## **COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2015/1014**

## of 25 June 2015

amending Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 establishing the Community list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Community

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 of the European Parliament and the Council of 14 December 2005 on the establishment of a Community list of air carriers subject to an operating ban within the Community and on informing air passengers of the identity of the operating carrier, and repealing Article 9 of Directive 2004/36/CE (1), and in particular Article 4(2) thereof,

Whereas:

- Commission Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 (2) established the list of air carriers which are subject to an operating (1)ban within the Union, referred to in Chapter II of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.
- In accordance with Article 4(3) of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, some Member States and the European (2)Aviation Safety Agency ('EASA') communicated to the Commission information that is relevant in the context of updating that Union list. Relevant information was also communicated by certain third countries. On the basis of that information and the verifications carried out by the Commission, the Union list should now be updated.
- (3) The Commission informed all air carriers concerned, either directly or through the authorities responsible for their regulatory oversight, about the essential facts and considerations which would form the basis for a decision to impose on them an operating ban within the Union or to modify the conditions of an operating ban imposed on an air carrier which is included in the Union list.
- (4) The Commission gave the air carriers concerned the opportunity to consult the documents provided by the Member States, EASA and the third countries concerned, to submit written comments and to make an oral presentation to the Commission and to the Air Safety Committee established by Council Regulation (EEC) No 3922/1991 (<sup>3</sup>).
- The Commission updated the Air Safety Committee on the on-going joint consultations, in the framework of (5) Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 and its implementing Regulation (EC) No 473/2006 (4), with competent authorities and air carriers of Angola, Botswana, Democratic Republic of Congo, Gabon, Ghana, India, Indonesia, Iran, Kazakhstan, Lebanon, Libya, Madagascar, the Islamic Republic of Mauritania, Mozambique, the Philippines, Sudan, Thailand, Yemen and Zambia. The Air Safety Committee also received information from the Commission on Afghanistan, Benin, the Republic of Guinea, the Kyrgyz Republic, Nepal, North Korea, São Tomé and Príncipe, and Taiwan and it was updated by the Commission on technical consultations with the Russian Federation.
- EASA presented to the Commission and the Air Safety Committee the results of the analysis of audit reports (6) carried out by the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO') in the framework of ICAO's Universal Safety

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 344, 27.12.2005, p. 15.

<sup>(2)</sup> Commission Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 of 22 March 2006 establishing the Community list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Community referred to in Chapter II of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 84, 23.3.2006, p. 14). Council Regulation (EEC) No 3922/1991 of 16 December 1991 on the harmonization of the technical requirements and administrative

procedures in the field of civil aviation (OJ L 373, 31.12.1991, p. 4).

Commission Regulation (EC) No 473/2006 of 22 March 2006 laying down implementing rules for the Community list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Community referred to in Chapter II of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 84, 23.3.2006, p. 8).

Oversight Audit Programme ('USOAP'). In this context, the importance was recalled of Member States prioritising ramp inspections on air carriers licensed by States in respect of which Significant Safety Concerns have been identified by ICAO or in respect of which EASA concluded that there are significant deficiencies in the safety oversight system. In addition to the consultations undertaken by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, such prioritisation is expected to allow the acquisition of further information regarding the safety performance of the air carriers licensed in those states.

- (7) EASA presented to the Commission and the Air Safety Committee the results of the analysis of ramp inspections carried out under the Safety Assessment of Foreign Aircraft ('SAFA') programme in accordance with Commission Regulation (EU) No 965/2012 (<sup>1</sup>).
- (8) EASA also updated the Commission and the Air Safety Committee on the technical assistance projects carried out in States affected by measures or monitoring under Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005. EASA set out its plans in this respect and provided information regarding requests for further technical assistance and cooperation to improve the administrative and technical capability of civil aviation authorities with a view to helping resolve any noncompliance with applicable international standards. Member States were invited to respond to those requests on a bilateral basis in coordination with the Commission and EASA. In this regard, the Commission underlined the usefulness of providing information to the international aviation community, particularly through ICAO's Safety Collaborative Assistance Network database, on technical assistance provided by the Union and by its Member States, to improve aviation safety around the world.
- (9) Eurocontrol updated the Commission and the Air Safety Committee on the status of the SAFA alarming function and on the current statistics for alert messages for banned carriers.

### Union air carriers

- (10) Following the analysis by EASA of information resulting from ramp inspections carried out on aircraft of Union air carriers or from standardisation inspections carried out by EASA, as well as specific inspections and audits carried out by national aviation authorities, several Member States have taken certain enforcement measures and informed the Commission and the Air Safety Committee about those measures. Estonia informed that the Estonian Civil Aviation Authority performed an audit on the air carrier AS Avies and that the findings are being addressed by the air carrier.
- (11) Member States reiterated their readiness to act appropriately where relevant safety information indicates that there are imminent safety risks as a consequence of a lack of compliance by Union air carriers with the appropriate safety standards.

## Air carriers from Angola

- (12) Regulation (EC) No 474/2006, as amended by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1197/2011 (<sup>2</sup>), allows TAAG Angola Airlines certified in Angola, to operate into the Union four aircraft of type Boeing 737-700 with registration marks D2-TBF, D2-TBG, D2-TBH and D2-TBJ, three aircraft of type Boeing 777-200 with registration marks D2-TED, D2-TEE and D2-TEF, and two aircraft of type Boeing 777-300 with registration marks D2-TEG and D2-TEH.
- (13) TAAG Angola Airlines has submitted on 21 November 2014, through the competent authorities of Angola ('INAVIC'), a request to add a new aircraft of type Boeing 777-300 to Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006. Following an invitation by the Commission both INAVIC and TAAG Angola Airlines attended a technical

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Commission Regulation (EU) No 965/2012 of 5 October 2012 laying down the technical requirements and administrative procedures related to air operations pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 296, 25.10.2012, p. 1).

<sup>(2)</sup> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1197/2011 of 21 November 2011 (OJ L 303, 22.11.2011, p. 14). See in particular points 26 to 30 of the recitals of this Regulation.

consultation meeting in Brussels on 25 February 2015 where the current safety situation was thoroughly reviewed in all its aspects, including with regard to the addition of new aircraft to the fleet of TAAG Angola Airlines.

- (14) INAVIC have focused their effort mainly on the alignment of the legal framework with international requirements, improvement of the infrastructure (radio coverage of their territory) and reinforcement of the licencing requirements for personnel and organisations as well as oversight of the existing operators. As this last activity does not yet fully meet the required international safety standards because the certification of operators is not a sufficiently robust process, there is no room for a relaxation of the current ban on all carriers certified by INAVIC other than TAAG Angola Airlines. The Commission noted that there is an improvement in the communication and coordination between INAVIC and TAAG Angola Airlines, with meetings taking place regularly to discuss all aspects of the air carrier's operations.
- (15) TAAG Angola Airlines advised on the renewal and expansion of the fleet, with the withdrawal of the B737-200 and B747-300 Combi aircraft and the purchase of new B777-200, B777-300ER and B737-700 aircraft, complemented by a strong focus on quality of operations, engineering and maintenance and growth. Pilot training has been significantly improved with the help of external consultants. Safety has been further bolstered with the introduction of a non-punitive, anonymised occurrence reporting mechanism. This information, together with a comprehensive FDM (Flight Data Monitoring) analysis, is now systematically used to identify and prevent the re-occurrence of past incidents or anomalies and is fed back into the pilot training programme.
- (16) In the context of the third country operator authorisation process (<sup>1</sup>), TAAG Angola Airlines has been engaged in a continuous dialogue with EASA since November 2014 and has been supplying factual and detailed data on their fleet of aircraft and operations.
- (17) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union should be amended to include the additional aircraft of type Boeing 777-300 with registration mark D2-TEI of TAAG Angola Airlines in Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 which would be allowed to operate in the Union.
- (18) Member States are to continue to verify effective compliance by TAAG Angola Airlines with the relevant safety standards, through the prioritisation of ramp inspections to be carried out on aircraft of this air carrier pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 965/2012.

#### Air carriers from Botswana

- (19) At the request of the Commission, the Civil Aviation Authority of Botswana provided information on the progress of the resolution of the Significant Safety Concerns and other ICAO findings by letter of 30 January 2015. Further progress has been shown by the Civil Aviation Authority of Botswana with respect to the implementation of international safety standards. The Civil Aviation Authority of Botswana is encouraged to seek verification by ICAO of the resolution of the Significant Safety Concerns.
- (20) The safety information available does not support a decision to impose a ban or operational restrictions on air carriers certified in Botswana. However, the Commission considers that the situation should continue to be closely monitored.
- (21) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that there are at this stage no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union by including air carriers from Botswana.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Commission Regulation (EU) No 452/2014 of 29 April 2014 laying down technical requirements and administrative procedures related to air operations of third country operators pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

## Air carriers from the Democratic Republic of Congo

- (22) All air carriers certified in the Democratic Republic of Congo have been included in Annex A of Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 since March 2006 (<sup>1</sup>).
- (23) By letter of 27 May 2015, the competent authorities of the Democratic Republic of Congo, the Autorité de l'Aviation Civile ('AAC'), informed the Commission that the Air Operator Certificates of the air carriers Air Baraka, Biega Airways, Cetrac Aviation Service SPRL, Congo Express, GIS'AIR, Goma Express, GTRA, Katanga Express, Okapi Airlines, Patron Airways, Pegasus Aviation, Sion Airlines and Tracep Congo had been revoked and therefore these air carriers should be removed from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.
- (24) On 4 June 2015, AAC provided the Commission with additional information that shows that the Air Operator Certificates of the air carriers African Air Service Commuter, Air Castilla, Air Malebo, Armi Global Business Airways, Business Aviation, CHC Stellavia, Eagles Services, Ephrata Airlines, Filair, Fly Congo, Galaxy Kavatsi, International Trans Air Business, Jet Congo Airlines, Katanga Wings, Lignes Aériennes Congolaises, Mavivi Air Trade, Safe Air, Stellar Airways, Waltair Aviation and Wimbi Dira Airways had been revoked and therefore these air carriers should be removed from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.
- (25) AAC also informed the Commission that an operating licence had been issued to the air carriers *Dakota SPRL*, *Malu Aviation, Serve Air* and *Congo Airways* without demonstrating that the certification and oversight of this air carrier fully comply with the applicable international safety standards. These air carriers should therefore be added to Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.
- (26) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union should be amended to remove the air carriers African Air Service Commuter, Air Baraka, Air Castilla, Air Malebo, Armi global Business Airways, Biega Airways, Business Aviation, Cetrac Aviation Service SPRL, CHC Stellavia, Congo Express, Eagles Services, Ephrata Airlines, Filair, Fly Congo, Galaxy Kavatsi, GIS'AIR, Goma Express, GTRA, International Trans Air Business, Jet Congo Airlines, Katanga Express, Katanga Wings, Lignes Aériennes Congolaises, Mavivi Air Trade, Okapi Airlines, Patron Airways, Pegasus Aviation, Safe Air, Sion Airlines, Stellar Airways, Tracep Congo, Waltair Aviation and Wimbi Dira Airways from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.

## Air carriers from Gabon

- (27) The air carriers Air Services SA and SCD Aviation have been included in Annex A since July 2008. The air carrier Gabon Airlines was allowed since July 2008 to operate in the EU only with the aircraft of type Boeing 767-200 with registration marks TR-LHP under the conditions contained in recital (15) of Regulation (EC) No 715/2008 (<sup>2</sup>).
- (28) On 5 June 2015, the competent authorities of Gabon provided the Commission with evidence of the revocation of the Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') of the air carriers Air Services SA, SCD Aviation and Gabon Airlines, and therefore these air carriers should be removed from the Union list of air carriers subject to a ban or operating restrictions.
- (29) The competent authorities of Gabon informed the Commission of the issuance of a new AOC to the air carrier Tropical Air Gabon on 6 May 2015 without demonstrating that the certification and oversight of this air carrier fully comply with the applicable international safety standards. This air carrier should therefore be added to Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Commission Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 of 22 March 2006 establishing the Community list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Community referred to in Chapter II of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 84, 23.3.2006, p. 14).

<sup>(2)</sup> Regulation (EC) No 715/2008 of 24 July 2008 amending Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 establishing the Community list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Community (OJ L 197, 25.7.2008, p. 36).

(30) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union should be amended to remove the air carriers Air Services SA and SCD Aviation from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006, to remove the air carrier Gabon Airlines from Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 and to add the air carrier Tropical Air Gabon to Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.

## Air carriers from Ghana

- (31) In September 2010, Meridian Airways LTD was included in Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 (<sup>1</sup>). In September 2010 Airlift International (GH) LTD was included in Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006, whereby only one particular aircraft of type DC-8-63F, was allowed to operate in the Union. Both decisions stemmed from serious safety deficiencies identified during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme. In November 2010, it was assessed that Airlift International (GH) LTD could operate a second DC-8-63F aircraft in the Union (<sup>2</sup>).
- (32) On 5 February 2014, the Ghana Civil Aviation Authority ('GCAA') sent to the Commission the Aircraft Deregistration Certificates for certain DC-8-63F aircraft registered in Ghana. The GCAA also reported that it had issued a technical directive banning the use of DC-8 aircraft by air carriers certified in Ghana, which took effect on 31 December 2013. This evidence should be viewed as confirmation that the State of Ghana no longer supported operations of the DC-8 aircraft on its aircraft registry.
- (33) On 16 February 2015, the GCAA provided written evidence to the Commission that the GCAA had revoked the Air Operator Certificates of *Meridian Airways LTD* and of *Airlift International (GH) LTD*. A technical meeting between senior representatives of the GCAA, the Commission and EASA took place on 17 March 2015, during which the GCAA provided details in respect to its current organisational structure, its oversight of air carriers certified in Ghana and the Air Operator certification process. The evidence of the revocation of these two Air Operator Certificates and the facts presented during the technical meeting of GCAA aviation safety oversight arrangements were deemed sufficient to conclude that operations by *Meridian Airways LTD* and *Airlift International (GH) LTD* had ceased.
- (34) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union should be amended to remove Meridian Airways LTD from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 and Airlift International (GH) LTD from Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.
- (35) Should any relevant safety information indicate that there are imminent safety risks as a consequence of lack of compliance with international safety standards, the Commission may be forced to take action in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.

## Air carriers from India

(36) By letter of 24 December 2014, the Indian Directorate General of Civil Aviation ('DGCA') provided the Commission with an update on the corrective actions undertaken by the Indian DGCA in respect to the decision of January 2014 by the United States Federal Aviation Administration ('FAA') to downgrade India's compliance status from category 1 to category 2, pursuant to the FAA's International Aviation Safety Assessment ('IASA') audit. This letter also indicated that the FAA had carried out a reassessment of the Indian DGCA in December 2014. On 8 April 2015, the FAA announced the upgrading of India's IASA audit compliance status from category 1.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 791/2010 of 6 September 2010 (OJ L 237, 8.9.2010, p. 10). See in particular points 9 to 23 of the recitals of this Regulation.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1071/2010 of 22 November 2010 (OJ L 306, 23.11.2010, p. 44). See in particular points 29 to 31 of the recitals of this Regulation.

- (37) By letter of 10 April 2015 to the Indian DGCA the Commission, while welcoming the positive decision by the FAA to upgrade India's IASA compliance status, reiterated that the Indian DGCA would need to continue to engage with the Commission in respect of providing regular updates regarding the fulfilment by the Indian DGCA of its international safety and oversight obligations.
- (38) On 7 May 2015, technical consultations were held between experts from the Commission, EASA, a Member State and senior representatives from the Indian DGCA. The meeting allowed the Indian DGCA to provide details of the measures it had taken that resulted in the FAA upgrading India's compliance status from category 2 to 1. The Indian DGCA provided details of the corrective action plan it had implemented as well as specific details of sustainable measures that it had implemented to enhance its safety oversight capability. The Commission took note of the information provided by the Indian DGCA. It was assessed that no ban or operational restrictions on air carriers certified in India are necessary, but that further technical consultations remain of benefit in order to enable discussing safety related matters with the Indian DGCA on an ongoing basis.
- (39) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that there are at this stage no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union by including air carriers from India.
- (40) Member States are to continue to verify the effective compliance with relevant safety standards through the prioritisation of ramp inspections to be carried out on Indian air carriers pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 965/2012.

#### Air carriers from Indonesia

- (41) Regular consultations between the Commission and the Directorate General for Civil Aviation ('DGCA') of Indonesia continue, aiming at monitoring the progress of the DGCA of Indonesia in ensuring that the safety oversight of all air carriers certified in Indonesia is in compliance with international safety standards. Following the ICAO audit of May 2014, the DGCA finalised its corrective action plan and is currently in the process of completing those corrective actions.
- (42) On 28 December 2014, flight QZ8501 of the air carrier Indonesia AirAsia suffered an accident over the Java Sea. The aircraft was destroyed and all passengers and crew lost their lives. The Indonesian National Transportation Safety Committee ('NTSC') carries out the investigation into the accident and a final report is expected before the end of 2015.
- (43) In January 2015, representatives of the Commission visited Indonesia to discuss the results from the ICAO audit of May 2014, in order to ensure that the level of safety oversight on those air carriers that are no longer included in the Union list remains such that there are no grounds for including them in that list. The Commission representatives met the Indonesian Minister of Transport and representatives of the DGCA of Indonesia, the National Transportation Safety Committee and the air carriers concerned. Those air carriers gave a good overview of their safety management systems and implementation of the international safety standards.
- (44) By letter of 31 March 2015, the DGCA provided comprehensive information on the corrective actions that are in progress to resolve the problems identified by the ICAO findings. Furthermore, the DGCA provided information on the safety oversight of the air carriers concerned. Following the analysis of the information that was provided, the Commission requested further clarifications with respect to the safety oversight on the air carriers certified in Indonesia and the current list of Air Operator Certificate holders in Indonesia.
- (45) By letter of 13 May 2015 the additional clarifications were given. Information was provided on the surveillance and oversight program on the air carriers that are currently exempted from the operating ban, namely PT. Garuda Indonesia, Airfast Indonesia, Ekspres Transportasi Antarbenua and Indonesia Air Asia. Based on the information provided it was concluded that the DGCA is performing safety oversight on these air carriers and that there is no relevant safety information to support a decision to impose an operating ban.

- (46) In this same letter, the DGCA of Indonesia informed the Commission that the Air Operator Certificates of the air carriers Mandala Airlines (AOC No 121-005), Merpati Nusantara Airlines (AOC No 121-002), Sky Aviation (AOC No 121-028 and 135-044) and Republik Express (AOC No 121-040) had been revoked. Therefore the air carrier Mandala Airlines should be removed from the list of exempted air carriers from Indonesia and Merpati Nusantara Airlines, Sky Aviation and Republik Express should be removed from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.
- (47) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union should be amended to remove the air carrier Mandala Airlines from the list of exempted air carriers and to remove the air carriers Merpati Nusantara Airlines, Sky Aviation and Republik Express from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.

## Air carriers from Iran

- (48) The air carrier *Iran Air*, certified by the Civil Aviation Organisation of the Islamic Republic of Iran, was included in Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 on 30 March 2010 (<sup>1</sup>). After a Union on-site assessment visit, the operational restrictions to the fleet of *Iran Air* were further specified on 5 July 2010 (<sup>2</sup>).
- (49) Iran Air has provided the Commission information on their current fleet, supported by the relevant documentation. The air carrier requested to exclude the aircraft type A320 from the operating restrictions in order to be able to operate those aircraft to the Union. However, it has not been possible to this day to verify the evidence provided through a technical meeting and/or a Union on-site assessment visit. Therefore a decision with respect to allow the operation of aircraft of the type A320 by Iran Air cannot be taken at this time.
- (50) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that there are at this stage no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to air carriers certified in Iran.

## Air carriers from Kazakhstan

- (51) The Commission continues to monitor the implementation by Kazakhstan of the Corrective Actions Plan following the 2014 ICAO Coordinated Validation Mission ('ICVM') in the country. This ICVM confirmed the resolution of one significant safety concern in the field of airworthiness and overall progress in the implementation of international safety standards. However, ICAO found serious shortcomings in the field of air operations and even expanded the significant safety concern related to this field.
- (52) On 27 April 2015 technical consultations took place with the Civil Aviation Committee of Kazakhstan ('CAC') to allow the CAC to provide the Commission with an update on its oversight activities and its short- and mid-term priorities. According to the information provided by CAC, certain corrective actions have been launched. Other corrective actions are at various levels of completion. According to CAC, its priorities for 2015 include the introduction of a five phase Air Operator Certification process, the development of procedures for Special Approvals, the recruitment of additional technical staff, the development and implementation of a safety oversight programme and inspection plan, the introduction of new checklists for inspections and audits, the training of inspectors and the empowerment of inspectors to carry out their surveillance and control functions.
- (53) During the technical consultations *Air Astana* informed that CAC performed the recertification of the air carrier in April 2015. Furthermore, the air carrier provided regular updates on its flight operations, training and maintenance activities.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 273/2010 of 30 March 2010 (OJ L 84, 31.3.2010, p. 25). See in particular points 41 to 49 of the recitals of this Regulation.

<sup>(2)</sup> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 590/2010 of 5 July 2010 (OJ L 170, 6.7.2010, p. 9). See in particular points 60 to 71 of the recitals of this Regulation.

- (54) During the technical consultations of April 2015, the air carrier SCAT Air Company also informed the Commission that it has already passed an International Air Transport Association Operational Safety Audit and that the air carrier is likely to receive an International Air Transport Association Operational Safety Audit certificate by the end of 2015, pending its successful rectification of outstanding non-compliances.
- (55) On the basis of the information that is available to the Commission and the discussions that took place during the technical consultations, it was concluded that Kazakhstan continues to face challenges in the implementation of international safety standards. The Commission strongly encourages CAC to step up its efforts in implementing international safety standards as a precondition for the Commission to consider favourably a further relaxation of the restrictions as they currently apply to air carriers under CAC oversight.
- (56) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that at this stage there are no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to air carriers from Kazakhstan.
- (57) Member States are to continue to verify the effective compliance with relevant safety standards through the prioritisation of ramp inspections to be carried out on aircraft of Air Astana pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 965/2012.

# Air carriers from Lebanon

- (58) Consultations with the Directorate General for Civil Aviation ('DGCA') of Lebanon are ongoing, aiming to confirm that Lebanon is implementing the corrective action plan that was developed in response to the findings and the Significant Safety Concern based on the ICAO Coordinated Validation Mission of December 2012.
- (59) On 9 April 2015, the advisor to the Minister of Transport in Lebanon, during a meeting in Brussels, informed the Commission and EASA, about the establishment of a Civil Aviation Authority Board. He also referred to the proposals for the separation of the safety oversight functions from the service provision functions, which are currently both organised within the DGCA of Lebanon. Further information was provided on the steps taken by the DGCA together with ICAO. A Regional Office Safety Team mission by ICAO took place in March 2015 to verify the progress on the actions to rectify the Significant Safety Concern.
- (60) The Commission provided, through EASA, technical assistance on the implementation of international safety standards to the DGCA of Lebanon from September 2014 to March 2015, as a follow up to the Mediterranean Aviation Safety Cell project. These activities have assisted the DGCA of Lebanon in the implementation of the corrective actions, the improvement of their internal procedures, manuals and handbooks and their preparations on an improved organisational structure.
- (61) The safety information available does not support a decision to impose a ban or operational restrictions on air carriers certified in Lebanon. However, the Commission considered that the situation should continue to be closely monitored. Consultations with the Lebanese authorities are to continue in accordance with Article 3(2) of Regulation (EC) No 473/2006.
- (62) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that at this stage there are no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union by including air carriers from Lebanon.
- (63) Should any relevant safety information indicate that there are imminent safety risks as a consequence of a lack of compliance with international safety standards, the Commission may be forced to take further action in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.

#### Air carriers from Libya

- (64) The Commission continues to be concerned with regard to the aviation safety situation in Libya. The government recognised by the Union has designated a new competent authority, the Libyan Civil Aviation Authority ('LCAA'). Certain aviation safety-related tasks, such as issuing of Notices To Airmen ('NOTAM'), have been assigned by LCAA to competent authorities of other States. However, the former competent authority, i.e. the Libyan Civil Aviation Authority ('LYCAA') continues to operate and continues to issue NOTAM's in addition to those being issued on behalf of the LCAA. Aviation safety could be affected, because the NOTAM's issued by those organisations, if covering the same airspace or the same aerodromes, could contain conflicting information.
- (65) The Commission has established contacts with LCAA, but was not provided with useful and verifiable information on the current situation with regard to civil aviation oversight or the status of aviation safety in Libya.
- (66) Due to the present unclear and unstable situation in Libya and the limited capabilities of the LCAA to adequately oversee Libyan air carriers and to control imminent safety risks, it is considered that Libya cannot fulfil its international obligations in relation to aviation safety.
- (67) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that at this stage there are no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to air carriers from Libya.

## Air carriers from Madagascar

- (68) Consultations with the competent authorities of Madagascar, Aviation Civile de Madagascar ('ACM'), have continued actively with the purpose of monitoring the progress of these authorities in ensuring that the safety oversight of all air carriers certified in Madagascar is in compliance with international safety standards.
- (69) The Commission, assisted by EASA, held a consultation meeting on 28 April 2015 with ACM and representatives of the air carrier *Air Madagascar*. At this meeting, ACM and the air carrier provided information on the progress made in the implementation of their respective corrective and preventive action plan, undertaken to address the safety concerns raised during the EU assessment visit to Madagascar in February 2014
- (70) In particular, ACM reported on the progress made in the training of its inspectors, carried out in the framework of the ICAO technical assistance programme (SAFE), and indicated that it considers that the current qualified inspectors had received at the time of the meeting about 65 % of the necessary training to enable ACM to reach an acceptable level of performance in the discharge of its oversight obligations. While the oversight programme of 2014 had been carried out with the assistance of third parties, ACM was confident that the oversight programme of 2015 will demonstrate its capacity to discharge its oversight obligations. ACM also informed that it had recently decided to suspend the air operation certificate of the air carriers *Aeromarine, Henri Fraise Fils Transport Aérien* and *Insolite Travel Fl* as well as the approval of the training organisation Ecole Nationale d'Enseignement de l'Aéronautique et de la Météorologie. ACM finally underlined that the ICAO off-site evaluation of the critical elements 1 to 5 of a safety oversight system was ongoing and that this evaluation should be completed in July 2015.
- (71) In addition to information on its preventive and corrective plan the air carrier Air Madagascar provided the latest details regarding its fleet evolution and in particular informed that the acquisition of a third aircraft of type ATR 72-600 was under consideration and that the two aircraft of type Boeing 737-300 were scheduled to be replaced by aircraft of type Boeing 737-700 in the fourth quarter of 2015.
- (72) The Commission noted the information provided by ACM and the air carrier *Air Madagascar*. The Commission welcomed the progress made by ACM and the air carrier *Air Madagascar* by setting up new processes or improving the existing ones. However, the Commission strongly emphasizes the need for both organisations to

have the capacity to effectively implement these processes. The Commission recommends to progress step by step and to avoid processes which are only partially implemented, as was noted during the Union assessment visit to Madagascar in February 2014.

- (73) On 8 May 2015 ACM informed the Commission that the air carrier Air Madagascar had made the request to have the aircraft of type Airbus A340-300 with registration mark 5R-EAA added to the list of aircraft of the company that are already mentioned under Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.
- (74) On 29 May 2015, the Minister of Tourism, Transport and Meteorology of Madagascar expressed the wish to have the situation of the two aircraft of type Airbus A340-300 re-assessed during the meeting of the Air Safety Committee of June 2015. However, if the reassessment process of the potential removal of the air carrier Air Madagascar from Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 could not be completed before the meeting of June 2015, he would support the request made by the air carrier Air Madagascar for the inclusion of the aircraft of type Airbus A340-300 with registration mark 5R-EAA in Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.
- (75) In view of the seriousness of the findings which were raised on the occasion of the EU assessment visit to Madagascar in February 2014, the Commission considers that the conditions allowing such inclusion, in essence, do not differ from the conditions that need to be met to remove the air carrier Air Madagascar from Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006. The review of the information provided by ACM and the air carrier Air Madagascar, after the meeting of 28 April 2014, aiming at supporting the inclusion of the aircraft of type Airbus A340-300 with registration mark 5R-EAA in the Annex B to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 does not show that these conditions are met.
- (76) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that at this stage there are no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to air carriers from Madagascar.

## Air carriers from the Islamic Republic of Mauritania

- (77) On 24 February 2015, a technical meeting was held in Brussels between the Commission, EASA, several Member States and the civil aviation authorities of Mauritania, the Agence Nationale de l'Aviation Civile, as well as the air carrier *Mauritania Airlines International* ('MAI'). The Agence Nationale de l'Aviation Civile presented the safety situation in Mauritania and its State Safety Plan, highlighting its good ICAO audit results culminating in a high level of effective implementation of international safety standards. MAI explained how it responded to the recent SAFA findings and announced that it would go through the registration process for the International Air Transport Association Operation Safety Audit. MAI confirmed that, for economic reasons, it had stopped flying to certain Union destinations and that it intends to develop a regional network in cooperation with a Union air carrier. Consequently, MAI also decided to change its fleet composition in order to operate smaller aircraft on a schedule with higher flight frequencies.
- (78) The latest SAFA analysis provided by EASA indicated improvements in the sense that fewer findings were made during SAFA checks in the Union, although it was highlighted by some Member States that the introduction of a new type of aircraft in the fleet of MAI, the Embraer ERJ145, had not been accomplished in a satisfactory manner. From 10 to 14 March 2015, the International Air Transport Association Operational Safety pre-Audit took place in Nouakchott. The Agence Nationale de l'Aviation Civile and MAI provided the Commission with the preliminary results of this pre-audit. On that basis, it appears that there is an acceptable implementation of international safety standards and there are no elements pointing at the existence of particularly alarming safety deficiencies.
- (79) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that at this stage there are no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union by including air carriers from the Islamic Republic of Mauritania.

(80) Should any relevant safety information indicate that there are imminent safety risks as a consequence of a lack of compliance with international safety standards, the Commission may be forced to take further action in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.

#### Air carriers from Mozambique

- (81) An ICAO Coordinated Validation Mission took place in November and December 2014 in order to validate the progress of the implementation of the Corrective Action Plan of the competent civil aviation authorities in Mozambique, the Instituto de Aviação Civil de Moçambique (IACM'). The ICAO Coordinated Validation Mission covered the areas of the civil aviation legislation in Mozambique, the internal organisation of IACM, the aerodromes and ground aids and the air navigations services in Mozambique. The report on this ICAO Coordinated Validation Mission was posted on the ICAO website on 5 May 2015.
- (82) Recognising the progress achieved by IACM in the rectification of the deficiencies identified by ICAO as well as the effort of IACM towards completing its work of establishing an aviation system compliant with international safety standards, it was previously envisaged that a Union safety assessment mission could take place in 2015.
- (83) The Union assessment mission to Mozambique took place in April 2015, with the participation of experts from the Commission, EASA and Member States. The areas that were assessed were the primary aviation legislation and civil aviation regulations, the internal organisation of IACM, personnel licensing and training, supervision of airworthiness of aircraft and supervision of aircraft operations.
- (84) The Union assessment mission made clear that the legal framework in place contains a number of deviations from international safety standards. The revised basic aviation act, incorporating changes that will resolve these identified deviations, is awaiting governmental approval. Specific legal and technical regulations are in place but lack completeness and consistency. The revised statutes of IACM, conferring to IACM the required financial and operational autonomy and resolving identified deficiencies in this area, is also awaiting governmental approval. While most of the recruitment of staff is completed and some of the planned organisational modifications have been implemented, a significant portion of the implementation that must follow still needs to be completed.
- (85) The Union assessment mission also identified weaknesses and deficiencies in various areas of IACM's work, including personnel licensing and training, the supervision of airworthiness and operations of aircraft. In the three operators that, by way of relevant sample, were visited, the Union assessment team identified prominent gaps in record keeping, inadequate manuals, loose organisational mechanisms and poor maintenance practices. While some of these are entirely under the responsibility of the operator, many of them can be considered as signs of lack of adequate authority oversight.
- (86) On the other hand, IACM demonstrated a firm commitment to continue working towards its ultimate goal of achieving an aviation system compliant with international safety standards and continues to benefit from full governmental support and endorsement. However, IACM will also need professional, competent and unbiased guidance for the period to come. The Commission is preparing, with IACM and EASA, the provision of such technical assistance, with a view to helping resolve the remaining deficiencies and conclude the internal capacity building process necessary to reach the required sustainability.
- (87) The Union assessment mission allowed the Commission to conclude that, while IACM showed significant progress in the implementation of international safety standards, important deficiencies in the safety oversight system in Mozambique remain. The ability of IACM to oversee the civil aviation activities in Mozambique is at this stage not yet at a sufficient level according to international safety standards. Therefore there is insufficient evidence to support a decision on a relaxation of the operating ban of all the air carriers certified in Mozambique.
- (88) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that at this stage there are no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to air carriers from Mozambique.

## Air carriers from the Philippines

- (89) In March 2010, all air carriers certified in the Republic of the Philippines were included in Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 (<sup>1</sup>), on the basis of verified evidence of the insufficient ability of the authorities responsible for the oversight of those air carriers to address safety deficiencies. There was also insufficient evidence of compliance with applicable international safety standards and recommended practices on the part of the air carriers certified in the Republic of the Philippines,
- (90) In July 2013, the air carrier Philippine Airlines was removed from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 (<sup>2</sup>). In April 2014, the air carrier Cebu Pacific Air was removed from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 (<sup>3</sup>) as well. Both decisions were based on a Union on-site assessment visit of June 2013, the improved safety oversight provided by the Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines ('CAAP') of those air carriers and the ability of those air carriers to ensure effective compliance with international safety standards. In April 2014, the United States Federal Aviation Administration also announced its decision to upgrade the Philippines compliance status from category 2 to category 1 in respect to its International Aviation Safety Assessment programme.
- (91) On 10 March 2015, technical consultations were held between experts from the Commission, EASA, a Member State and senior representatives from CAAP, as well as three air carriers certified in the Philippines, namely Zest Airways Inc. (Dba 'Air Asia Zest'), Air Philippines Corporation and South East Asian Airlines (SEAir) Inc. CAAP provided an update in respect to ongoing organisational improvements, including the reorganisation of its Flight Standards Inspectorate Service and details of training for CAAP Inspectors. In addition, CAAP provided details of the safety oversight it performs on the air carriers: Air Asia Zest, Air Philippines Corporation and South East Asian Airlines (SEAir) Inc. With respect to the safety oversight provided by CAAP, the details presented at the meeting included the scheduled Minimum Required Annual Inspection programme for each carrier, as well as specific details of surveillance activities relating to each of them. At the meeting, CAAP also provided an update on the implementation of the Philippines State Safety Programme, including the related training and awareness programme. CAAP also gave an update of the investigation into the runway excursion of an aircraft of Air Asia Zest that took place on 30 December 2014.
- (92) At the 10 March 2015 technical consultations Air Asia Zest, Air Philippines Corporation and South East Asian Airlines (SEAir) Inc each explained the functioning of their respective operations. The information provided by each air carrier included details of organisational structure and the functioning of their individual Safety and Quality Departments. Specifics included current fleet information, the mitigation of safety concerns in each air carrier and details of internal quality assurance provision. In addition, each carrier presented their individual Flight Data Monitoring programmes.
- (93) On the basis of the evidence presented during these technical consultations, a Union on-site assessment visit to the Philippines was organised in April 2015. Experts from the Commission, EASA and Member States participated in this assessment visit. The assessment visit was conducted at the offices of CAAP and, by way of sample, at several air carriers certified in the Philippines, namely Air Asia Inc, Air Asia Zest, Air Philippines Corporation, Island Aviation Inc, Magnum Air (Skyjet) Inc, South East Asian Airlines (SEAIr) Inc and South East Asian Airlines (SEAIR) International.
- (94) Evidence presented during the Union on-site assessment visit included that the Flight Standards Inspectorate Service has 173 staff directly tasked with conducting certification and oversight. Of the evidence sampled it was confirmed that the Principal Operations and Maintenance inspectors were sufficiently experienced to conduct effective oversight and that they had received formal training in respect to their oversight responsibilities. To assist its inspectors with the conduct of their oversight responsibilities, CAAP has produced an inspector job aid which includes structured job aid checklists, CAAP technical guidance documentation, inspecting forms and surveillance reference documentation.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 273/2010 of 30 March 2010 (OJ L 84, 31.3.2010, p. 25). See in particular points 74 to 87 of the recitals of this Regulation.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 659/2013 of 10 July 2013 (OJ L 190, 11.7.2013, p. 54). See in particular points 80 to 94 of the recitals of this Regulation.

<sup>(3)</sup> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 368/2014 of 10 April 2014 (OJ L 108, 11.4.2014, p. 16). See in particular points 102 to 119 of the recitals of this Regulation.

27.6.2015 EN

- (95) The evidence presented by CAAP during the Union on-site assessment visit included that to assist with the planning of the Minimum Required Annual Inspection schedule CAAP utilizes a civil aviation safety oversight reporting and tracking (CASORT) database. To enable a structured oversight schedule to be put in place by individual inspectors, CAAP publishes 'National Surveillance and Inspection Program Guidelines'. This document includes options for focused inspections in the event of an under-performing Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') holder. In terms of AOC certification and renewal, CAAP has published an 'Air Operator Certification and Administration Manual'. During the Union assessment visit the AOC certification and renewal files were sampled for 9 CAAP certified air carriers, including all of the air carriers that were visited by the EU assessment team. In addition, observation was made of CAAP inspectors conducting specific surveillance activity. The planning and conduct of this activity was observed to be of a satisfactory standard.
- (96) By way of relevant sample of air carriers certified in the Philippines, seven air carriers were visited during the Union on-site assessment visit. This sample included the four largest Philippine certified air carriers that are still included in Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006. The visit sought to verify the extent of their compliance with international safety standards. In addition, the visit, in accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, sought to make an assessment of the willingness and ability of each of these air carriers to address safety deficiencies. The main conclusion of the visits to these air carriers is that there was no lack of willingness and in general no lack of ability to address safety deficiencies.
- (97) In regard to the Union on-site assessment visit, with respect to the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) no 2111/2005 it was concluded that the Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines is willing and able to address safety deficiencies and has sufficient ability to implement and, when necessary, enforce relevant international safety standards, including the Philippines Civil Aviation Regulations.
- (98) CAAP was heard by the Air Safety Committee on 10 June 2015. On that occasion, by way of relevant sample, three air carriers certified in the Philippines were also heard, (Air Asia Zest, Air Philippines Corporation and Cebgo Inc, formerly South East Asian Airlines (SEAir) Inc).
- (99) CAAP presented to the Committee its current Flight Standards Inspectorate Service organisational structure, provided detail of its existing workforce assigned to safety oversight tasking and provided an overall picture of aviation activity within the Philippines. It reported that it has oversight responsibility for 36 AOC holders and that it has categorised nine of them as operators of large aircraft. CAAP also provided a summary of the oversight it has scheduled for 2015 in respect to the three air carriers that participated in the hearing. In addition, CAAP emphasised its commitment as the competent authority of the Philippines to continue with its roadmap of continuous improvement.
- (100) CAAP in its presentation provided a summary of its corrective action plan with regard to the observations raised by the EU assessment team during the Union on-site assessment visit. The corrective actions presented included a focus on certain priority issues such as enhancement of the CAAP inspector training programme, improvements in information technology infrastructure, continued measures to enhance the skill sets of its inspecting workforce and a commitment to continue its work on safety oversight standardisation. In addition, CAAP provided specific details in respect to infrastructure improvements including measures associated with its State Runway Safety Programme.
- (101) Air Philippines Corporation presented detail on its organisational structure, its aircraft fleet plans and specifics relating to its Safety Management System. It reported on its safety meeting structure, safety reporting and management, its flight data monitoring programme including how it conducts continuous monitoring of mitigating actions. Details were provided by Air Philippines Corporation of its safety assurance function and its application of change management procedures. In addition, the air carrier reported on its follow-up actions to the observations raised during the Union on-site assessment visit.
- (102) Cebgo Inc presented details of its management structure, Safety Management System, accident prevention and flight safety programme, flight data management programme, quality management system and airworthiness and maintenance control. Specifics included its safety targets for 2015 and evidence of its safety reporting process. Cebgo Inc reported its top five safety priorities and the actions taken in respect to them. In addition, the air carrier provided a summary of its follow-up actions to the observations raised during the Union on-site assessment visit.

(103) Air Asia Zest presented details of its fleet, organisational structure and its safety and quality management department. Specifics included its high level safety objectives, safety reporting process and flight data analysis programme as well as its top five safety priorities. In addition, the air carrier provided a summary of its follow-up actions to the observations raised during the Union on-site assessment visit.

(104) On the basis of all available information, including the results of the Union on-site assessment visit and the information provided at the hearing before the Air Safety Committee, the Commission considers that CAAP has made sustained improvements over a continuous time period. It is also recognised that there has been no lack of willingness of CAAP to engage on an ongoing basis with the Commission and that CAAP is transparent in its recognition that it should continue enhancements to further develop its safety oversight and surveillance procedures. It is assessed that CAAP has the ability to discharge its responsibilities with respect to the oversight of air carriers certified in the Philippines. During the hearing before the Air Safety Committee, CAAP gave its commitment to fully engage in a continuing safety dialogue with the Commission, including through additional meetings, if and when deemed necessary by the Commission.

- (105) The Commission noted that all of the three air carriers certified in the Philippines that had, by way of relevant sample, been invited to the hearing before the Air Safety Committee, presented to a satisfactory standard and are all able to provide specific details in respect to the safe conduct of their respective operations. The Commission considers that there is sufficient evidence of compliance with applicable international safety standards and recommended practices on the part of air carriers certified in the Republic of the Philippines.
- (106) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union should be amended to remove all air carriers certified in the Republic of the Philippines from Annex A to Regulation (EC) No 474/2006.
- (107) Member States are to continue to verify effective compliance by all Philippine air carriers with the relevant safety standards, through the prioritisation of ramp inspections pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 965/2012. Should the results of such inspections, or any other relevant safety information indicate that international safety standards are not being met, the Commission would be forced to take action in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.

## Air carriers from the Russian Federation

- (108) The Commission, EASA and the Member States have continued to closely monitor the safety performance of air carriers certified in the Russian Federation and operating in the Union, including through prioritisation of the ramp inspections to be carried out on certain Russian air carriers in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 965/2012.
- (109) On 23 March 2015, the Commission, assisted by EASA, met with representatives of the Russian Federal Air Transport Agency ('FATA'). The purpose of this meeting was to review the safety performance of Russian air carriers during SAFA ramp inspections for the period between 10 March 2014 and 9 March 2015 and identify cases which require specific attention. During the meeting, FATA committed to following up on certain cases of non-compliance which had not yet been properly rectified and to updating the Commission about their status prior to the end of May.
- (110) FATA informed the Commission that, due to the expansion of the SAFA system, it monitors the SAFA performance of Russian air carriers in certain third countries as well. FATA also indicated that it had appointed new safety inspectors to deal with air carriers which have open findings following inspections under the SAFA programme. FATA expressed the expectation that this oversight will further improve the reaction speed and the quality of the corrective actions of the operators. FATA also updated the Commission on the latest suspensions and revocations of air operator certificates under their responsibility.
- (111) On the basis of the available information, the Commission concluded that a hearing before the Air Safety Committee of the Russian aviation authorities or of air carriers certified in the Russian Federation is not necessary.

- (112) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that there are at this stage no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union by including air carriers from the Russian Federation.
- (113) Member States are to continue to verify effective compliance with the international safety standards by the air carriers from the Russian Federation, through the prioritisation of ramp inspections in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 965/2012.
- (114) Should those inspections point to an imminent safety risk as a consequence of non-compliance with the relevant safety standards, the Commission may be forced to take action against air carriers from the Russian Federation in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.

## Air carriers from Sudan

- (115) On the basis of the regular contacts between the Sudan Civil Aviation Authority ('SCAA') and the Commission, it appears that SCAA has made good progress as regards its oversight activities in relation to air carriers certified in Sudan. The SCAA also informed the Commission that certain air carriers have made good progress with respect to the implementation of international safety standards.
- (116) The SCAA has agreed to receive a Union on-site assessment visit in October 2015. This assessment visit is planned in order to verify the information provided by SCAA and to gather additional information to support a possible decision with respect to air carriers certified in Sudan. At this moment there is insufficient information available to support a decision with respect to air carriers certified in Sudan.
- (117) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that there are at this stage no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to air carriers from Sudan.

#### Air carriers from Thailand

- (118) A full Universal Safety Oversight Audit Programme Continuous Monitoring Approach audit was conducted by ICAO in the Kingdom of Thailand in January 2015. The overall result is that the effective implementation of international safety standards in Thailand is well below the world average. Based on the audit results, ICAO issued a Significant Safety Concern on the certification of air operators, including the authorization of specific operating procedures. The Department of Civil Aviation ('DCA') in Thailand submitted a corrective action plan to ICAO to address the findings.
- (119) The DCA approached the European Union and EASA to request technical assistance in support to the resolution of the findings that were raised by ICAO. A technical assistance mission to Thailand was conducted by EASA in April 2015 and further technical assistance and cooperation will be provided in the coming months.
- (120) On the basis of the ICAO audit results and the recommendations from the technical assistance mission, the Thai DCA, together with the air carrier *Thai Airways International*, were invited for technical consultations in Brussels in order to gather additional information on the short, medium and long term corrective actions that the DCA is taking. The Thai DCA, as well as *Thai Airways International* welcomed this invitation and provided in a transparent way all requested information prior to the meeting.
- (121) At the technical consultations, which took place on 3 June 2015, both the DCA and *Thai Airways International* showed a clear willingness to engage and provided as much information as possible. The DCA underlined that the Government in Thailand is very much aware of the importance of civil aviation safety and has committed to provide the necessary means to improve the safety oversight system of the Thai DCA, which will soon be reorganised into the Civil Aviation Authority of Thailand, with a considerably enhanced budget.

- (122) Thai Airways International presented in a clear manner its Safety and Quality Management System. The air carrier demonstrated that it was able to ensure adequate compliance with international safety standards.
- (123) The Commission considers that the safety information available does not support a decision to impose a ban or operational restrictions on air carriers certified in Thailand. However, the Commission considers that the situation should continue to be closely monitored.
- (124) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that there are at this stage no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to air carriers from Thailand.
- (125) Member States are to continue to verify the effective compliance with relevant safety standards through the prioritisation of ramp inspections to be carried out on air carriers certified in Thailand pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 965/2012.
- (126) Should any relevant safety information indicate that there are imminent safety risks as a consequence of a lack of compliance with international safety standards, the Commission may be forced to take further action in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.

# Air carriers from Yemen

- (127) By letter of 10 April 2015, the Commission enquired of the Civil Aviation and Meteorological Authority of Yemen ('CAMA') as to whether the deteriorating security situation in Yemen affects the ability of CAMA to provide safety oversight of the air carriers certified in Yemen.
- (128) On 18 May 2015 the air carrier Yemen Airways ('Yemenia') reported to the Commission that it had suspended its operations in late March 2015 due to the deteriorating situation in Yemen. In addition, this communication from Yemenia stated that its aircraft were being stored in different locations outside Yemen. In a letter dated 1 June 2015 CAMA informed the Commission that the aircraft of Yemenia were no longer in Yemen and that the intention of CAMA is to coordinate with the aviation authorities in the States where the aircraft are presently stored regarding its safety oversight responsibilities. In this same letter, CAMA also indicated that due to the deteriorating security situation in Yemen there was little air operations activity currently taking place in the country.
- (129) Based on the information received from CAMA and Yemenia it was concluded that whilst the situation should continue to be closely monitored there was insufficient evidence to support a decision to impose a ban on air carriers certified in Yemen at this time.
- (130) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that there are at this stage no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to air carriers from Yemen.
- (131) Member States are to continue to verify the effective compliance with relevant safety standards through the prioritisation of ramp inspections to be carried out on air carriers certified in the Yemen pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 965/2012.

## Air carriers from Zambia

(132) On 25 February 2015, a technical meeting was held with the participation of senior representatives from the Zambia Civil Aviation Authority ('ZCAA'), the Commission, EASA and the Member States. ZCAA gave a comprehensive and transparent overview of the steps taken in the last year concerning the development of the ZCAA, the recruitment of personnel for the ZCAA, the development of civil aviation regulations in Zambia and the improvement of the oversight on the air carriers.

- (133) It appears that ZCAA has made good progress in resolving a number of ICAO findings and that a solid basis has been laid for further development of the civil aviation safety oversight system in Zambia. However, in most of the eight ICAO Critical Elements that form a civil aviation safety oversight system, significant work still remains to be completed.
- (134) ZCAA indicated that it will continue to work on the implementation of international safety standards. The Commission intends to carry out further assessments in order to determine whether it will be feasible to organise a Union on-site assessment visit to verify the implementation of international safety standards in Zambia, prior to the end of October 2015.
- (135) In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that there are at this stage no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to air carriers from Zambia.

## **Final considerations**

- (136) As regards the other air carriers currently included in the Union list, the Commission has verified whether it is appropriate to update the list and concluded that that is not the case. In accordance with the common criteria set out in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, it is therefore considered that there are at this stage no grounds for amending the Union list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Union with respect to those air carriers.
- (137) Article 8(2) of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 recognises the need for decisions to be taken swiftly and, where appropriate, urgently, given the safety implications. It is therefore essential, for the protection of sensitive information and for minimising commercial impact, that the decisions of the Commission in the context of updating the list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban or restriction within the Union, are published in the Official Journal of the European Union and enter into force on the day following that of their publication.
- (138) Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (139) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Air Safety Committee,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

Regulation (EC) No 474/2006 is amended as follows:

- (1) Annex A is replaced by the text set out in Annex A to this Regulation;
- (2) Annex B is replaced by the text set out in Annex B to this Regulation.

## Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 25 June 2015.

For the Commission, On behalf of the President, Violeta BULC Member of the Commission

# ANNEX A

# LIST OF AIR CARRIERS WHICH ARE BANNED FROM OPERATING WITHIN THE UNION, WITH EXCEPTIONS $(^{\rm 1})$

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
BLUE WING AIRLINES	SRBWA-01/2002	BWI	Suriname
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Afghanistan, including			Islamic Republic of Afghanistan
ARIANA AFGHAN AIRLINES	AOC 009	AFG	Islamic Republic of Afghanistan
KAM AIR	AOC 001	KMF	Islamic Republic of Afghanistan
PAMIR AIRLINES	Unknown	PIR	Islamic Republic of Afghanistan
SAFI AIRWAYS	AOC 181	SFW	Islamic Republic of Afghanistan
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Angola, with the exception of TAAG Angola Airlines put in Annex B, including			Republic of Angola
AEROJET	AO 008-01/11	TEJ	Republic of Angola
AIR GICANGO	009	Unknown	Republic of Angola
AIR JET	AO 006-01/11-MBC	МВС	Republic of Angola
AIR NAVE	017	Unknown	Republic of Angola
AIR26	AO 003-01/11-DCD	DCD	Republic of Angola
ANGOLA AIR SERVICES	006	Unknown	Republic of Angola
DIEXIM	007	Unknown	Republic of Angola
FLY540	AO 004-01 FLYA	Unknown	Republic of Angola
GIRA GLOBO	008	GGL	Republic of Angola

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Air carriers listed in Annex A could be permitted to exercise traffic rights by using wet-leased aircraft of an air carrier which is not subject to an operating ban, provided that the relevant safety standards are complied with.

		1	1
Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
HELIANG	010	Unknown	Republic of Angola
HELIMALONGO	AO 005-01/11	Unknown	Republic of Angola
MAVEWA	016	Unknown	Republic of Angola
SONAIR	AO 002-01/10-SOR	SOR	Republic of Angola
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Benin, in- cluding			Republic of Benin
AERO BENIN	PEA No 014/MDCTTTATP-PR/ Anac/dea/SCS	AEB	Republic of Benin
AFRICA AIRWAYS	Unknown	AFF	Republic of Benin
ALAFIA JET	PEA No 014/ANAC/ MDCTTTATP-PR/DEA/SCS	Unknown	Republic of Benin
BENIN GOLF AIR	PEA No 012/MDCTTP-PR/ ANAC/DEA/SCS.	BGL	Republic of Benin
BENIN LITTORAL AIRWAYS	PEA No 013/MDCTTTATP-PR/ ANAC/DEA/SCS.	LTL	Republic of Benin
COTAIR	PEA No 015/MDCTTTATP-PR/ ANAC/DEA/SCS.	СОВ	Republic of Benin
ROYAL AIR	PEA No 11/ANAC/MDCTTP-PR/ DEA/SCS	BNR	Republic of Benin
TRANS AIR BENIN	PEA No 016/MDCTTTATP-PR/ ANAC/DEA/SCS	TNB	Republic of Benin
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of the Republic of Congo, including			Republic of Congo
AERO SERVICE	RAC06-002	RSR	Republic of Congo
CANADIAN AIRWAYS CONGO	RAC06-012	Unknown	Republic of Congo
EMERAUDE	RAC06-008	Unknown	Republic of Congo
EQUAFLIGHT SERVICES	RAC 06-003	EKA	Republic of Congo
	1	1	l

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
EQUAJET	RAC06-007	EKJ	Republic of Congo
EQUATORIAL CONGO AIRLINES S.A.	RAC 06-014	Unknown	Republic of Congo
MISTRAL AVIATION	RAC06-011	Unknown	Republic of Congo
TRANS AIR CONGO	RAC 06-001	TSG	Republic of Congo
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), including			Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
AIR FAST CONGO	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/0112/2011	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
AIR KASAI	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/0053/2012	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
AIR KATANGA	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/0056/2012	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
AIR TROPIQUES	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/00625/2011	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
BLUE AIRLINES	106/CAB/MIN/TVC/2012	BUL	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
BLUE SKY	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/0028/2012	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
BUSY BEE CONGO	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/0064/2010	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
COMPAGNIE AFRICAINE D'AVIATION (CAA)	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/0050/2012	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
CONGO AIRWAYS	019/CAB/MIN/TVC/2015	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
DAKOTA SPRL	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/071/2011	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
DOREN AIR CONGO	102/CAB/MIN/TVC/2012	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
GOMAIR	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/011/2010	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
		+	+

L 162/86

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
KIN AVIA	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/0059/2010	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
KORONGO AIRLINES	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/001/2011	KGO	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
MALU AVIATION	098/CAB/MIN/TVC/2012	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
MANGO AIRLINES	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/009/2011	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
SERVE AIR	004/CAB/MIN/TVC/2015	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
SERVICES AIR	103/CAB/MIN/TVC/2012	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
SWALA AVIATION	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/0084/2010	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
TRANSAIR CARGO SERVICES	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/073/2011	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
WILL AIRLIFT	409/CAB/MIN/TVC/0247/2011	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Djibouti, in- cluding			Djibouti
DAALLO AIRLINES	Unknown	DAO	Djibouti
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Equatorial Guinea, including			Equatorial Guinea
CEIBA INTERCONTINENTAL	2011/0001/MTTCT/DGAC/SOPS	CEL	Equatorial Guinea
CRONOS AIRLINES	2011/0004/MTTCT/DGAC/SOPS	Unknown	Equatorial Guinea
PUNTO AZUL	2012/0006/MTTCT/DGAC/SOPS	Unknown	Equatorial Guinea
TANGO AIRWAYS	Unknown	Unknown	Equatorial Guinea

27.6.2015

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Eritrea, in- cluding			Eritrea
ERITREAN AIRLINES	AOC No 004	ERT	Eritrea
NASAIR ERITREA	AOC No 005	NAS	Eritrea
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of the Republic of Gabon, with the exception of <i>Afrijet</i> and SN2AG put in Annex B, including			Republic of Gabon
AFRIC AVIATION	010/MTAC/ANAC-G/DSA	EKG	Republic of Gabon
ALLEGIANCE AIR TOURIST	007/MTAC/ANAC-G/DSA	LGE	Republic of Gabon
NATIONALE REGIONALE TRANSPORT (N. R.T)	008/MTAC/ANAC-G/DSA	NRG	Republic of Gabon
SKY GABON	009/MTAC/ANAC-G/DSA	SKG	Republic of Gabon
SOLENTA AVIATION GABON	006/MTAC/ANAC-G/DSA	SVG	Republic of Gabon
TROPICAL AIR-GABON	011/MTAC/ANAC-G/DSA	Unknown	Republic of Gabon
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Indonesia, with the exception of Garuda Indo- nesia, Airfast Indonesia, Ekspres Transportasi Antarbenua and Indone- sia Air Asia, including			Republic of Indonesia
AIR BORN INDONESIA	135-055	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
AIR PACIFIC UTAMA	135-020	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
ALFA TRANS DIRGANTATA	135-012	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
ANGKASA SUPER SERVICES	135-050	LBZ	Republic of Indonesia
ASCO NUSA AIR	135-022	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
ASI PUDJIASTUTI	135-028	sqs	Republic of Indonesia

L 162/88

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
AVIASTAR MANDIRI	121-043	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
AVIASTAR MANDIRI	135-029	VIT	Republic of Indonesia
BATIK AIR	121-050	ВТК	Republic of Indonesia
CITILINK INDONESIA	121-046	CTV	Republic of Indonesia
DABI AIR NUSANTARA	135-030	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
DERAYA AIR TAXI	135-013	DRY	Republic of Indonesia
DERAZONA AIR SERVICE	135-010	DRZ	Republic of Indonesia
DIRGANTARA AIR SERVICE	135-014	DIR	Republic of Indonesia
EASTINDO	135-038	ESD	Republic of Indonesia
ELANG LINTAS INDONESIA	135-052	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
ELANG NUSANTARA AIR	135-053	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
ENGGANG AIR SERVICE	135-045	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
ERSA EASTERN AVIATION	135-047	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
GATARI AIR SERVICE	135-018	GHS	Republic of Indonesia
HEAVY LIFT	135-042	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
NDONESIA AIR ASIA EXTRA	121-054	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
NDONESIA AIR TRANSPORT	121-034	IDA	Republic of Indonesia
NTAN ANGKASA AIR SERVICE	135-019	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
AYAWIJAYA DIRGANTARA	121-044	JWD	Republic of Indonesia
OHNLIN AIR TRANSPORT	135-043	JLB	Republic of Indonesia
XAL STAR	121-037	KLS	Republic of Indonesia
KARTIKA AIRLINES	121-003	KAE	Republic of Indonesia

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
KOMALA INDONESIA	135-051	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
KURA-KURA AVIATION	135-016	KUR	Republic of Indonesia
LION MENTARI AIRLINES	121-010	LNI	Republic of Indonesia
MANUNGGAL AIR SERVICE	121-020	MNS	Republic of Indonesia
MARTABUANA ABADION	135-049	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
MATTHEW AIR NUSANTARA	135-048	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
MIMIKA AIR	135-007	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
MY INDO AIRLINES	121-042	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
NAM AIR	121-058	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
NATIONAL UTILITY HELICOPTER	135-011	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
NUSANTARA AIR CHARTER	121-022	SJK	Republic of Indonesia
NUSANTARA BUANA AIR	135-041	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
PACIFIC ROYALE AIRWAYS	121-045	PRQ	Republic of Indonesia
PEGASUS AIR SERVICES	135-036	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
PELITA AIR SERVICE	121-008	PAS	Republic of Indonesia
PENERBANGAN ANGKASA SEMESTA	135-026	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
PURA WISATA BARUNA	135-025	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
RIAU AIRLINES	121-016	RIU	Republic of Indonesia
SAYAP GARUDA INDAH	135-004	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
SMAC	135-015	SMC	Republic of Indonesia
SRIWIJAYA AIR	121-035	SJY	Republic of Indonesia
SURVEI UDARA PENAS	135-006	PNS	Republic of Indonesia

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
SURYA AIR	135-046	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
TRANSNUSA AVIATION MANDIRI	121-048	TNU	Republic of Indonesia
TRANSWISATA PRIMA AVIATION	135-021	TWT	Republic of Indonesia
TRAVEL EXPRESS AVIATION SERVICE	121-038	XAR	Republic of Indonesia
TRAVIRA UTAMA	135-009	TVV	Republic of Indonesia
TRI MG INTRA ASIA AIRLINES	121-018	TMG	Republic of Indonesia
TRIGANA AIR SERVICE	121-006	TGN	Republic of Indonesia
UNINDO	135-040	Unknown	Republic of Indonesia
WING ABADI AIRLINES	121-012	WON	Republic of Indonesia
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Kazakhstan, with the exception of Air Astana put in Annex B, including			Republic of Kazakhstan
AIR ALMATY	AK-0483-13	LMY	Republic of Kazakhstan
ATMA AIRLINES	AK-0469-12	AMA	Republic of Kazakhstan
AVIA-JAYNAR/AVIA-ZHAYNAR	AK-0467-12	SAP	Republic of Kazakhstan
BEK AIR	AK-0463-12	BEK	Republic of Kazakhstan
BEYBARS AIRCOMPANY	AK-0473-13	BBS	Republic of Kazakhstan
BURUNDAYAVIA AIRLINES	KZ-01/001	BRY	Republic of Kazakhstan
COMLUX-KZ	KZ-01/002	KAZ	Republic of Kazakhstan
EAST WING	KZ-01/007	EWZ	Republic of Kazakhstan
EURO-ASIA AIR	AK-0472-13	EAK	Republic of Kazakhstan
FLY JET KZ	AK-0477-13	FJK	Republic of Kazakhstan
INVESTAVIA	AK-0479-13	TLG	Republic of Kazakhstan
	ł		+

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
IRTYSH AIR	AK-0468-13	MZA	Republic of Kazakhstan
JET AIRLINES	KZ-01/003	SOZ	Republic of Kazakhstan
KAZAIR JET	AK-0474-13	КЕЈ	Republic of Kazakhstan
KAZAIRTRANS AIRLINE	AK-0466-12	KUY	Republic of Kazakhstan
KAZAVIASPAS	AK-0484-13	KZS	Republic of Kazakhstan
PRIME AVIATION	AK-0478-13	PKZ	Republic of Kazakhstan
SCAT	KZ-01/004	VSV	Republic of Kazakhstan
ZHETYSU AIRCOMPANY	AK-0470-12	JTU	Republic of Kazakhstan
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of the Kyrgyz Republic, including			Kyrgyz Republic
AIR BISHKEK (formerly EASTOK AVIA)	15	EAA	Kyrgyz Republic
AIR MANAS	17	MBB	Kyrgyz Republic
AVIA TRAFFIC COMPANY	23	AVJ	Kyrgyz Republic
CENTRAL ASIAN AVIATION SERVICES (CAAS)	13	СВК	Kyrgyz Republic
HELI SKY	47	НАС	Kyrgyz Republic
AIR KYRGYZSTAN	03	LYN	Kyrgyz Republic
MANAS AIRWAYS	42	BAM	Kyrgyz Republic
S GROUP INTERNATIONAL (formerly S GROUP AVIATION)	45	IND	Kyrgyz Republic
SKY BISHKEK	43	BIS	Kyrgyz Republic
SKY KG AIRLINES	41	KGK	Kyrgyz Republic
SKY WAY AIR	39	SAB	Kyrgyz Republic
TEZ JET	46	TEZ	Kyrgyz Republic

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
VALOR AIR	07	VAC	Kyrgyz Republic
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Liberia.			Liberia
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Libya, includ- ing			Libya
AFRIQIYAH AIRWAYS	007/01	AAW	Libya
AIR LIBYA	004/01	TLR	Libya
BURAQ AIR	002/01	BRQ	Libya
GHADAMES AIR TRANSPORT	012/05	GHT	Libya
GLOBAL AVIATION AND SERVICES	008/05	GAK	Libya
LIBYAN AIRLINES	001/01	LAA	Libya
PETRO AIR	025/08	PEO	Libya
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of the Republic of Mozambique, including			Republic of Mozambi- que
AERO-SERVIÇOS SARL	MOZ-08	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
CFM — TRABALHOS E TRANSPORTES AÉREOS LDA	MOZ-07	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
COA — COASTAL AVIATION	MOZ-15	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
CPY — CROPSPRAYERS	MOZ-06	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
CRA — CR AVIATION LDA	MOZ-14	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
EMÍLIO AIR CHARTER LDA	MOZ-05	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
ETA — EMPRESA DE TRANSPORTES AÉR- EOS LDA	MOZ-04	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
HCP — HELICÓPTEROS CAPITAL LDA	MOZ-11	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
KAY — KAYA AIRLINES, LDA	MOZ-09	КҮҮ	Republic of Mozambique
LAM — LINHAS AÉREAS DE MOÇAMBI- QUE S.A.	MOZ-01	LAM	Republic of Mozambique
MAKOND, LDA	MOZ-20	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
MEX — MOÇAMBIQUE EXPRESSO, SARL MEX	MOZ-02	MXE	Republic of Mozambique
OHI — OMNI HELICÓPTEROS INTERNAT- IONAL LDA	MOZ-17	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
SAF — SAFARI AIR LDA	MOZ-12	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
SAM — SOLENTA AVIATION MOZAMBI- QUE, SA	MOZ-10	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
TTA — TRABALHOS E TRANSPORTES AÉREOS LDA	MOZ-16	TTA	Republic of Mozambique
UNIQUE AIR CHARTER LDA	MOZ-13	Unknown	Republic of Mozambique
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Nepal, in- cluding			Republic of Nepal
AIR DYNASTY HELI. S.	035/2001	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
AIR KASTHAMANDAP	051/2009	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
BUDDHA AIR	014/1996	BHA	Republic of Nepal
FISHTAIL AIR	017/2001	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
GOMA AIR	064/2010	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
MAKALU AIR	057A/2009	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
MANANG AIR PVT LTD	082/2014	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
MOUNTAIN HELICOPTERS	055/2009	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
MUKTINATH AIRLINES	081/2013	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
NEPAL AIRLINES CORPORATION	003/2000	RNA	Republic of Nepal

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
SHREE AIRLINES	030/2002	SHA	Republic of Nepal
SIMRIK AIR	034/2000	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
SIMRIK AIRLINES	052/2009	RMK	Republic of Nepal
SITA AIR	033/2000	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
TARA AIR	053/2009	Unknown	Republic of Nepal
YETI AIRLINES DOMESTIC	037/2004	NYT	Republic of Nepal
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Sao Tome and Principe, including			Sao Tome and Principe
AFRICA'S CONNECTION	10/AOC/2008	ACH	Sao Tome and Principe
STP AIRWAYS	03/AOC/2006	STP	Sao Tome and Principe
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Sierra Leone, including			Sierra Leone
AIR RUM, LTD	UNKNOWN	RUM	Sierra Leone
DESTINY AIR SERVICES, LTD	UNKNOWN	DTY	Sierra Leone
HEAVYLIFT CARGO	UNKNOWN	Unknown	Sierra Leone
ORANGE AIR SIERRA LEONE LTD	UNKNOWN	ORJ	Sierra Leone
PARAMOUNT AIRLINES, LTD	UNKNOWN	PRR	Sierra Leone
SEVEN FOUR EIGHT AIR SERVICES LTD	UNKNOWN	SVT	Sierra Leone
TEEBAH AIRWAYS	UNKNOWN	Unknown	Sierra Leone
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Sudan, in- cluding			Republic of Sudan
ALFA AIRLINES	54	AAJ	Republic of the Sudan
ALMAJAL AVIATION SERVICE	15	MGG	Republic of the Sudan
	ļ		Į

27.6.2015

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator	
BADER AIRLINES	35	BDR	Republic of the Sudan	
BENTIU AIR TRANSPORT	29	BNT	Republic of the Sudan	
BLUE BIRD AVIATION	11	BLB	Republic of the Sudan	
DOVE AIRLINES	52	DOV	Republic of the Sudan	
ELIDINER AVIATION	8	DND	Republic of the Sudan	
FOURTY EIGHT AVIATION	53	WHB	Republic of the Sudan	
GREEN FLAG AVIATION	17	Unknown	Republic of the Sudan	
HELEJETIC AIR	57	НЈТ	Republic of the Sudan	
KATA AIR TRANSPORT	9	KTV	Republic of the Sudan	
KUSH AVIATION	60	KUH	Republic of the Sudan	
MARSLAND COMPANY	40	MSL	Republic of the Sudan	
MID AIRLINES	25	NYL	Republic of the Sudan	
NOVA AIRLINES	46	NOV	Republic of the Sudan	
SUDAN AIRWAYS	1	SUD	Republic of the Sudan	
SUN AIR COMPANY	51	SNR	Republic of the Sudan	
TARCO AIRLINES	56	TRQ	Republic of the Sudan	
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Zambia, in- cluding			Zambia	
ZAMBEZI AIRLINES	Z/AOC/001/2009	ZMA	Zambia	

## ANNEX B

# LIST OF AIR CARRIERS WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO OPERATIONAL RESTRICTIONS WITHIN THE UNION (1)

Name of the legal entity of the air car- rier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if dif- ferent)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator	Aircraft type restricted	Registration mark(s) and, when available, construction serial number(s) of restricted aircraft	State of registry
TAAG ANGOLA AIRLINES	001	DTA	Republic of Angola	All fleet with the exception of: 6 air- craft of type Boeing B777 and 4 aircraft of type Boeing B737-700.	All fleet with the exception of: D2-TED, D2-TEE, D2-TEF, D2-TEG, D2-TEH, D2-TEI, D2-TBF, D2-TBG, D2-TBH, D2-TBJ.	Republic of Angola
AIR ASTANA (¹)	AK-0475-13	KZR	Kazakhstan	All fleet with the exception of: aircraft of type Boeing B767, aircraft of type Boeing B757, aircraft of type Air- bus A319/320/321.	All fleet with the exception of: aircraft within the Boeing B767 fleet, as mentioned on the AOC; aircraft within the Boeing B757 fleet, as men- tioned on the AOC; aircraft within the Airbus A319/320/321 fleet, as mentioned on the AOC.	Aruba (King- dom of the Netherlands)
AIR SERVICE CO- MORES	06-819/TA- 15/DGACM	KMD	Comoros	All fleet with the ex- ception of: LET 410 UVP.	All fleet with the exception of: D6-CAM (851336).	Comoros
AFRIJET BUSINESS SERVICE (²)	002/MTAC/ ANAC-G/DSA	ABS	Republic of Gabon	All fleet with the ex- ception of: 2 aircraft of type Falcon 50, 2 aircraft of type Fal- con 900.	All fleet with the exception of: TR-LGV; TR-LGY; TR-AFJ; TR-AFR.	Republic of Gabon
NOUVELLE AIR AF- FAIRES GABON (SN2AG)	003/MTAC/ ANAC-G/DSA	NVS	Republic of Gabon	All fleet with the exception of: 1 aircraft of type Challenger CL-601, 1 aircraft of type HS-125-800.	All fleet with the exception of: TR-AAG, ZS-AFG.	Republic of Gabon; Republic of South Africa
IRAN AIR (³)	FS100	IRA	Islamic Republic of Iran	All fleet with the ex- ception of: 14 air- craft of type Airbus A300, 8 aircraft of type Airbus A310, 1 aircraft Boeing B737.	All fleet with the exception of: EP-IBA, EP-IBB, EP-IBC, EP-IBD, EP-IBG, EP-IBH, EP-IBI, EP-IBJ, EP-IBM, EP-IBN, EP-IBO, EP-IBS, EP-IBT, EP-IBV, EP-IBX, EP-IBZ, EP-ICE, EP-ICF, EP-IBK, EP-IBL, EP-IBP, EP-IBQ, EP-AGA.	Islamic Republic of Iran

(<sup>1</sup>) Air carriers listed in Annex B could be permitted to exercise traffic rights by using wet-leased aircraft of an air carrier which is not subject to an operating ban, provided that the relevant safety standards are complied with.

27.6.2015 EN

Name of the legal entity of the air car- rier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if dif- ferent)	Air Operator Certificate ('AOC') Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator	Aircraft type restricted	Registration mark(s) and, when available, construction serial number(s) of restricted aircraft	State of registry
AIR KORYO	GAC-AOC/ KOR-01	KOR	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	All fleet with the ex- ception of: 2 aircraft of type TU- 204.	All fleet with the exception of: P-632, P-633.	Democratic People's Re- public of Korea
AIR MADAGASCAR	5R-M01/2009	MDG	Madagascar	All fleet with the exception of: aircraft of type Boeing B737, aircraft of type ATR 72/42 and 3 aircraft of type DHC 6-300.	All fleet with the exception of: aircraft within the Boeing B737 fleet, as mentioned on the AOC, aircraft within the ATR 72/42 fleet, as men- tioned on the AOC; 5R-MGC, 5R-MGD, 5R-MGF.	Republic of Madagascar

(1) Air Astana is only allowed to use the specific aircraft types mentioned, provided that they are registered in Aruba and that all changes to the AOC are timely submitted to the Commission and to Eurocontrol.
(2) Afrijet is only allowed to use the specific aircraft mentioned for its current level of operations within the Union.
(3) Iran Air is allowed to operate to the Union using the specific aircraft under the conditions set out in Recital (69) of Regulation (EU) No 590/2010, OJ L 170, 6.7.2010, p. 15.