directive bears out that point. Pursuant to Article 4(2)(a) 'depending on the particular package, the contract shall contain at least the elements listed in the Annex' to the directive. In (i) of the Annex it is stated that amongst the 'elements to be included in the contract if relevant to the particular package' are the 'special requirements which the consumer has communicated to the organiser or retailer when making the booking, and which both have accepted.' 11 This means that, before the contract is entered into, the consumer can inform the travel agency or organiser of special wishes or specific requirements and that, for that reason, he is not obliged to accept only the 'pre-arranged combination' proposed by them. The Austrian Government also added that there are already many organisers who offer modules of tourism services which can be combined depending on the requirements of the customers, just as there are 'packages' organised in advance which can be changed again on the basis of the specific needs of a particular customer at the time of booking.

that, even though the text of the provision and the preparatory work were still to leave some doubt, that doubt is finally dispelled if it is borne in mind that the interpretation of the directive in question must be inspired by a criterion which is not restrictive in any way, in order to ensure that the consumer has the broadest protection possible. The judgments of the Court in the cases already mentioned, AFS and Rechberger, are, I believe, clearly orientated to that view, but I should point out that I too have espoused it recently in my Opinion in the Leitner case, 12 linking that criterion of interpretation not only to the systematic analysis of the text and the aims of the directive, but also to the fact that it was adopted pursuant to Article 100a (now, after amendment, Article 95 EC), the third paragraph of which requires that harmonisation measures on consumer protection be based on a high level of protection. 13 An interpretation of Article 2(1) of the directive which goes against the line suggested here would mean a reduction of consumer protection for the whole spectrum of 'customised' travel.

21. Over and above those considerations, however, which in my view are decisive, the parties have been at one in emphasising

^{22.} The above observations by the parties participating in these proceedings appear to me, as I anticipated, to be wholly defens-

^{12 —} Opinion in Case C-168/00 Leitner of 20 September 2001, judgment of 12 March 2002 ECR I-2631, I-2633.

^{13 —} Point 26, where I also note the concurring Opinion of Advocate General Saggio delivered in the Rechberger case, paragraph 17.

ible. Consequently I propose that the first question should be given a positive answer.

B — Second question

23. In the second question the referring court asks whether the expression 'pre-arranged combination' which appears in Article 2(1) of the directive may be interpreted as referring to the moment when the contract is entered into between the agency and the customer.

24. Taking into account the solution suggested for the first question, that is, that the concept of the 'package' includes 'customised' travel, all the parties agree that the question should receive a positive response, with the exclusion, stated by the Spanish Government, of services which are agreed at the place of the service or tourist destination.

are also those which arise from organisation 'in consultation' between the agency and the individual customer, and that this 'consultation' may be extended until the moment the parties reach agreement, and therefore until the contract is entered into, the expression 'pre-arranged combination' can only have the meaning indicated in the question under discussion. On the other hand, a tourism service does not cease being a 'package' within the meaning of the directive solely because the consumer makes his special requirements known to the organiser 'when making the booking' [see (j) of the Annex to the directive].

26. The French Government and the Commission also noted that in the 1999 report, referred to above, the Commission had suggested the removal of the term 'prearranged', which was thought to be ambiguous and a source of uncertainty. If we consider, as I do, that the directive also includes 'customised' holidays, that is those whose details can be finalised close to or on the occasion of the contract being entered into, that term does indeed appear to be superfluous.

25. It seems to me that the observations of the parties can be espoused on this point too. Given that amongst the tourism services included in the scope of the directive

27. In conclusion, I hold the view that a positive answer should also be given to the second question.

IV — Conclusion

- 28. For the reasons set out above, I therefore propose that the Court declare that:
- (1) Packages organised by agencies, at the request and on the initiative of the consumer or a strictly defined group of consumers in accordance with their wishes, including transport and accommodation in a tourism complex, at an inclusive price, for a period of more than 24 hours or including overnight accommodation, fall within the scope of Article 2(1) of Council Directive 90/314/EEC of 13 June 1990 on package travel, package holidays and package tours.
- (2) The expression 'pre-arranged combination' which appears in Article 2(1) of Directive 90/314/EEC may be interpreted by reference to the moment, when the contract is entered into between the agency and the customer.