

COMMISSION DECISION

of 3 July 1992

amending Decision 89/3/EEC in regard to health protection measures in connection with imports of certain fresh meat from the State of Goias, Brazil

(92/378/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,
Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 72/462/EEC of 12 December 1972 on health and veterinary inspection problems upon importation of bovine, ovine and caprine animals and swine, fresh meat or meat products from third countries ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 3763 ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 16 thereof,

Whereas the requirements as regards animal health conditions and veterinary certification for imports of fresh meat from Brazil are laid down in Commission Decision 86/195/EEC ⁽³⁾, as last amended by Decision 92/76/EEC ⁽⁴⁾, with particular reference to the situation of foot-and-mouth disease obtaining in Brazil at that time;

Whereas this situation led to the adoption by Decision 89/3/EEC ⁽⁵⁾, as last amended by Decision 91/343/EEC ⁽⁶⁾, of health protection measures in connection with imports of certain fresh meat from Brazil;

Whereas the last on-the-spot check by Community inspectors, carried out in April 1992, revealed a deterioration in the situation in the State of Goias;

Whereas Decision 89/3/EEC should therefore be amended in order to suspend the importation of fresh meat of bovine animals from the State of Goias, Brazil;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Veterinary Committee,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The State of Goias is added to the list of States of Brazil set out in Article 1 of Decision 89/3/EEC.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 3 July 1992.

For the Commission

Ray MAC SHARRY

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 302, 31. 12. 1972, p. 28.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 356, 24. 12. 1991, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 142, 28. 5. 1986, p. 51.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 30, 6. 2. 1992, p. 25.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 5, 7. 1. 1989, p. 32.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ No L 187, 13. 7. 1991, p. 49.