# JUDGMENT OF THE COURT (FIRST CHAMBER) 8 JULY 1965<sup>1</sup>

# Stefan Krawczynski v Commission of the EAEC

### Case 83/63

#### Summary

- 1. Procedure—Conclusions in the application—Amendment in the course of proceedings—Prohibited in principle—Permissibility in certain circumstances (Rules of Procedure, Article 42 (2))
- 2. Officials—Disputes with the administration—Classification as the subject of an appeal—Disputes of a financial character within the meaning of Article 91 (1) of the Staff Regulations of Officials of the EEC-EAEC—Admissibility
- Amendment of the conclusions of the application in the course of the proceedings is only permissible if such amendment is based on matters of law or of fact which come to light in the course of the written procedure.
- 2. An official is entitled to request the Court to give a ruling on the legality of his classification as such an appeal constitutes a dispute of a financial character, within the meaning of Article 91 (1) of the Staff Regulations of Officials.

### In Case 83/63

STEFAN KRAWCZYNSKI, Doctor of natural sciences, graduate in physical science, an official of the Commission of the European Atomic Energy Community, resident at 33 Via Milano, Angera (Italy), assisted by Ernest Arendt, Advocate of the Cour Supérieure de Justice of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of his said counsel, 6 rue Willy-Goergen,

applicant,

V

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN ATOMIC ENERGY COMMUNITY, Brussels, represented by its Legal Adviser, Jean-Pierre Delahousse, acting as Agent, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Offices of Henri Manzanarès, Secretary of the Legal Department of the European Executives, 2 place de Metz,

defendant,