

Official Journal of the European Union

L 155



English edition

Legislation

Volume 63

18 May 2020

Contents

II *Non-legislative acts*

REGULATIONS

- ★ **Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2020/657 of 15 May 2020 correcting certain language versions of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/2450 laying down implementing technical standards with regard to the templates for the submission of information to the supervisory authorities according to Directive 2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁽¹⁾** 1
- ★ **Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2020/658 of 15 May 2020 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/309 imposing a definitive countervailing duty and collecting definitively the provisional duty on imports of certain rainbow trout originating in Turkey following an interim review pursuant to Article 19(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1037 of the European Parliament and of the Council** 3

DECISIONS

- ★ **Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/659 of 15 May 2020 on the harmonised standard for the technical documentation required for assessing materials, components and electrical and electronic equipment drafted in support of Directive 2011/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council** 12
- ★ **Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/660 of 15 May 2020 amending Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 as regards the electromagnetic compatibility of electromechanical contactors and motor-starters, arc quenching devices, distribution boards intended to be operated by ordinary persons and industrial trucks** 16
- ★ **Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/661 of 15 May 2020 amending the Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 on protective measures in relation to highly pathogenic avian influenza of subtype H5N8 in certain Member States (notified under document C(2020) 3319) ⁽¹⁾** 20

⁽¹⁾ Text with EEA relevance.

EN

Acts whose titles are printed in light type are those relating to day-to-day management of agricultural matters, and are generally valid for a limited period.

The titles of all other acts are printed in bold type and preceded by an asterisk.

★ Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/662 of 15 May 2020 amending the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU concerning animal health control measures relating to African swine fever in certain Member States (<i>notified under document C(2020) 3321</i>) ⁽¹⁾	27
---	----

⁽¹⁾ Text with EEA relevance.

II

(Non-legislative acts)

REGULATIONS

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2020/657

of 15 May 2020

correcting certain language versions of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/2450 laying down implementing technical standards with regard to the templates for the submission of information to the supervisory authorities according to Directive 2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Directive 2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 on the taking-up and pursuit of the business of Insurance and Reinsurance (Solvency II) ⁽¹⁾, and in particular the third subparagraph of Article 35(10), the third subparagraph of Article 244(6) and the second subparagraph of Article 245(6) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) The Portuguese language version of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/2450 ⁽²⁾ contains several terminology errors throughout the Act and the Annexes.
- (2) The Swedish language version of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/2450 contains errors in Annex I, sections SR.22.03.01 and S.35.01.04, and in Annex II, section S.22.03, as regards the type of rate, and in Annex II, section S.26.03, as regards the expression of percentage point.
- (3) The Portuguese and Swedish language versions of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/2450 should therefore be corrected accordingly. The other language versions are not affected,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

*Article 1**(does not concern the English language)**Article 2**(does not concern the English language)**Article 3*

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 335, 17.12.2009, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/2450 of 2 December 2015 laying down implementing technical standards with regard to the templates for the submission of information to the supervisory authorities according to Directive 2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 347, 31.12.2015, p. 1).

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 15 May 2020.

For the Commission
The President
Ursula VON DER LEYEN

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2020/658**of 15 May 2020****amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/309 imposing a definitive countervailing duty and collecting definitively the provisional duty on imports of certain rainbow trout originating in Turkey following an interim review pursuant to Article 19(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1037 of the European Parliament and of the Council**

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EU) 2016/1037 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on protection against subsidised imports from countries not members of the European Union ⁽¹⁾ ('the basic Regulation'), and in particular Article 19 thereof,

Whereas:

1. PROCEDURE**1.1. Previous investigations and measures in force**

- (1) By Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/309 ⁽²⁾ ('the original Regulation'), the Commission imposed definitive countervailing duties on imports of certain rainbow trout originating in Turkey ('the measures in force'). The duties ranged from 6,9 % to 9,5 %.
- (2) On 4 June 2018, following a partial interim review concerning subsidisation of all exporting producers, the Commission decided to maintain the measures as originally established (Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/823 ⁽³⁾). It found that the legislative change in the Turkish legislation on subsidies to trout producers that was subject to the review did not justify revising the countervailing duties to all trout producers in Turkey. However, it was observed that the impact of the legislative change differed at individual company level and depended on the specific situation of each of the companies ⁽⁴⁾.

1.2. Request for a partial interim review

- (3) On 5 June 2018, one of the exporting producer in Turkey, 'BAFA Su Ürünleri Yavru Üretim Merkezi Sanayi Ticaret AŞ', part of the Kiliç Group ('the applicant'), lodged a request for a partial interim review limited in scope to the examination of subsidisation as far as it is concerned.
- (4) The applicant argued that the circumstances with regard to the subsidisation in Turkey on which the existing measures were based in relation to it have changed, and that the changes were of a lasting nature in so far the applicant was concerned.

1.3. Initiation of a partial interim review

- (5) Having determined, after informing the Member States, that sufficient evidence existed to justify the initiation of a partial interim review, the Commission announced on 22 May 2019, by a notice published in the *Official Journal of the European Union* ('Notice of Initiation') ⁽⁵⁾, the initiation of the partial interim review pursuant to Article 19(1) of the basic Regulation, limited in scope to the examination of subsidy as far as the applicant is concerned.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 176, 30.6.2016, p. 55.

⁽²⁾ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/309 of 26 February 2015 imposing a definitive countervailing duty and collecting definitively the provisional duty imposed on imports of certain rainbow trout originating in Turkey (OJ L 56, 27.2.2015, p. 12).

⁽³⁾ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/823 of 4 June 2018 terminating the partial interim review of the countervailing measures applicable to imports of certain rainbow trout originating in the Republic of Turkey (OJ L 139, 5.6.2018, p. 14).

⁽⁴⁾ Recital (49) of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/823.

⁽⁵⁾ Notice of initiation of a partial interim review of the countervailing measures applicable to imports of certain rainbow trout originating in the Republic of Turkey (OJ C 176, 22.5.2019, p. 24).

1.4. Review investigation period

- (6) The review investigation period ('the RIP') covered the period from 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018.

1.5. Interested parties

- (7) In the Notice of Initiation, the Commission invited interested parties to participate in the investigation. In addition, it specifically informed the applicant, the Union industry (the producers association) and the Turkish authorities of the initiation of the partial interim review.
- (8) Interested parties were given the opportunity to make their views known in writing and to request a hearing within the time limit set out in the Notice of Initiation.
- (9) The Danish Aquaculture Association, the producers association representing the complainant in the original investigation ('the DAO') made a submission. It was of the view that the changes implemented by the Turkish authorities to the legal framework could not be considered being of a lasting nature and that the amount of subsidies to trout producers, including to the applicant, was still high. It also considered that the applicant could not request the Commission to review its subsidy rate based solely on the depreciation of the Turkish lira, and that the Commission should take into consideration other subsidy schemes to trout producers that the applicant could benefit from. The DAO considered that the Commission should take into consideration also other factors such as the fact that the Turkish import prices considerably undercut the Union prices.
- (10) The Commission recalled that the request for the interim review was not based only on the depreciation of the Turkish lira as claimed by the DAO. Rather, the main reason for the review request was the claim that the level of subsidies to the applicant decreased after the legislative change in Turkey in 2016. Second, it also observed that the review was limited in scope to the assessment of the level of subsidisation as far as the applicant is concerned. The level of undercutting (that related to an assessment of an injury to the Union industry) was not subject of this review. Accordingly, the Commission assessed the level of subsidies with respect to the applicant as well as the lasting nature of the changes below in recitals (30) to (57).
- (11) Following disclosure and additional disclosure, the DAO reiterated its view that the fluctuation of a currency could not be considered as a change of the lasting nature and the Commission should have considered how much the depreciation contributed to the evolution of the subsidisation level.
- (12) The Commission accepted that the exchange rate for the currency in Turkey fluctuated since the original investigation, and that as such, the exchange rate fluctuation could not be considered as a change of a lasting nature. However, it disagreed with DAO that it should have treated the exchange rate of the original investigation as the 'reference' in consideration in order to evaluate an effect of its fluctuation on the calculation of the amount of subsidisation calculation. While currency fluctuations inevitably affect the new subsidy calculation for the applicant, the reason for the recalculation is the impact of the legislative change of 2016 on the applicant. The Commission therefore rejected the claim.

1.6. Questionnaire and verification visit

- (13) The Commission sought and verified all the information deemed necessary to evaluate the impact on the applicant of the legal changes to the implementation of the direct subsidy scheme on the applicant.
- (14) The Commission sent a questionnaire to the applicant (including the related companies) and to the Turkish authorities. Complete questionnaire replies were received from both of these parties. The Commission verified the information provided in the questionnaire reply at the premises of the applicant.

1.7. Disclosure

- (15) On 27 February 2020, the Commission informed all interested parties of the essential facts and considerations on the basis of which it intended to propose to amend the duty rate applicable to the applicant. Interested parties were given the opportunity to comment by 12 March 2020, and to request a hearing with the Commission and/or the Hearing Officer in trade proceedings. The Commission considered the comments made by the interested parties and took them into consideration where appropriate. Following disclosure, the Commission held a hearing with the applicant on 12 March 2020.

- (16) After having assessed the comments submitted by the parties after disclosure, the Commission made an additional disclosure on 3 April 2020. It informed all the interested parties of its intention to amend the duty rate to the applicant proposed in the initial General Disclosure Document. Interested parties were given the opportunity to comment on the newly proposed facts and considerations by 8 April 2020, and to request a hearing with the Commission and/or the Hearing Officer in trade proceedings. The Commission considered the new comments made by the interested parties and took them into consideration where appropriate.

2. PRODUCT UNDER REVIEW AND THE LIKE PRODUCT

2.1. Product under review

- (17) The product under review is rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*):
- live weighing 1,2 kg or less each, or
 - fresh, chilled, frozen and/or smoked:
 - in the form of whole fish (with heads on), whether or not gilled, whether or not gutted, weighing 1,2 kg or less each, or
 - with heads off, whether or not gilled, whether or not gutted, weighing 1 kg or less each, or
 - in the form of fillets weighing 400 g or less each,
- originating in Turkey and currently falling under CN codes ex 0301 91 90, ex 0302 11 80, ex 0303 14 90, ex 0304 42 90, ex 0304 82 90 and ex 0305 43 00 (TARIC codes 0301 91 90 11, 0302 11 80 11, 0303 14 90 11, 0304 42 90 10, 0304 82 90 10 and 0305 43 00 11) ('the product under review').
- (18) As in the original investigation, the Commission found that the products produced in the Union and the products produced in Turkey are like products within the meaning of Article 2(c) of the basic Regulation.

3. RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

3.1. Original investigation

- (19) Subsidies to trout production in Turkey are regulated by a decree adopted by the Turkish Government on an annual basis. The decree provides for the basic conditions and subsidy amounts to aquaculture production in Turkey. The procedures and principles regarding the implementation of the decree are further provided for by communiqués issued by the Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock every year.
- (20) In the original investigation, subsidies were granted on the basis of Decree No 2013/4463 of 7 March 2013 on agricultural subsidies in 2013 and published in the Official Gazette No 28612 on 8 April 2013 ('decree of 2013'). The decree related to trout produced in 2013.
- (21) Under the decree, subsidies were granted to all producers of trout possessing a valid production licence relating to a fish farming unit. A production licence could relate to production in the sea, in a dam, or to a production situated inland. A trout producer could have several production licences (fish farming units) situated in the same dam or in the same area in the sea.
- (22) The production under each of these licences was eligible for subsidies up to the following limits: 0,65 Turkish Lira ('TL') per kg of trout for the production up to 250 tonnes a year; for the production from 251 to 500 tonnes, trout producers received half of the amount (0,325 TL/kg). No subsidy could be received for the production above 500 tonnes.
- (23) In the original investigation period, the applicant had 13 fish farming units ('farms') (corresponding to 13 licences). It benefitted from subsidies for 11 of its farming units.

3.2. Findings in Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/823

- (24) In Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/823, the Commission assessed the legislative change of 2016 and its impact on the overall level of subsidisation of trout producers in Turkey.

- (25) It found that in 2016 subsidies to producers of trout were granted on the basis of Decree No 2016/8791 ⁽⁶⁾ regarding the agricultural supports to be provided in 2016 ('decree of 2016'). Furthermore, Communiqué No 2016/33 ⁽⁷⁾ regarding aquaculture support detailed the conditions for the subsidies to be granted.
- (26) Whilst the amount of subsidisation per TL/kg remained at the 2013 levels, a new Article 4.16 of the Decree of 2016 excluded from the subsidy farms with licences that were 'situated in the same potential area determined by the Ministry, in the same dam reservoir or in the regionalised dam reservoir located in the same zone'.
- (27) Pursuant to that Article and contrary to the situation during the original investigation, in the case where a trout producer had more than one production licence (or 'fish farming unit') in the same potential zone in the sea, as defined by the Ministry, in the same reservoir (dam), or in the same reservoirs located in the same regions, which belonged to the same person or the same enterprise/company, those licences or fish farming units were regarded as one single licence or unit belonging to that company, and the direct subsidy was to be paid according to that interpretation.
- (28) The Commission, however, concluded that this limitation did not substantially affect the overall level of subsidies received for an important part of the trout producers in Turkey as a whole. Moreover, since the Turkish government had introduced new subsidies, based on the budgetary forecast for the following years, the Commission concluded that the decrease in subsidies overall may only be temporary and could not be considered as a lasting change.
- (29) At the same time, the Commission observed that the impact differed at individual company level depending on the specific situation related to the production of each company. The trout production in Turkey is based on family businesses and it is a fragmented sector mainly composed of small and medium-sized enterprises. For these small companies, if they had one or little farms in the same area, the number of licences for which it could receive subsidies would remain the same. However, as of 2016, companies, which had more than one licence in the same region or zone under the old regime, could only receive direct subsidies for one of them. Therefore, while most of the (small) companies were affected only to a limited extent or not at all by the 2016 reform, companies or groups of companies like the applicant were likely to be affected by the change more substantially.

3.3. Impact of the legislative change on the applicant and other subsidies received by the applicant in the review investigation period ('RIP')

3.3.1. Direct subsidies to the applicant

- (30) In 2018, subsidies to producers of trout were granted on the basis of Decree No 2018/11460 ⁽⁸⁾ regarding the agricultural support to be provided in 2018 ('decree of 2018'). Furthermore, Communiqué No 2018/24 ⁽⁹⁾ regarding aquaculture support detailed the conditions for the subsidies to be granted. As confirmed in the original investigation, those measures amount to countervailable subsidies (see recitals (61) to (62) of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1195/2014 ⁽¹⁰⁾). The Commission revised the amount of subsidisation conferred on the applicant during the RIP.
- (31) In the RIP (2018), the applicant had 11 farming units. The farms were situated in 3 different regions. Before the legislative change, the applicant would be eligible to receive subsidies for all of its 11 farms (see recital (22)). However, as a consequence of the legislative change in 2016 and in line with the legislation in force during the RIP, it was only eligible to receive subsidies for the product under review for one farm per each region.

⁽⁶⁾ Turkish Decree No 2016/8791 on agricultural subsidies in 2016, dated 25 April 2016 (implemented retroactively as of 1 January 2016).

⁽⁷⁾ The Communiqué named 'Communiqué on Aquaculture Support' numbered 2016/33 regarding the implementation of Decree No 2016/8791 was published in the Official Journal on 3 August 2016.

⁽⁸⁾ Turkish Decree No 2018/11460 on agricultural subsidies in 2018, dated 2 February 2018 (implemented retroactively as of 1 January 2018).

⁽⁹⁾ The Communiqué named 'Communiqué on Aquaculture Support' numbered 2018/24 regarding the implementation of Decree No 2018/11460 was published in the Official Journal on 29 May 2018.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1195/2014 of 29 October 2014 imposing a provisional countervailing duty on imports of certain rainbow trout originating in Turkey (OJ L 319, 6.11.2014, p. 1).

- (32) In the RIP, the applicant thus benefitted from subsidies only for 2 of its 11 farms ⁽¹¹⁾, up to the limits mentioned in the decree of 2018 below (compared to 11 farms that would have been eligible for subsidies under the legislation in place before 2016).
- (33) The amounts of subsidies during the RIP were the following: up to the production of 250 tonnes, the subsidy was set at 0,75 TL/kg; between 250 tonnes and 500 tonnes, the subsidy was set at 0,375 TL/kg and no subsidies was granted for the production above 500 tonnes ⁽¹²⁾.
- (34) Following the same methodology as established in the original investigation, for the own farmed fish, the total benefit for the applicant was the average direct subsidy amount received during the RIP. For the purchased fish, the benefit was calculated on the basis of the total subsidies granted by the Turkish authorities and divided by the total amount of trout production in Turkey. The calculation of the benefit resulted in an *ad valorem* subsidy rate of 1,44 %.
- (35) Following disclosure, the applicant claimed that the support scheme for 'Good farming practices' was terminated in 2019 and that the Commission thus should not take it into consideration for the calculation of the indirect subsidy. The applicant also argued that the level of the administration fee to be deducted from the subsidy amount was not 0,2 % but 2 %.
- (36) The Commission accepted these two claims and recalculated the subsidy rates accordingly. The calculation of the benefit resulted in a new *ad valorem* subsidy rate of 1,42 %.
- (37) The applicant also argued that the Commission should have considered that the eligibility criteria changed in 2019. According to the new conditions, a farmer could benefit 0,75 TL/kg for a maximum of 350 tonnes of production. Compared to 2018, the maximum benefit per fish licence thus decreased. The Commission considered that it should base its calculations only on the verified data from the RIP. It therefore rejected the claim.
- (38) Following disclosure, the DAO also referred to the new eligibility conditions for the direct subsidies in 2019. According to the DAO, in 2019, a farmer producing between 250 and 350 tonnes could receive more subsidies than in 2018. The DAO thus requested the Commission to determine if in 2019, the applicant could purchase the fish from these farmers, and thus indirectly benefit from more subsidies than in 2018.
- (39) The Commission first recalled that in 2019, the maximum subsidy amount to be received per fish licence decreased (see recital (37)). Therefore, farmers farming more than 350 tonnes of trout received less subsidies per fish licence. If the applicant had purchased fish from these farmers, it would have thus benefitted from less subsidies than in 2018. Secondly, as it was the case in the RIP, the calculation of the indirect subsidies had to be based on an average subsidy per ton of purchased fish. Such average could not reflect the situation of each individual farmer from which the applicant bought fish. The Commission therefore rejected the claim.

3.3.2. Support for breeding trout in hatcheries protected from diseases

- (40) In 2018, the Turkish authorities introduced a new support scheme for breeding trout in hatcheries protected from diseases. This new scheme was not examined in the original investigation. The conditions and the amounts of the support were specified in the decree of 2018 and Communiqué No 2018/24 (see recital 30).
- (41) If a company operates a hatchery for trout that fulfils certain safety criteria, it could benefit from the subsidy of 60 TL per piece for a maximum of 10 000 pieces (maximum amount of subsidy to be received was 600 000 TL per year).
- (42) The Commission considered that the support (in the form of a direct grant) constituted subsidies for trout production similar to direct subsidies, namely a financial contribution conferring a benefit according to Articles 3 (1)(a)(i) and 3(2) of the basic Regulation. Since the support was granted to the trout producers, the Commission concluded that the scheme was specific within the meaning of Article 4(2)(a) of the basic Regulation. The benefit consisted in direct grants to trout producers fulfilling the eligibility criteria.
- (43) It thus concluded that the support could be considered as a countervailable subsidy.

⁽¹¹⁾ In one of the regions the applicant farmed a trout over 1,2 kg (product not concerned by the investigation) and it did not use the farm to farm trout falling within the scope of the product concerned. That is why it benefitted from subsidies for 2 out of the 3 eligible regions.

⁽¹²⁾ The ceilings were introduced by legislation adopted in 2017.

- (44) During the verification visit, the company's production manager mentioned that the company had invested and would further invest into an equipment in order to become eligible for the subsidy in the years to come. However, the company's CEO and the legal representatives refuted that information claiming that such decision was subject to an approval of board members and was in any case not foreseen.
- (45) Given the clear and unambiguous information by the production manager on investment measures taken in the past and contradictory statements of the company's representatives during the verification visit, the Commission considered it likely that the company would benefit in the future from the scheme and calculated a maximum benefit, expressed as an *ad valorem* subsidy rate of 0,72 %. It communicated its intention to the parties in the General Disclosure Document.
- (46) Following disclosure, the Turkish authorities and the applicant objected to the Commission's intention expressed in the disclosure document to countervail the scheme. They submitted that the applicant did not qualify for the scheme and had not made any application to the Government with regard to the scheme. There was also no payment by the Government to the applicant in either 2018 or 2019 under the scheme. The Turkish government also pointed out that the scheme was in any event limited to three years.
- (47) The applicant further submitted on 23 March 2020 an official letter to rebut the Commission's doubts on whether it would likely benefit from any subsidy under the scheme in the future. The applicant undertook not to make any investment until 2025 to become eligible under the scheme. Furthermore, in case the investment is made in 2025 or afterwards, no application will be made by any related company of the Kiliç Group under this scheme.
- (48) Following the submission of the letter by the applicant, the DAO submitted that the letter was a self-constituted piece of evidence that the Commission should not take into consideration. It argued that in addition, the applicant did not make any commitments vis-à-vis other subsidy schemes.
- (49) Based on the above facts the Commission re-assessed whether it was likely that the applicant will receive benefits under the scheme in the future. It was reassured by the Government's comments that the applicant was not eligible under the scheme and had not made any application during the RIP or 2019. Moreover, it took note of the company's letter of 23 March 2020 not to become eligible at least until 2025. With regard to the DAO's argument that the letter was a self-constituted piece of evidence that arrived after disclosure only, the Commission took the view that the letter was submitted upon disclosure, i.e. in due time in exercise of the applicant's rights and thus, its substance cannot be disregarded. Moreover, the Commission has a duty to assess all comments received in earnest, otherwise the purpose of disclosure would be frustrated. The letter amounts to a firm commitment by the applicant and relates to the assessment the likelihood of receiving compensatory benefits under this scheme in the future. Therefore, the fact that the letter does not mention other subsidy schemes is irrelevant. Against that background, the Commission decided not to countervail this scheme.
- (50) After the additional disclosure, the DAO restated that the Commission has the duty to take into account factors relating to a period subsequent to the investigation period, and that the Commission should have confirmed its original assessment by including the support in the calculation. The DAO particularly objected to the Commission decision to take the letter from the applicant as a reliable evidence. It argued that the board decision was not binding and could be changed any time. In its view, it constituted a breach of the fundamental legal principle according to which a company shall not be allowed to create an evidence in its own favour, against the information from the verification visit on investment measure taken in the past.
- (51) The Commission considered that the decision not to countervail the scheme was not based solely on the letter of commitment by the applicant but also on other factual evidence such as the information from the Government that the applicant did neither apply for the support nor was it eligible under the scheme. The Commission re-iterated its duty to assess all the information and evidence received after disclosure, and in this context, the fact the applicant made the commitment for the purpose was not decisive for the assessment of the likelihood that the applicant benefits from the scheme in the future. It further recalled that it was undisputed that the applicant had not made all the necessary investment to become eligible for support under the scheme. The Commission thus rejected the claim.
- (52) Finally, as the issue of countervailing any support for breeding trout in hatcheries protected from diseases had become moot, the Commission did not enter into DAO's comments on the proper calculation of the future benefits of this scheme.

3.3.3. Subsidised loans

- (53) During the RIP, the applicant benefitted from six preferential loans, to finance working capital. The loans were granted by the Agricultural Bank of the Republic of Turkey (Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Ziraat bankası or 'Ziraat Bankası') for loans relating to agricultural production specifically and by Türk Eximbank ⁽¹³⁾ for loans linked to the export activities. It line with the findings with the original investigation ⁽¹⁴⁾, and since no evidence was provided that the situation had changed, the Commission established that both banks implement the State policy and are public bodies within the meaning of the basic Regulation.
- (54) The relevant legal basis were Decree 2018/11188 (Ziraat Bank Low Interest Investment and Operation Loan on Agricultural Production) and Rediscount program of Türk Eximbank ⁽¹⁵⁾.
- (55) The benefit to the applicant consisted in providing lower interest rates than the one normally available on the market. The Commission allocated the benefit related to the rediscount program on the export sales while the other subsidised loans for the Group's entire production were allocated on the total sales. The total benefit of the six loans resulted in an *ad valorem* subsidy rate of 0,15 %.
- (56) Following disclosure, the applicant claimed that for one of the contested loans it had to pay a commission while the benchmark loan was free of the commission. It argued that the Commission should have reduced the benefit accordingly. The Commission found that for a fair comparison, calculations had to be on comparing the interest rates only, free of any other banking charges on any side, for the actual or the benchmark loan. The Commission thus rejected the claim.
- (57) Furthermore, the applicant contested that the Commission had used the same benchmark to establish a benefit for two of the countervailed loans that had been granted in different periods. In addition, it submitted that the interest rate for one of the contested loans was set on a monthly (and not the yearly) basis and therefore, the interest rate the applicant received was set at a market rate. The Commission accepted both the claims. This resulted in a new *ad valorem* subsidy rate for these loans of 0,13 %.

3.4. New countervailing rates for the applicant

- (58) The total benefit to the applicant resulted in an *ad valorem* subsidy rate of 1,55 %:

Direct subsidy	1,42 %
Subsidised loans	0,13 %
Total	1,55 %

- (59) The above conclusions relate to the specific situation of the applicant and thus do not affect the level of the subsidy rates for companies listed in the annex of the original Regulation.
- (60) After disclosure and the additional disclosure, the Turkish authorities argued that the Commission should have recalculated the subsidy rate to 'all other companies'. The Commission rejected the argument. It reiterated that the partial interim review and its findings on significant decrease of the subsidy level related to the applicant only. The Commission also recalled that the findings vis-à-vis all other Turkish trout producers remained unchanged. In this respect, the Commission further noted that, as stated in the Notice of Initiation (Section 5), if any interested party considers that a review of the measures applicable to it is warranted, that party may request a review pursuant to Article 19 of the basic anti-subsidy Regulation.

⁽¹³⁾ The programme can be implemented directly by Türk Eximbank or with an involvement of private banks.

⁽¹⁴⁾ See Recitals (67) and (69) of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1195/2014.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Details are provided within Implementation Principles and Circular on Export and Foreign Exchange Earning Services Rediscount Credits (Rediscount Programme).

- (61) Following disclosure the Turkish authorities submitted that if the Commission disregarded the benefit under 'Support for breeding trout in hatcheries protected from diseases', the total *ad valorem* subsidy rate was *de minimis*. It repeated this claim after the additional disclosure. The Commission recalled that the *de minimis* threshold is 1 % but for developing countries, it is 2 %. It further recalled that for the application of Article 14(5), a country is considered as a developing country if it is listed in Annex II of Regulation (EU) No 978/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 applying a scheme of generalised tariff preferences and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 732/2008 (OJ L 303, 31.10.2012, p. 1) ⁽¹⁶⁾. As Turkey is not included in the list of eligible countries under that Regulation the Commission rejected the claim.

3.5. Significant change of circumstances and lasting nature of the legislative change

- (62) The above findings relating to the specific situation of the applicant confirmed the findings of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/823, summarised in recitals (24) to (29) above. While the above Regulation assessed the overall situation vis-à-vis all trout producers in Turkey (i.e. a situation of an average trout producer), it also found that following the legislative change in 2016, some trout producers received substantially less subsidies (recital 49 of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/823).
- (63) The Commission concluded that this was the case of the applicant. It first observed that the newly calculated *ad valorem* subsidy rate of 1,55 % represented a significant decrease compared to the rate of 9,5 % established in the original investigation.
- (64) Second, the applicant could not benefit from many other subsidy schemes to fully compensate the decrease of the direct subsidy ⁽¹⁷⁾.
- (65) Furthermore, the legislative change that led to the decrease of the number of fish farms was introduced in 2016 and it remained in place in the RIP (2018) and in 2019 ⁽¹⁸⁾, i.e. already for a period of 4 years. The Turkish Government has not communicated to the Commission any plans to reinstitute the pre-2016 eligibility criteria. Therefore, the Commission considered the change from 2016 as the lasting change in the sense of Article 19(4) of the basic Regulation.
- (66) After disclosure and the additional disclosure, the DAO contested the Commission's finding that the decrease in subsidies received by the applicant was of a lasting nature. In its view, the Commission should have assessed the lasting nature of the subsidisation system as a whole and should not have focused on the 2016 change in one of the subsidy schemes only. The DAO further argued that after 2016, the Turkish authorities kept introducing new subsidy schemes to compensate the decrease in subsidisation due to the 2016 change, namely subsidies for closed system production, subsidies for trout over 1 kg, subsidies for good farming practices and subsidies for fish labelling. The DAO also argued that the Commission did not take into account in its calculation the new eligibility conditions of the 'Support breeding trout in hatcheries protected from diseases' and the increase in 2019 of the maximum amount to be received. Moreover, the DAO considered that any new investment by the applicant to reach the support would be done by a subsidised government loan.
- (67) As explained above, the Commission assessed not only the effect of the legislative change of 2016 on the applicant but also any new subsidy scheme that the applicant could benefit from in the RIP and after. It found that the applicant was not eligible to receive any subsidies for closed system production scheme since its trout production is situated in dam reservoirs and not in a closed system fulfilling the eligibility requirements. The Commission also found that the subsidies for fish labelling and for good farming practices were terminated in 2018 and in 2019 respectively. Moreover, the Commission found and verified that the subsidy for trout over 1 kg was to benefit trout over 1,2 kg, i.e. the trout which does not fall under the definition of the product concerned. Finally, as explained in

⁽¹⁶⁾ Commission Implementing Decision 2014/918/EU of 16 December 2014 terminating the anti-subsidy proceeding concerning the imports of polyester staple fibres originating in the People's Republic of China, India and Vietnam (OJ L 360, 17.12.2014, p. 65), recital (76), footnote 3.

⁽¹⁷⁾ As explained in recitals (32) to (41), the benefit from the Support for breeding trout in hatcheries protected from diseases and from subsidised loans increased the subsidy rate for the Kiliç Group only by 0,72 % and it did not thus compensate the decrease in the direct subsidies.

⁽¹⁸⁾ In 2019, the maximum amount of subsidies per licence was 0,75 TL/kg for a maximum of 350 000 kg. Compared to 2018 it represented a decrease in the overall the maximum amount to be received per fish licence.

recitals (40) to (52), the applicant did not benefit from the support for breeding hatcheries protected from diseases in the RIP and there was no likelihood that the applicant would receive such support until 2025. In view of the re-assessment of new information as explained in recitals (46) and (47), the Commission concluded that the applicant would not benefit in the future of any subsidy from the scheme 'Support breeding trout in hatcheries protected from diseases'.

- (68) The Commission also considered that the claim that the applicant would finance any new equipment from a subsidised loan was based on a possibility rather than on any concrete evidence. The Commission therefore rejected the argument.
- (69) Based on the above the Commission maintained that pursuant to Article 19(4) of the basic Regulation and based on the above findings, the circumstances with regard the subsidisation changed significantly and the decrease of direct subsidies is of a lasting nature in so far the applicant is concerned.

3.6. Conclusion

- (70) It follows from the above that the countervailing duty for the applicant should be amended as set out in Section 3.4.
- (71) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Committee established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1036 of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁽¹⁹⁾,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Article 1(2) of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/309 is amended as follows as far as the applicant is concerned:

Company	Countervailing duty	TARIC additional code
BAFA Su Ürünleri Yavru Üretim Merkezi Sanayi Ticaret AŞ	1,5 %	B965

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 15 May 2020.

For the Commission

The President

Ursula VON DER LEYEN

⁽¹⁹⁾ Regulation (EU) 2016/1036 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Union (OJ L 176, 30.6.2016, p. 21).

DECISIONS

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2020/659

of 15 May 2020

on the harmonised standard for the technical documentation required for assessing materials, components and electrical and electronic equipment drafted in support of Directive 2011/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on European standardisation, amending Council Directives 89/686/EEC and 93/15/EEC and Directives 94/9/EC, 94/25/EC, 95/16/EC, 97/23/EC, 98/34/EC, 2004/22/EC, 2007/23/EC, 2009/23/EC and 2009/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Decision 87/95/EEC and Decision No 1673/2006/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 10(6) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) In accordance with Article 16(2) of Directive 2011/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁽²⁾, materials, components and electrical and electronic equipment on which tests and measurements demonstrating compliance with the requirements of Article 4 of that Directive have been performed, or which have been assessed, in accordance with harmonised standards, the references of which have been published in the *Official Journal of the European Union*, are to be presumed to be in conformity with the requirements of the Directive covered by those standards.
- (2) By letter M/499 of 21 October 2011, the Commission made a request to the European Committee for Standardisation (CEN), the European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardisation (Cenelec) and the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) for revision of the existing harmonised standards and, if necessary, preparation of new standards relating to the technical documentation required for assessing materials, components and electrical and electronic equipment for conformity with the requirements of Directive 2011/65/EU.
- (3) On the basis of the request M/499 of 21 October 2011, Cenelec revised existing harmonised standard EN 50581:2012, which led to the adoption of standard EN IEC 63000:2018 setting out specifications for the technical documentation required for assessing materials, components and electrical and electronic equipment with respect to the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances. That new standard EN IEC 63000:2018 is based on existing international standard IEC 63000:2016 which itself is based on harmonised standard EN 50581:2012.
- (4) The Commission together with Cenelec has assessed whether standard EN IEC 63000:2018 drafted by Cenelec complies with the request M/499 of 21 October 2011.
- (5) Standard EN IEC 63000:2018 drafted by Cenelec satisfies the requirements which it aims to cover and which are set out in Directive 2011/65/EU. It is therefore appropriate to publish the reference of that standard in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.
- (6) Since new standard EN IEC 63000:2018 replaces standard EN 50581:2012, it is necessary to withdraw the reference of standard EN 50581:2012 from the *Official Journal of the European Union* ⁽³⁾. In order to give manufacturers sufficient time to adapt their products to the new standard, it is necessary to defer the withdrawal of the reference of harmonised standard EN 50581:2012.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 316, 14.11.2012, p. 12.

⁽²⁾ Directive 2011/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2011 on the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment (OJ L 174, 1.7.2011, p. 88).

⁽³⁾ OJ C 363, 23.11.2012, p. 6.

- (7) Compliance with a harmonised standard confers a presumption of conformity with the corresponding essential requirements set out in Union harmonisation legislation from the date of publication of the reference of such standard in the *Official Journal of the European Union*. This Decision should therefore enter into force on the date of its publication,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The reference of the harmonised standard for the technical documentation required for assessing materials, components and electrical and electronic equipment drafted in support of Directive 2011/65/EU as listed in Annex I to this Decision is hereby published in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Article 2

The reference of the harmonised standard for the technical documentation required for assessing materials, components and electrical and electronic equipment drafted in support of Directive 2011/65/EU as listed in Annex II to this Decision, is hereby withdrawn from the *Official Journal of the European Union* as from the date set out in that Annex.

Article 3

This Decision shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Done at Brussels, 15 May 2020.

For the Commission

The President

Ursula VON DER LEYEN

ANNEX I

No	Reference of the standard
1.	EN IEC 63000:2018 Technical documentation for the assessment of electrical and electronic products with respect to the restriction of hazardous substances (IEC 63000:2016)

ANNEX II

No	Reference of the standard	Date of withdrawal
1.	EN 50581:2012 Technical documentation for the assessment of electrical and electronic products with respect to the restriction of hazardous substances	18 November 2021

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2020/660**of 15 May 2020****amending Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 as regards the electromagnetic compatibility of electromechanical contactors and motor-starters, arc quenching devices, distribution boards intended to be operated by ordinary persons and industrial trucks**

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on European standardisation, amending Council Directives 89/686/EEC and 93/15/EEC and Directives 94/9/EC, 94/25/EC, 95/16/EC, 97/23/EC, 98/34/EC, 2004/22/EC, 2007/23/EC, 2009/23/EC and 2009/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Decision 87/95/EEC and Decision No 1673/2006/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 10(6) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) In accordance with Article 13 of Directive 2014/30/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁽²⁾, electrical equipment which is in conformity with harmonised standards or parts thereof, the references of which have been published in the *Official Journal of the European Union*, are to be presumed to be in conformity with the essential requirements covered by those standards or parts thereof set out in Annex I to that Directive.
- (2) By Commission Implementing Decision C(2016) 7641 ⁽³⁾, the Commission made a request to the European Committee for Standardisation (CEN) the European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardisation (Cenelec) and the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) for the drafting and revision of harmonised standards for electromagnetic compatibility in support of Directive 2014/30/EU.
- (3) On the basis of the request set out in Implementing Decision C(2016) 7641, CEN and Cenelec drafted harmonised standards EN IEC 60947-4-1:2019 for electromechanical contactors and motor-starters and EN IEC 60947-9-1:2019 for arc quenching devices.
- (4) On the basis of the request set out in Implementing Decision C(2016) 7641, CEN and Cenelec revised harmonised standard EN 12895:2015, the reference of which is published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union* ⁽⁴⁾. This resulted in adoption of harmonised standard EN 12895:2015+A1:2019 for industrial trucks.
- (5) The Commission, together with CEN and Cenelec, has assessed whether harmonised standards EN IEC 60947-4-1:2019, EN IEC 60947-9-1:2019 and EN 12895:2015+A1:2019 comply with the request set out in Implementing Decision C(2016) 7641.
- (6) The standards EN IEC 60947-4-1:2019, EN IEC 60947-9-1:2019 and EN 12895:2015+A1:2019 satisfy the essential requirements which they aim to cover and which are set out in Directive 2014/30/EU. It is therefore appropriate to publish the reference of those standards in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.
- (7) The technical specifications for the electromagnetic immunity of products covered by harmonised standard EN 55103-2:2009, the reference of which is published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union* ⁽⁵⁾, are also covered by a more recent harmonised standard, EN 55035:2017, the reference of which is published in the *Official Journal of the European Union* by Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 ⁽⁶⁾. Harmonised standard EN 55103-2:2009 should therefore be withdrawn.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 316, 14.11.2012, p. 12.

⁽²⁾ Directive 2014/30/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on the harmonisation of the laws of the Member States relating to electromagnetic compatibility (OJ L 96, 29.3.2014, p. 79).

⁽³⁾ Commission Implementing Decision C(2016) 7641 of 30 November 2016 on a standardisation request to the European Committee for Standardisation, to the European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardisation and to the European Telecommunications Standards Institute as regards harmonised standards in support of Directive 2014/30/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on the harmonisation of the laws of the Member States relating to electromagnetic compatibility.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ C 246, 13.7.2018, p. 1.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ C 246, 13.7.2018, p. 1.

⁽⁶⁾ Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 of 5 August 2019 on the harmonised standards for electromagnetic compatibility drafted in support of Directive 2014/30/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 206, 6.8.2019, p. 27).

- (8) CEN and Cenelec drafted corrigendum EN 61439-3:2012/AC:2019-04 correcting harmonised standard EN 61439-3:2012, the reference of which is published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union* ⁽⁷⁾. Due to the fact that the corrigendum introduces technical corrections and in order to ensure correct and consistent application of harmonised standard EN 61439-3:2012, it is appropriate to publish the reference of the harmonised standard together with the reference of the corrigendum in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.
- (9) It is therefore necessary to withdraw the references of harmonised standards EN 55103-2:2009, EN 12895:2015 and EN 61439-3:2012, from the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union*. In order to give manufacturers sufficient time to prepare for application of harmonised standards EN 55035:2017, EN 12895:2015+A1:2019, and EN 61439-3:2012 as corrected by EN 61439-3:2012/AC:2019-04, it is necessary to defer the withdrawal of the references of harmonised standards EN 55103-2:2009, EN 12895:2015 and EN 61439-3:2012.
- (10) Annex I to Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 lists the references of harmonised standards conferring a presumption of conformity with Directive 2014/30/EU. The references of harmonised standards EN IEC 60947-4-1:2019, EN IEC 60947-9-1:2019, EN 61439-3:2012 as corrected by EN 61439-3:2012/AC:2019-04, and EN 12895:2015+A1:2019 should be included in Annex I to Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326.
- (11) Annex II to Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 lists the references of harmonised standards drafted in support of Directive 2014/30/EU that are withdrawn from the *Official Journal of the European Union*. The references of harmonised standards EN 55103-2:2009, EN 12895:2015 and EN 61439-3:2012 should be included in Annex II to Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326.
- (12) Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (13) Compliance with a harmonised standard confers a presumption of conformity with the corresponding essential requirements set out in Union harmonisation legislation from the date of publication of the reference of such standard in the *Official Journal of the European Union*. This Decision should therefore enter into force on the day of its publication,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

Annex I to Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 is amended in accordance with Annex I to this Decision.

Article 2

Annex II to Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 is amended in accordance with Annex II to this Decision.

Article 3

This Decision shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Done at Brussels, 15 May 2020.

For the Commission
The President
Ursula VON DER LEYEN

⁽⁷⁾ OJ C 246, 13.7.2018, p. 1.

ANNEX I

In Annex I to Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 the following entries are added:

No	Reference of the standard
'6.	EN IEC 60947-4-1:2019 Low-voltage switchgear and controlgear - Part 4-1: Contactors and motor-starters - Electromechanical contactors and motor-starters
7.	EN IEC 60947-9-1:2019 Low-voltage switchgear and controlgear - Part 9-1: Active arc-fault mitigation systems - Arc quenching devices
8.	EN 61439-3:2012 Low-voltage switchgear and controlgear assemblies - Part 3: Distribution boards intended to be operated by ordinary persons (DBO) EN 61439-3:2012/AC:2019-04
9.	EN 12895:2015+A1:2019 Industrial trucks - Electromagnetic compatibility'

ANNEX II

In Annex II to Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1326 the following entries are added:

No	Reference of the standard	Date of withdrawal
‘4.	EN 55103-2:2009 Electromagnetic compatibility — Product family standard for audio, video, audio-visual and entertainment lighting control apparatus for professional use — Part 2: Immunity	18 November 2021
5.	EN 61439-3:2012 Low-voltage switchgear and controlgear assemblies - Part 3: Distribution boards intended to be operated by ordinary persons (DBO)	18 November 2020
6.	EN 12895:2015 Industrial trucks - Electromagnetic compatibility	18 November 2021’

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2020/661**of 15 May 2020****amending the Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 on protective measures in relation to highly pathogenic avian influenza of subtype H5N8 in certain Member States***(notified under document C(2020) 3319)***(Text with EEA relevance)**

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Directive 89/662/EEC of 11 December 1989 concerning veterinary checks in intra-Community trade with a view to the completion of the internal market ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 9(4) thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 90/425/EEC of 26 June 1990 concerning veterinary checks applicable in intra-Union trade in certain live animals and products with a view to the completion of the internal market ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 10(4) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 ⁽³⁾ was adopted following outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza of subtype H5N8 in holdings where poultry are kept in certain Member States, and the establishment of protection and surveillance zones by those Member States in accordance with Council Directive 2005/94/EC ⁽⁴⁾.
- (2) Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 provides that the protection and surveillance zones established by the Member States listed in the Annex to that Implementing Decision, in accordance with Directive 2005/94/EC, are to comprise at least the areas listed as protection and surveillance zones in that Annex.
- (3) The Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 was recently amended by Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/627 ⁽⁵⁾, following outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza of subtype H5N8 in poultry in Hungary that needed to be reflected in that Annex. One of those outbreaks in the Békés county of Hungary was located in close proximity to the border with Romania, and accordingly the necessary surveillance zone for that outbreak extends into the territory of Romania.
- (4) Since the date of adoption of Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/627, Hungary has notified the Commission of more outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza of subtype H5N8 in holdings where poultry were kept, again located in the Bács-Kiskun, Csongrád and Békés counties of that Member State.
- (5) The new outbreaks in Hungary are located within the boundaries of areas currently listed in the Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47. However, the boundaries of the new protection zones around these new outbreaks, established by the competent authority of Hungary in accordance with Directive 2005/94/EC, extend beyond the boundaries of the protection zones currently listed in that Annex.
- (6) Furthermore, one outbreak confirmed in the Békés county of Hungary is located again in close proximity to the border with Romania. Accordingly, the competent authorities of those two Member States have duly collaborated with regard to the establishment of the necessary surveillance zone, in accordance with Directive 2005/94/EC, as the surveillance zone of this new outbreak also extends into the territory of Romania.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 395, 30.12.1989, p. 13.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 224, 18.8.1990, p. 29.

⁽³⁾ Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 of 20 January 2020 on protective measures in relation to highly pathogenic avian influenza of subtype H5N8 in certain Member States (OJ L 16, 21.1.2020, p. 31).

⁽⁴⁾ Council Directive 2005/94/EC of 20 December 2005 on Community measures for the control of avian influenza and repealing Directive 92/40/EEC (OJ L 10, 14.1.2006, p. 16).

⁽⁵⁾ Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/627 of 7 May 2020 amending the Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 on protective measures in relation to highly pathogenic avian influenza of subtype H5N8 in certain Member States (OJ L 146, 8.5.2020, p. 4).

- (7) The Commission has examined the measures taken by Hungary and Romania, in accordance with Directive 2005/94/EC, and it is satisfied that the boundaries of the new protection zones, established by the competent authority of Hungary, and the new surveillance zone established by the competent authority of Romania, are at a sufficient distance to the holdings where the recent outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza of subtype H5N8 have been confirmed.
- (8) In order to prevent any unnecessary disturbance to trade within the Union and to avoid unjustified barriers to trade being imposed by third countries, it is necessary to rapidly describe at Union level, in collaboration with Hungary the new protection zones established by that Member State.
- (9) Furthermore, it is also necessary to rapidly describe at Union level, in collaboration with Romania the new surveillance zone established by that Member State in accordance with Directive 2005/94/EC.
- (10) Therefore, new protection zones for Hungary, and a new surveillance zone for Romania, should be listed in the Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47.
- (11) Accordingly, the Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 should be amended to update regionalisation at Union level to include the new protection zones established by Hungary and the new surveillance zone established by Romania, in accordance with Directive 2005/94/EC, and the duration of the restrictions applicable therein.
- (12) Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (13) Given the urgency of the epidemiological situation in the Union, as regards the spread of highly pathogenic avian influenza of subtype H5N8, it is important that the amendments made to the Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47, by this Decision, take effect as soon as possible.
- (14) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 is replaced by the text set out in the Annex to this Decision.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 15 May 2020.

For the Commission
Stella KYRIAKIDES
Member of the Commission

ANNEX

The Annex to Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/47 is replaced by the following:

‘ANNEX

PART A

Protection zones in the concerned Member States as referred to in Articles 1 and 2:

Member State: Germany

Area comprising:	Date until applicable in accordance with Article 29(1) of Directive 2005/94/EC
BUNDESLAND SACHSEN-ANHALT, Landkreis Börde	
Verbandsgemeinde Flechtingen Gemeinde: 39345 Bülstringen Ortsteil: Wiegitz/Ellersell	15.5.2020
Einheitsgemeinde Stadt Haldensleben Ortsteil: 39345 Uthmöden	15.5.2020

Member State: Hungary

Area comprising:	Date until applicable in accordance with Article 29(1) of Directive 2005/94/EC
Bács-Kiskun és Csongrád megye:	
Ásotthalom, Balástya, Csongrád, Gátér, Hajós, Pálmonostora, Ruzsa és Tiszaalpár települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.440827 és a 19.846995, a 46.438786 és 19.850685, a 46.440443 és a 19.857895, a 46.423886 és a 19.854827, a 46.44449 és 19.8483, 46.455321 és 19.852898, a 46.45030 és 19.84853, a 46.40299 és 19.87998, a 46.44957 és 19.87544, a 46.42564 és 19.86214, a 46.44133 és 19.85725, a 46.40685 és 19.86369, a 46.45601 és 19.87579, a 46.45869 és 19.87283, a 46.41407 és 19.88379, a 46.45798081 és 19.86121049, a 46.40755246 és 19.85871844, a 46.47455783 és 19.86788239, a 46.41085 és 19.85558, a 46.5253 és 19.7569, a 46.34363 és a 19.88657, a 46.38582 és 19.87797, a 46.426789 és 19.4482121, a 46.55212 és 19.97079, a 46.54135 és 19.83184, a 46.3996 és 19.87582, a 46.2541 és 46.2541, a 46.54013 és a 19.84689, a 46.51653 és 19.88925, a 46.5951638 és 19.8779228, a 46.71642 és 19.94316, a 46.5305 és 19.81879, a 46.5429337 és 19.9725232, a 46.4723 és 19.9973, a 46.5332 és 19.8118, valamint a 46.60756 és 19.94654 GPS-koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei.	31.5.2020
Csongrád megye:	
Balástya, Ópusztaszer, Ruzsa, Székkutas, Szentés, Tömörkény és Zákányszék települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.3424 és 19.8024, a 46.30436 és 19.77187, a 46.22671 és 19.58741, a 46.34363 és 19.88657, a 46.198931 és 19.5964193, a 46.4386 és 19.9377, a 46.5498 és 20.00926, a 46.48531 és 20.02736, a 46.51651 és 20.54515, a 46.295683 és 19.861898, a 46.3458 és 19.9377, a 46.1781 és 19.7396, a 46.7133 és 20.0775, a 46.66405 és 20.29444, a 46.66473 és 20.29684 46.4595 és 20.0566, a 46.275056 és 19.946250 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei, valamint Bordány, Csengele, Forráskút, Kistelek, Öttömös, Pusztaszer, Üllés és Zsombó települések teljes közigazgatási területe.	31.5.2020
Bács-kiskun megye:	
Ballószög, Balotaszállás, Borota, Bugac, Bugacpusztaháza, Császártöltés, Csávoly, Felsőszentiván, Fülöpháza, Fülöpjakab, Helvécia, Jakabszállás, Jánoshalma, Kaskantyú, Kecskemét, Kéleshalom, Kerekegyháza, Kiskőrös, Kiskunfélegyháza, Kiskunhalas, Kisszállás, Kunfehértó, Kunszállás, Mélykút, Nyárlőrinc, Orgovány, Páhi, Pirtó, Rém,	3.6.2020

Area comprising:	Date until applicable in accordance with Article 29(1) of Directive 2005/94/EC
Soltvadkert, Tompa és Városföld települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.694364 és 19.77329, a a 46.800833 és 19.857222, a 46.860495 és 19.848759, a 46.603350 és 19.478592, a 46.65701 és 19.77743, a 46.581470 és 19.770906, a a 46.22671 és 19.58741, a 46.606053 és 19.788634, a 46.682057 és 19.499820, a 46.536629 és 19.488942, a 46.347100 és 19.402476; a 46.588129 és 19.798864, a 46.34587 és 19.40784, a 46.34457 és 19.40556, a 46.5916734 és 19.4953154, a 46.43887 és 19.603, a 46.59776 és 19.80446, a 46.675319 és 19.503534, a 46.592784 és 19.491405, a 46.55832 és 19.46721, a 46.598149 és 19.465149, a 46.5878624 és 19.882969, a 46.59159 és 19.77504, a 46.6173 és 19.5483, a 46.66314 és 19.49678, a 46.4209 és 19.44301, a 46.44449 és 19.42247, a 46.22658 és 19.39732, a 46.533528 és 19.518495, a 46.22667 és a 19.62321, a 46.620761 és 19.449354, a 46.624254 és 19.407137, a 46.632 és 19.534668, a 46.630572 és 19.534712, a 46.17763 és 19.6145, a 46.44502 és 19.63958, a 46.58973 és 19.78638, a 4134 és 19.45376, a 46.34817 és 19.40526, a 46.40771 és 19.1972, a 46.73519 és 19.45826, a 46.45126 és 19.78045, a 46.22153 és 19.39457, a 46.67671 és 19.49529, a 46.45707 és 19.62088, a 46.46387 és 19.47777, a 46.275227 és 19.52979, a 46.28476 és 19.35571, a 46.634373 és 19.527571, a 46.25856 és 19.12728, a 46.776074 és 19.8004028, a 46.5821446 és 19.4672782, a 46.67858 és 19.66368, a 46.678632 és 19.511939, a 46.618622 és 19.536336, a 46.61693 és 19.54551, a 46.6451959 és 19.8422899, a 46.40391 és 19.44543, a 46.62594 és 19.68757, a 46.63124 és 19.603105, a 46.72058 és 19.81876, a 46.8941508 és 19.575034, a 46.26511 és 19.58339, a 46.7228 és 19.6124, a 46.76493 és 19.5579, a 46.40986 és 19.51711, a 46.41677 és 19.42174, a 46.52991 és 19.50579, a 46.69717 és 19.68106, a 46.24569 és 19.36824, a 46.62892 és 19.66855, a 46.46244 és 19.60314, a 46.27849 és 19.34532, a 46.31154 és 19.29355, a 46.28330 és 19.35307, a 46.24107 és 19.17238, a 46.6610 és 19.8501, a 46.6804205 és 19.6656433, a 46.22462 és 19.41309, a 46.91951 és 19.47583, a 46.75386 és 19.58653, a 46.34972 és 19.40180, a 47.01942 és 19.50579, a 46.68936 és 19.77691, a 46.43783 és 19.44564, a 46.26996 és 19.13649, a 46.69514 és 19.94233, a 46.7411418 és 19.7217461 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei valamint Bócsa, Csólyospálos, Harkakötöny, Jászszentlászló, Kelebia, Kiskunmajsa, Kömpöc, Móricgát, Petőfiszállás, Szank, Tázlár és Zsana települések teljes közigazgatási területe.	
Békés megye:	
Almáskamarás, Battonya, Kétegyháza, Mezőhegyes, Nagykamarás települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.47521 és 21.13890 és a 46.29160 és 20.97959 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei.	25.5.2020
Kétsoprony és Kondoros települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.74646 és 20.82643 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei.	28.5.2020
Battonya, Dombegyház, Dombiratos, Kisdombegyház, Kunágota és Magyardombegyház települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.372500 és 21.101667 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei.	3.6.2020

PART B

Surveillance zones in the concerned Member States as referred to in Articles 1 and 3:

Member State: Germany

Area comprising:	Date until applicable in accordance with Article 31 of Directive 2005/94/EC
BUNDESLAND SACHSEN-ANHALT, Landkreis Börde	
Einheitsgemeinde Stadt Haldensleben Ortsteil: 39343 Bodendorf Ortsteil: 39345 Gut Detzel	15.5.2020

Area comprising:	Date until applicable in accordance with Article 31 of Directive 2005/94/EC
Ortsteil: 39340 Hütten Ortsteil: 39340 Lübberitz Ortsteil: 39345 Satuelle Ortsteil: 39343 Süplingen 39340 Stadt Haldensleben	
Einheitsgemeinde Oebisfelde/Weferlingen Ortsteil: 39359 Keindorf	15.5.2020
Verbandsgemeinde Elbe-Heide Gemeinde Westheide Ortsteil: 39345 Born	15.5.2020

BUNDESLAND SACHSEN-ANHALT, Altmarkkreis Salzwedel

Gemeinde Gardelegen Ortsteil: 39638 Jeseritz Ortsteil: 39638 Parleib Ortsteil: 39638 Potzehne Ortsteil: 39638 Roxförde	15.5.2020
--	-----------

Member State: Hungary

Area comprising:	Date until applicable in accordance with Article 31 of Directive 2005/94/EC
------------------	---

Bács-Kiskun és Csongrád megye:

Ásotthalom, Balástya, Csongrád, Gátér, Hajós, Pálmonostora, Ruzsa és Tiszaalpár települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.440827 és a 19.846995, a 46.438786 és 19.850685, a 46.440443 és a 19.857895, a 46.423886 és a 19.854827, a 46.44449 és 19.8483, a 46.455321 és 19.852898, a 46.45030 és 19.84853, a 46.40299 és 19.87998, a 46.44957 és 19.87544, a 46.42564 és 19.86214, a 46.44133 és 19.85725, a 46.40685 és 19.86369, a 46.45601 és 19.87579, a 46.45869 és 19.87283, a 46.41407 és 19.88379, a 46.45798081 és 19.86121049, a 46.40755246 és 19.85871844, a 46.47455783 és 19.86788239, a 46.41085 és 19.85558, a 46.5253 és 19.7569, a 46.34363 és a 19.88657, a 46.38582 és 19.87797, a 46.426789 és 19.4482121, a 46.55212 és 19.97079, a 46.54135 és 19.83184, a 46.3996 és 19.87582, a a 46.2541 és 46.2541, a 46.54013 és a 19.84689, a 46.51653 és 19.88925, a 46.5951638 és 19.8779228, a 46.71642 és 19.94316, a 46.5305 és 19.81879, a 46.5429337 és 19.9725232, a 46.4723 és 19.9973 a 46.5332 és 19.8118, valamint a 46.60756 és 19.94654 GPS-koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei.	From 1.6.2020 until 15.6.2020
---	-------------------------------

Bács-Kiskun, Békés, Csongrád, Jász-Nagykun-Szolnok és Pest megye:

Az alábbiak által határolt terület védőkörzeten kívüli területei: Kunbaja nyugati közigazgatási határa, majd Bácsalmás, Bácsbokod, Baja közigazgatási határai, majd Bács-Kiskun és Tolna megye határa, majd Fajsz keleti és Dusnok nyugati közigazgatási határa, majd Miske és Drágszél nyugati közigazgatási határai, majd Homokmégy, Öregcsertő, Kecel, Kiskőrös, Tabdi, Csengőd, Izsák, Fülöpszállás, Szabadszállás nyugati közigazgatási határai, majd Kunadacs, Tatárszentgyörgy és Örkény nyugati és északi közigazgatási határa, majd Bács-Kiskun és Pest megye határa, majd a 46.860495 és 19.848759 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pont körüli 10 km sugarú kör, majd Tiszaalpár, Tiszasas, Csépa északi határa, majd Szelevény, északi és keleti közigazgatási határa, majd Nagytőke északi közigazgatási határa, majd Csongrád megye és Békés megye határa, majd Fábiánsebestyén és Árpádhalm, Orosháza északi és keleti közigazgatási határa, majd Kardoskút, és Békéssámson keleti közigazgatási határa a Tisza, majd Hódmezővásárhely keleti, déli és nyugati közigazgatási határa, majd Sándorfalva keleti és déli közigazgatási határa, majd Szatymaz keleti közigazgatási határa, majd az 5-ös főút, az 502-es út, az 55-ös út, majd Domaszék és Röske keleti közigazgatási határa, majd az országhatár.	15.6.2020
---	-----------

Csongrád megye:

Area comprising:	Date until applicable in accordance with Article 31 of Directive 2005/94/EC
Balástya, Ópusztaszer, Ruzsa, Székkutas, Szentes, Tömörkény és Zákányszék települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.3424 és 19.8024, a 46.30436 és 19.77187, a 46.22671 és 19.58741, a 46.34363 és 19.88657, a 46.198931 és 19.5964193, a 46.4386 és 19.9377, a 46.5498 és 20.00926, a 46.48531 és 20.02736, a 46.51651 és 20.54515, a 46.295683 és 19.861898, a 46.3458 és 19.9377, a 46.1781 és 19.7396, a 46.7133 és 20.0775, a 46.66405 és 20.29444, a 46.66473 és 20.29684, a 46.4595 és 20.0566, a 46.275056 és 19.946250 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei, valamint Bordány, Csengele, Forráskút, Kistelek, Öttömös, Pusztaszer, Üllés és Zsombó települések teljes közigazgatási területe.	From 1.6.2020 until 15.6.2020
Bács-Kiskun megye:	
Ballószög, Balotaszállás, Borota, Bugac, Bugacpusztaháza, Császártöltés, Csávoly, Felsőszentiván, Fülöpháza, Fülöpjakab, Helvécia, Jakabszállás, Jánoshalma, Kaskantyú, Kecskemét, Kéleshalom, Kerekegyháza, Kiskőrös, Kiskunfélegyháza, Kiskunhalas, Kisszállás, Kunfehértó, Kunszállás, Mélykút, Nyárlőrinc, Orgovány, Páhi, Pirtó, Rémsoltsádkert, Tompa és Városföld települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.694364 és 19.77329, a 46.800833 és 19.857222, a 46.860495 és 19.848759, a 46.603350 és 19.478592, a 46.65701 és 19.77743, a 46.581470 és 19.770906, a 46.22671 és 19.58741, a 46.606053 és 19.788634, a 46.682057 és 19.499820, a 46.536629 és 19.488942, a 46.347100 és 19.402476, a 46.588129 és 19.798864, a 46.34587 és 19.40784, a 46.34457 és 19.40556, a 46.5916734 és 19.4953154, a 46.43887 és 19.603, a 46.59776 és 19.80446, a 46.675319 és 19.503534, a 46.592784 és 19.491405, a 46.55832 és 19.46721, a 46.598149 és 19.465149, a 46.5878624 és 19.882969, a 46.59159 és 19.77504, a 46.6173 és 19.5483, a 46.66314 és 19.49678, a 46.4209 és 19.44301, a 46.44449 és 19.42247, a 46.22658 és 19.39732, a 46.533528 és 19.518495, a 46.22667 és a 19.62321, a 46.620761 és 19.449354, a 46.624254 és 19.407137, a 46.632 és 19.534668, a 46.630572 és 19.534712, a 46.17763 és 19.6145, a 46.44502 és 19.63958, a 46.58973 és 19.78638, a 46.4134 és 19.45376, a 46.34817 és 19.40526, a 46.40771 és 19.1972, a 46.73519 és 19.45826, a 46.45126 és 19.78045, a 46.22153 és 19.39457, a 46.67671 és 19.49529, a 46.45707 és 19.62088, a 46.46387 és 19.47777, a 46.275227 és 19.52979, a 46.28476 és 19.35571, a 46.634373 és 19.527571, a 46.25856 és 19.12728, a 46.776074 és 19.8004028, a 46.5821446 és 19.4672782, a 46.67858 és 19.66368, a 46.678632 és 19.511939, a 46.618622 és 19.536336, a 46.61693 és 19.54551, a 46.6451959 és 19.8422899, a 46.40391 és 19.44543, a 46.62594 és 19.68757, a 46.63124 és 19.603105, a 46.72058 és 19.81876, a 46.8941508 és 19.575034, a 46.26511 és 19.58339, a 46.7228 és 19.6124, a 46.76493 és 19.5579, a 46.40986 és 19.51711, a 46.41677 és 19.42174, a 46.52991 és 19.50579, a 46.69717 és 19.68106, a 46.24569 és 19.36824, a 46.62892 és 19.66855, a 46.46244 és 19.60314, a 46.27849 és 19.34532, a 46.31154 és 19.29355, a 46.28330 és 19.35307, a 46.24107 és 19.17238, a 46.6610 és 19.8501, a 46.6804205 és 19.6656433, a 46.22462 és 19.41309, a 46.91951 és 19.47583, a 46.75386 és 19.58653, a 46.34972 és 19.40180, a 47.01942 és 19.50579, a 46.68936 és 19.77691, a 46.43783 és 19.44564, a 46.26996 és 19.13649, a 46.69514 és 19.94233, a 46.7411418 és 19.7217461 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei valamint Bócsa, Csólyospálos, Harkakötöny, Jászszenzlászló, Kelebia, Kiskunmajsza, Kömpöc, Móricgát, Petőfiszállás, Szank, Tázlár és Zsana települések teljes közigazgatási területe.	From 4.6.2020 until 15.6.2020
Békés megye:	
Almáskamarás, Battonya, Kétegyháza, Mezőhegyes, Nagykamarás települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.47521 és 21.13890 és a 46.29160 és 20.97959 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei.	From 26.5.2020 until 3.6.2020
Keletről és délről az országhatár, majd Mezőhegyes és Végegyháza nyugati közigazgatási határa, majd Mezőkovácsháza nyugati és északi közigazgatási határa, majd Magyarbánhegyes 46.47521 és 21.1389 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 10 km sugarú körökön belül eső területe, majd Medgyesháza déli és nyugati közigazgatási határa, majd Pusztaszentlőrinc nyugati közigazgatási határa, majd Újkígyós nyugati és északi közigazgatási határa, majd Kétegyháza és Elek északi közigazgatási határa.	12.6.2020

Area comprising:	Date until applicable in accordance with Article 31 of Directive 2005/94/EC
Kétsoprony és Kondoros települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.74646 és 20.82643 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pont körüli 3 km sugarú körön belül eső területei.	From 29.5.2020 until 6.6.2020
Békéscsaba, Csorvás, Csabacsűd, Kamut, Mezőberény, Nagyszénás, Örménykút, Telekgerendás települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.74646 és 20.82643 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pont körüli 10 km sugarú körön belül eső területei, Kétsoprony 46.74646 és 20.82643 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pont körüli 3 km sugarú körön kívül eső közigazgatási területe, valamint Hunya, Kardos és Orosháza teljes közigazgatási területe.	6.6.2020
Battonya, Dombegyház, Dombiratos, Kisdombegyház, Kunágota és Magyardombegyház települések közigazgatási területeinek a 46.372500 és 21.101667 GPS koordináták által meghatározott pontok körüli 3 km sugarú körökön belül eső területei.	From 4.6.2020 until 12.6.2020

Member State: Romania

Area comprising:	Date until applicable in accordance with Article 31 of Directive 2005/94/EC
Județul Arad:	
Localitățile: — Peregu Mic — Peregu Mare	3.6.2020
Localitățile: — Iratoșu — Dorobanți	12.6.2020'

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2020/662**of 15 May 2020****amending the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU concerning animal health control measures relating to African swine fever in certain Member States***(notified under document C(2020) 3321)***(Text with EEA relevance)**

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Directive 89/662/EEC of 11 December 1989 concerning veterinary checks in intra-Community trade with a view to the completion of the internal market ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 9(4) thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 90/425/EEC of 26 June 1990 concerning veterinary checks applicable in intra-Union trade in certain live animals and products with a view to the completion of the internal market ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 10(4) thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 2002/99/EC of 16 December 2002 laying down the animal health rules governing the production, processing, distribution and introduction of products of animal origin for human consumption ⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 4(3) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Commission Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU ⁽⁴⁾ lays down animal health control measures in relation to African swine fever in certain Member States, where there have been confirmed cases of that disease in domestic or feral pigs (the Member States concerned). The Annex to that Implementing Decision demarcates and lists certain areas of the Member States concerned in Parts I to IV thereof, differentiated by the level of risk based on the epidemiological situation as regards that disease. The Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU has been amended several times to take account of changes in the epidemiological situation in the Union as regards African swine fever that need to be reflected in that Annex. The Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU was last amended by Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/543 ⁽⁵⁾, following changes in the epidemiological situation as regards that disease in Lithuania, Poland and Hungary.
- (2) Council Directive 2002/60/EC ⁽⁶⁾ lays down the minimum Union measures to be taken for the control of African swine fever. In particular, Article 9 of Directive 2002/60/EC provides for the establishment of a protection zone and a surveillance zone when African swine fever has been officially confirmed in pigs on a holding, and Articles 10 and 11 of that Directive lay down the measures to be taken in the protection and surveillance zones in order to prevent the spread of that disease. In addition, Article 15 of Directive 2002/60/EC lays down the measures to be taken where African swine fever is confirmed in feral pigs. Recent experience has shown that the measures laid down in Directive 2002/60/EC are effective in controlling the spread of that disease, and in particular, the measures providing for the cleaning and disinfecting of infected holdings and the other measures related to the eradication of that disease from domestic and feral pig populations.
- (3) Since the date of adoption of Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/543, there have been new occurrences of African swine fever in feral pigs in Hungary and Poland. In addition, the epidemiological situation in certain areas of Lithuania, Poland and Belgium has improved as regards domestic and feral pigs, due to the measures being applied by those Member States in accordance with Directive 2002/60/EC.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 395, 30.12.1989, p. 13.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 224, 18.8.1990, p. 29.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 18, 23.1.2003, p. 11.

⁽⁴⁾ Commission Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU of 9 October 2014 concerning animal health control measures relating to African swine fever in certain Member States and repealing Implementing Decision 2014/178/EU (OJ L 295, 11.10.2014, p. 63).

⁽⁵⁾ Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/543 of 17 April 2020 amending the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU concerning animal health control measures relating to African swine fever in certain Member States (OJ L 121, 20.4.2020, p. 9).

⁽⁶⁾ Council Directive 2002/60/EC of 27 June 2002 laying down specific provisions for the control of African swine fever and amending Directive 92/119/EEC as regards Teschen disease and African swine fever (OJ L 192, 20.7.2002, p. 27).

- (4) In April 2020, several cases of African swine fever in feral pigs were observed in the counties of Pest, Nógrád, Hajdú-Bihar, Szabolcs-Szatmár-Bereg and Békés in Hungary in areas listed in Part II of the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU, located in close proximity to areas listed in Part I thereof. These cases of African swine fever in feral pigs constitute an increased level of risk which should be reflected in that Annex. Accordingly, these areas of Hungary currently listed in Part I of the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU, that are in close proximity to areas listed in Part II affected by these recent cases of African swine fever, should now be listed in Part II of that Annex instead of in Part I thereof.
- (5) In April 2020, several cases of African swine fever in feral pigs were also observed in the districts of nowosolski, grodziski and leszczyński in Poland in areas listed in Part II of the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU, located in close proximity to areas listed in Part I thereof. These cases of African swine fever in feral pigs constitute an increased level of risk which should be reflected in that Annex. Accordingly, these areas of Poland currently listed in Part I of the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU, that are in close proximity to areas listed in Part II affected by these recent cases of African swine fever, should now be listed in Part II of that Annex instead of in Part I thereof.
- (6) Following those recent occurrences of African swine fever in feral pigs in Poland and Hungary, and taking into account the current epidemiological situation in the Union, regionalisation in those two Member States has been reassessed and updated. In addition, the risk management measures in place have also been reassessed and updated. These changes need to be reflected in the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU.
- (7) In addition, taking into account the effectiveness of the measures being applied in Poland and Lithuania in accordance with Directive 2002/60/EC, and in particular those laid down in Article 10(4)(b) and Article 10(5) thereof, and in line with the risk mitigation measures for African swine fever set out in the Terrestrial Animal Health Code of the World Organisation for Animal Health ⁽⁷⁾ (the OIE Code), certain areas in the districts of olsztyński, ostródzki, ełcki, łukowski, lubartowski, lubelski, biłgorajski, świdnicki and zamojski in Poland and in the district of Kazlų Rūda in Lithuania, currently listed in Part III of the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU should now be listed instead in Part II of that Annex, in view of the expiry of the period of three months from the date of the final cleaning and disinfection of the infected holdings and due to the absence of African swine fever outbreaks in those areas for the past three months in accordance with the provisions of the OIE Code. Given that Part III of the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU lists the areas where the epidemiological situation is still evolving and very dynamic, when any amendments are made to areas listed in that Part, particular consideration must always be given to the effect on the surrounding areas, as has been done in this instance.
- (8) In addition, cases of African swine fever in feral pigs have not been observed for more than twelve months in certain areas of the Luxembourg province of Belgium. Taking into account the effectiveness of the overall measures being applied in Belgium in accordance with Directive 2002/60/EC, and in particular those laid down in Article 15 thereof, and in line with the risk mitigation measures for African swine fever set out in the OIE Code, these areas in Belgium currently listed in Part II of the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU where the disease has not occurred for more than twelve months, should now be listed in Part I of that Annex instead of in Part II thereof.
- (9) In order to take account of recent developments in the epidemiological evolution of African swine fever in the Union, and in order to combat the risks associated with the spread of that disease in a proactive manner, new high-risk areas of a sufficient size should be demarcated for Hungary, Poland, Lithuania and Belgium and duly listed in Parts I and II of the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU. Parts I and II of that Annex should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (10) Given the urgency of the epidemiological situation in the Union as regards the spread of African swine fever, it is important that the amendments made to the Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU by this Decision take effect as soon as possible.
- (11) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed,

⁽⁷⁾ <https://www.oie.int/en/standard-setting/terrestrial-code/access-online/>

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU is replaced by the text set out in the Annex to this Decision.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 15 May 2020.

For the Commission
Stella KYRIAKIDES
Member of the Commission

ANNEX

The Annex to Implementing Decision 2014/709/EU is replaced by the following:

ANNEX

PART I

1. Belgium

Les zones suivantes en Belgique:

dans la province de Luxembourg:

- la zone est délimitée, dans le sens des aiguilles d'une montre, par:
- Frontière avec la France,
- Rue Mersinhat à Florenville,
- La N818 jusque son intersection avec la N83,
- La N83 jusque son intersection avec la N884,
- La N884 jusque son intersection avec la N824,
- La N824 jusque son intersection avec Le Routeux,
- Le Routeux,
- Rue d'Orgéo,
- Rue de la Vierre,
- Rue du Bout-d'en-Bas,
- Rue Sous l'Eglise,
- Rue Notre-Dame,
- Rue du Centre,
- La N845 jusque son intersection avec la N85,
- La N85 jusque son intersection avec la N40,
- La N40 jusque son intersection avec la N802,
- La N802 jusque son intersection avec la N825,
- La N825 jusque son intersection avec la E25-E411,
- La E25-E411 jusque son intersection avec la N40,
- N40: Burnaimont, Rue de Luxembourg, Rue Ranci, Rue de la Chapelle,
- Rue du Tombois,
- Rue Du Pierroy,
- Rue Saint-Orban,
- Rue Saint-Aubain,
- Rue des Cottages,
- Rue de Relune,
- Rue de Rulune,
- Route de l'Ermitage,
- N87: Route de Habay,
- Chemin des Ecoliers,
- Le Routy,
- Rue Burgknapp,
- Rue de la Halte,
- Rue du Centre,

- Rue de l'Eglise,
- Rue du Marquisat,
- Rue de la Carrière,
- Rue de la Lorraine,
- Rue du Beynert,
- Millewée,
- Rue du Tram,
- Millewée,
- N4: Route de Bastogne, Avenue de Longwy, Route de Luxembourg,
- Frontière avec le Grand-Duché de Luxembourg,
- Frontière avec la France, jusque son intersection avec la Rue Mersinhat à Florenville.

2. Estonia

The following areas in Estonia:

- Hiiu maakond.

3. Hungary

The following areas in Hungary:

- Békés megye 950950, 950960, 950970, 951950, 952050, 952750, 952850, 952950, 953050, 953150, 953650, 953660, 953750, 953850, 953960, 954250, 954260, 954350, 954450, 954550, 954650, 954750, 954850, 954860, 954950, 955050, 955150, 955250, 955260, 955270, 955350, 955450, 955510, 955650, 955750, 955760, 955850, 955950, 956050, 956060, 956150 és 956160 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Bács-Kiskun megye 600150, 600850, 601550, 601650, 601660, 601750, 601850, 601950, 602050, 603250, 603750 és 603850 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Budapest 1 kódszámú, vadgazdálkodási tevékenységre nem alkalmas területe,
- Csongrád megye 800150, 800160, 800250, 802220, 802260, 802310 és 802450 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Fejér megye 400150, 400250, 400351, 400352, 400450, 400550, 401150, 401250, 401350, 402050, 402350, 402360, 402850, 402950, 403050, 403250, 403350, 403450, 403550, 403650, 403750, 403950, 403960, 403970, 404570, 404650, 404750, 404850, 404950, 404960, 405050, 405750, 405850, 405950, 406050, 406150, 406550, 406650 és 406750 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Jász-Nagykun-Szolnok megye 750150, 750160, 750260, 750350, 750450, 750460, 754450, 754550, 754560, 754570, 754650, 754750, 754950, 755050, 755150, 755250, 755350 és 755450 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Komárom-Esztergom megye 250850, 250950, 251050, 251150, 251360, 251450, 251550, 251650, 251750, 251850, 251950, 252050, 252150, 252250, 252550, 252650 és 253550 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Nógrád megye 553250, 553260, 553350, 553750, 553850 és 553910 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Pest megye 570150, 570250, 570350, 570450, 570550, 570650, 570750, 570850, 571050, 571150, 571250, 571350, 571550, 571610, 571750, 571760, 572150, 572250, 572350, 572550, 572650, 572750, 572850, 572950, 573150, 573250, 573260, 573350, 573360, 573450, 573850, 573950, 573960, 574050, 574150, 574350, 574360, 574550, 574650, 574750, 574850, 574860, 574950, 575050, 575150, 575250, 575350, 575550, 575650, 575750, 575850, 575950, 576050, 576150, 576250, 576350, 576450, 576650, 576750, 576850, 576950, 577050, 577150, 577350, 577450, 577650, 577850, 577950, 578050, 578150, 578250, 578350, 578360, 578450, 578550, 578560, 578650, 578850, 578950, 579050, 579150, 579250, 579350, 579450, 579460, 579550, 579650, 579750, 580050, 580250 és 580450 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe.

4. Latvia

The following areas in Latvia:

- Pāvilostas novads,
- Stopiņu novada daļa, kas atrodas uz rietumiem no autoceļa V36, P4 un P5, Acones ielas, Daugulupes ielas un Daugulupītes,
- Ventspils novada Jūrkalnes pagasts,
- Grobiņas novads,
- Rucavas novada Dunikas pagasts.

5. Lithuania

The following areas in Lithuania:

- Klaipėdos rajono savivaldybės: Agluonėnų, Priekulės, Veiviržėnų, Judrėnų, Endriejavo ir Vėžaičių seniūnijos,
- Kretingos rajono savivaldybės: Imbarės, Kartenos ir Kūlupėnų seniūnijos,
- Plungės rajono savivaldybės: Kulių, Nausodžio, Plungės miesto ir Šateikių seniūnijos,
- Skuodo rajono savivaldybės: Lenkimų, Mosėdžio, Skuodo, Skuodo miestoseniūnijos.

6. Poland

The following areas in Poland:

w województwie warmińsko-mazurskim:

- gminy Wielbark i Rozogi w powiecie szczycieńskim,
- gminy Janowiec Kościelny, Janowo i Kozłowo w powiecie nidzickim,
- powiat działdowski,
- gminy Dąbrówno, Grunwald i Ostróda z miastem Ostróda w powiecie ostródzkim,
- gminy Kisielice, Susz, Iława z miastem Iława, Lubawa z miastem Lubawa, w powiecie iławskim,

w województwie podlaskim:

- gminy Kulesze Kościelne, Wysokie Mazowieckie z miastem Wysokie Mazowieckie, Czyżew w powiecie wysokomazowieckim,
- gminy Miastkowo, Nowogród, Śniadowo i Zbójna w powiecie łomżyńskim,
- powiat zambrowski,

w województwie mazowieckim:

- powiat ostrołęcki,
- powiat miejski Ostrołęka,
- gminy Bielsk, Brudzeń Duży, Drobin, Gąbin, Łąck, Nowy Duninów, Radzanowo, Słupno i Stara Biała w powiecie płońskim,
- powiat miejski Płock,
- powiat sierpecki,
- powiat żuromiński,
- gminy Andrzejewo, Brok, Małkinia Górna, Stary Lubotyń, Szulborze Wielkie, Wąsewo, Zaręby Kościelne i Ostrów Mazowiecka z miastem Ostrów Mazowiecka w powiecie ostrowskim,
- gminy Dzierzgowo, Lipowiec Kościelny, miasto Mława, Radzanów, Szreńsk, Szydłowo i Wieczfnia Kościelna, w powiecie mławskim,
- powiat przasnyski,
- powiat makowski,
- gminy Gzy, Obryte, Zatory, Pułtusk i część gminy Winnica położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę łączącą miejscowości Bielany, Winnica i Pokrzywnica w powiecie pułtuskim,

- gminy Brańszczyk, Długosiodło, Rząśnik, Wyszaków, Zabrodzie i część gminy Somianka położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 62 w powiecie wyszkowskim,
- gminy Kowala, Wierzbica, część gminy Wolanów położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 12, i część gminy Iłża położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 9 w powiecie radomskim,
- powiat miejski Radom,
- powiat szydłowiecki,
- powiat gostyniński,

w województwie podkarpackim:

- gmina Wielkie Oczy w powiecie lubaczowskim,
- gminy Laszki, Radymno z miastem Radymno, część gminy Wiązownica położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 867 i gmina wiejska Jarosław w powiecie jarosławskim,
- gminy Przeworsk z miastem Przeworsk, Gać Jawornik Polski, Kańczuga, Tryńcza i Zarzecze w powiecie przeworskim,
- powiat łańcucki,
- gminy Trzebownisko, Głogów Małopolski i część gminy Sokołów Małopolski położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 875 w powiecie rzeszowskim,
- gminy Dzikowiec, Kolbuszowa, Niwiska i Raniżów w powiecie kolbuszowskim,
- gminy Borowa, Czermin, Gawłuszowice, Mielec z miastem Mielec, Padew Narodowa, Przecław, Tuszów Narodowy w powiecie mieleckim,

w województwie świętokrzyskim:

- powiat opatowski,
- powiat sandomierski,
- gminy Bogoria, Łubnice, Oleśnica, Osiek, Połaniec, Rytwiany i Staszów w powiecie staszowskim,
- gmina Skarżysko Kościelne w powiecie skarżyskim,
- gminy Brody i Mirzec w powiecie starachowickim,
- powiat ostrowiecki,
- gminy Gowarczów, Końskie i Stąporków w powiecie koneckim,

w województwie łódzkim:

- gminy Łyszkowice, Kocierzew Południowy, Kiernoż, Chąsno, Nieborów, część gminy wiejskiej Łowicz położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 92 biegnącej od granicy miasta Łowicz do zachodniej granicy gminy oraz część gminy wiejskiej Łowicz położona na wschód od granicy miasta Łowicz i na północ od granicy gminy Nieborów w powiecie łowickim,
- gminy Biała Rawska, Cielądz, Rawa Mazowiecka z miastem Rawa Mazowiecka i Regnów w powiecie rawskim,
- powiat skierniewicki,
- powiat miejski Skierniewice,
- gminy Białaczów, Mniszków, Paradyż, Sławno i Żarnów w powiecie opoczyńskim,
- gminy Czerniewice, Inowłódz, Lubochnia, Rzeczyca, Tomaszów Mazowiecki z miastem Tomaszów Mazowiecki i Żelechlinek w powiecie tomaszowskim,

w województwie pomorskim:

- gminy Ostaszewo, Stegna, Sztutowo, miasto Krynica Morska oraz część gminy Nowy Dwór Gdański położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 55 biegnącą od południowej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 7, następnie przez drogę nr 7 i S7, i dalej przez drogę nr 502 biegnącą od skrzyżowania z drogą nr S7 do północnej granicy gminy w powiecie nowodworskim,
- gminy Lichnowy, Miłoradz, Nowy Staw, Malbork z miastem Malbork w powiecie malborskim,
- gminy Mikołajki Pomorskie, Stary Targ i Sztum w powiecie sztumskim,

- powiat gdański,
- Miasto Gdańsk,
- powiat tczewski,
- powiat kwidzyński,

w województwie lubuskim:

- gminy Maszewo i Gubin z miastem Gubin w powiecie krośnieńskim,
- gminy Międzyrzecz, Pszczew, Trzciel w powiecie międzyrzeckim,
- gmina Lubrza, Łagów, część gminy Zbąszynek położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową, część gminy Szczaniec położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową, część gminy Świebodzin położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową w powiecie świebodzińskim,
- gmina Cybinka w powiecie słubickim,
- część gminy Torzym położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez autostradę A2 w powiecie sulęcińskim,

w województwie dolnośląskim:

- gminy Bolesławiec z miastem Bolesławiec, Gromadka i Osiecznica w powiecie bolesławieckim,
- gmina Węgliniec w powiecie zgorzeleckim,
- gminy Chocianów, Przemków, część gminy Radwanice położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr S3 i część gminy Polkowice położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 331 w powiecie polkowickim,
- gmina Jemielno, Niechlów i Góra w powiecie górowskim,
- gmina Rudna i Lubin z miastem Lubin w powiecie lubińskim,

w województwie wielkopolskim:

- gminy Krzemieniewo, Lipno, Osieczna, Rydzyna, część gminy Świąciechowa położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 12 w powiecie leszczyńskim,
- powiat miejski Leszno,
- powiat nowotomyski,
- gminy Granowo, Grodzisk Wielkopolski i część gminy Kamieniec położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 308 w powiecie grodziskim,
- gminy Czempień, Kościan z miastem Kościan, Krzywiń i część gminy Śmigiel położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr S5 w powiecie kościańskim,
- powiat miejski Poznań,
- gminy Rokietnica, Suchy Las, Mosina, miasto Luboń, miasto Puszczykowo, część gminy Komorniki położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 5, część gminy Stęszew położona na południowy – wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogi nr 5 i 32 i część gminy Kórnik położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonych przez drogi: nr S11 biegnącą od północnej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 434 i drogę nr 434 biegnącą od tego skrzyżowania do południowej granicy gminy w powiecie poznańskim,
- gminy Pniewy, Szamotuły, część gminy Duszniki położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 306 biegnącą od południowej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 92 oraz na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 92 biegnącą od wschodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 306, część gminy Kaźmierz położona na północ i na zachód od linii wyznaczonych przez drogi: nr 92 biegnącą od zachodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą łączącą miejscowości Witkowice – Gorszewice – Kaźmierz (wzdłuż ulic Czereśniowa, Dworcowa, Marii Konopnickiej) – Chlewiska, biegnącą do wschodniej granicy gminy w powiecie szamotulskim.

7. Slovakia

The following areas in Slovakia:

- the whole district of Vranov nad Topľou,
- the whole district of Humenné,
- the whole district of Snina,

- the whole district of Sobrance,
- the whole district of Košice-mesto,
- in the district of Michalovce, the whole municipalities of Tušice, Moravany, Pozdišovce, Michalovce, Zalužice, Lúčky, Závadka, Hnojné, Poruba pod Vihorlatom, Jovsa, Kusín, Klokočov, Kaluža, Vinné, Trnava pri Laborci, Oreské, Staré, Zbudza, Petrovce nad Laborcom, Lesné, Suché, Rakovec nad Ondavou, Nacina Ves, Voľa, Pusté Čemerné and Strážske,
- in the district of Košice - okolie, the whole municipalities not included in Part II.

8. Greece

The following areas in Greece:

- in the regional unit of Drama:
 - the community departments of Sidironero and Skaloti and the municipal departments of Livadero and Ksiropotamo (in Drama municipality),
 - the municipal department of Paranesti (in Paranesti municipality),
 - the municipal departments of Kokkinogeia, Mikropoli, Panorama, Pyrgoi (in Prosotsani municipality),
 - the municipal departments of Kato Nevrokopi, Chrysokefalo, Achladea, Vathytopos, Volakas, Granitis, Dasotos, Eksohi, Katafyto, Lefkogeia, Mikroklesoura, Mikromilea, Ochyro, Pagoneri, Perithorio, Kato Vrontou and Potamoi (in Kato Nevrokopi municipality),
- in the regional unit of Xanthi:
 - the municipal departments of Kimmerion, Stavroupoli, Gerakas, Dafnonas, Komnina, Kariofyto and Neochori (in Xanthi municipality),
 - the community departments of Satres, Thermes, Kotyli, and the municipal departments of Myki, Echinis and Oraio and (in Myki municipality),
 - the community department of Selero and the municipal department of Sounio (in Avdira municipality),
- in the regional unit of Rodopi:
 - the municipal departments of Komotini, Anthochorio, Gratini, Thrylorio, Kalhas, Karydia, Kikidio, Kosmio, Pandrosos, Aigeiros, Kallisti, Meleti, Neo Sidirochori and Mega Doukato (in Komotini municipality),
 - the municipal departments of Ipio, Arriana, Darmeni, Archontika, Fillyra, Ano Drosini, Aratos and the Community Departments Kehros and Organi (in Arriana municipality),
 - the municipal departments of Iasmos, Sostis, Asomatoi, Polyanthos and Amvrosia and the community department of Amaxades (in Iasmos municipality),
 - the municipal department of Amaranta (in Maroneia Sapon municipality),
- in the regional unit of Evros:
 - the municipal departments of Kyriaki, Mandra, Mavroklisi, Mikro Dereio, Protokklisi, Roussa, Goniko, Geriko, Sidirochori, Megalo Derio, Sidiro, Giannouli, Agriani and Petrolofos (in Soufli municipality),
 - the municipal departments of Dikaia, Arzos, Elaia, Therapio, Komara, Marasia, Ormenio, Pentalofoi, Petrola, Plati, Ptelea, Kyprinos, Zoni, Fulakio, Spilaio, Nea Vyssa, Kavili, Kastanies, Rizia, Sterna, Ampelakia, Valtos, Megali Doxipara, Neochori and Chondras (in Orestiada municipality),
 - the municipal departments of Asvestades, Ellinochori, Karoti, Koufovouno, Kiani, Mani, Sitchori, Alepochori, Asproneri, Metaxades, Vrysika, Doksa, Elafoxori, Ladi, Paliouri and Poimeniko (in Didymoteicho municipality),
- in the regional unit of Serres:
 - the municipal departments of Kerkini, Livadia, Makrynitsa, Neochori, Platanakia, Petritsi, Akritochori, Vyroneia, Gonimo, Mandraki, Megalochori, Rodopoli, Ano Poroia, Katw Poroia, Sidirokastro, Vamvakophyto, Promahonas, Kamaroto, Strymonochori, Charopo, Kastanousi and Chortero and the community departments of Achladochori, Agkistro and Kapnophyto (in Sintiki municipality),
 - the municipal departments of Serres, Elaionas and Oinoussa and the community departments of Orini and Ano Vrontou (in Serres municipality),
 - the municipal departments of Dasochoriou, Irakleia, Valtero, Karperi, Koimisi, Lithotopos, Limnochori, Podismeno and Chrysochorafa (in Irakleia municipality).

PART II

1. Belgium

Les zones suivantes en Belgique:

dans la province de Luxembourg:

- la zone est délimitée, dans le sens des aiguilles d'une montre, par:
- La Rue de la Station (N85) à Florenville jusque son intersection avec la N894,
- La N894 jusque son intersection avec la rue Grande,
- La rue Grande jusque son intersection avec la rue de Neufchâteau,
- La rue de Neufchâteau jusque son intersection avec Hosseuse,
- Hosseuse,
- La Roquignole,
- Les Chanvières,
- La Fosse du Loup,
- Le Sart,
- La N801 jusque son intersection avec la rue de l'Accord,
- La rue de l'Accord,
- La rue du Fet,
- La N40 jusque son intersection avec la E25-E411,
- La E25-E411 jusque son intersection avec la N81 au niveau de Weyler,
- La N81 jusque son intersection avec la N883 au niveau d'Aubange,
- La N883 jusque son intersection avec la N88 au niveau d'Aubange,
- La N88 jusque son intersection avec la N811,
- La N811 jusque son intersection avec la rue Baillet Latour,
- La rue Baillet Latour jusque son intersection avec la N88,
- La N88 (rue Baillet Latour, rue Fontaine des Dames, rue Yvan Gils, rue de Virton, rue de Gérouville, Route de Meix) jusque son intersection avec la N981,
- La N981 (rue de Virton) jusque son intersection avec la N83,
- La N83 (rue du Faing, rue de Bouillon, rue Albert 1er, rue d'Arlon) jusque son intersection avec la N85 (Rue de la Station) à Florenville.

2. Bulgaria

The following areas in Bulgaria:

- the whole region of Haskovo,
- the whole region of Yambol,
- the whole region of Stara Zagora,
- the whole region of Pernik,
- the whole region of Kyustendil,
- the whole region of Plovdiv,
- the whole region of Pazardzhik,
- the whole region of Smolyan,
- the whole region of Burgas excluding the areas in Part III.

3. Estonia

The following areas in Estonia:

- Eesti Vabariik (välja arvatud Hiiu maakond).

4. Hungary

The following areas in Hungary:

- Békés megye 950150, 950250, 950350, 950450, 950550, 950650, 950660, 950750, 950850, 950860, 951050, 951150, 951250, 951260, 951350, 951450, 951460, 951550, 951650, 951750, 952150, 952250, 952350, 952450, 952550, 952650, 953250, 953260, 953270, 953350, 953450, 953550, 953560, 953950, 954050, 954060, 954150, 956250, 956350, 956450, 956550, 956650 és 956750 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén megye 650100, 650200, 650300, 650400, 650500, 650600, 650700, 650800, 650900, 651000, 651100, 651200, 651300, 651400, 651500, 651610, 651700, 651801, 651802, 651803, 651900, 652000, 652100, 652200, 652300, 652601, 652602, 652603, 652700, 652900, 653000, 653100, 653200, 653300, 653401, 653403, 653500, 653600, 653700, 653800, 653900, 654000, 654201, 654202, 654301, 654302, 654400, 654501, 654502, 654600, 654700, 654800, 654900, 655000, 655100, 655200, 655300, 655400, 655500, 655600, 655700, 655800, 655901, 655902, 656000, 656100, 656200, 656300, 656400, 656600, 656701, 656702, 656800, 656900, 657010, 657100, 657300, 657400, 657500, 657600, 657700, 657800, 657900, 658000, 658100, 658201, 658202, 658310, 658401, 658402, 658403, 658404, 658500, 658600, 658700, 658801, 658802, 658901, 658902, 659000, 659100, 659210, 659220, 659300, 659400, 659500, 659601, 659602, 659701, 659800, 659901, 660000, 660100, 660200, 660400, 660501, 660502, 660600 és 660800, valamint 652400, 652500 és 652800 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Fejér megye 403150, 403160, 403260, 404250, 404550, 404560, 405450, 405550, 405650, 406450 és 407050 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Hajdú-Bihar megye valamennyi vadgazdálkodási egységének teljes területe,
- Heves megye 700150, 700250, 700260, 700350, 700450, 700460, 700550, 700650, 700750, 700850, 700860, 700950, 701050, 701111, 701150, 701250, 701350, 701550, 701560, 701650, 701750, 701850, 701950, 702050, 702150, 702250, 702260, 702350, 702450, 702550, 702750, 702850, 702950, 703050, 703150, 703250, 703350, 703360, 703370, 703450, 703550, 703610, 703750, 703850, 703950, 704050, 704150, 704250, 704350, 704450, 704550, 704650, 704750, 704850, 704950, 705050, 705150, 705250, 705350, 705450, 705510 és 705610 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Jász-Nagykun-Szolnok megye 750250, 750550, 750650, 750750, 750850, 750970, 750980, 751050, 751150, 751160, 751250, 751260, 751350, 751360, 751450, 751460, 751470, 751550, 751650, 751750, 751850, 751950, 752150, 752250, 752350, 752450, 752460, 752550, 752560, 752650, 752750, 752850, 752950, 753060, 753070, 753150, 753250, 753310, 753450, 753550, 753650, 753660, 753750, 753850, 753950, 753960, 754050, 754150, 754250, 754360, 754370, 754850, 755550, 755650 és 755750 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Komárom-Esztergom megye: 252350, 252450, 252460, 252750, 252850, 252860, 252950, 252960, 253050, 253150, 253250, 253350 és 253450 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Nógrád megye 550110, 550120, 550130, 550210, 550310, 550320, 550450, 550460, 550510, 550610, 550710, 550810, 550950, 551010, 551150, 551160, 551250, 551350, 551360, 551450, 551460, 551550, 551650, 551710, 551810, 551821, 552010, 552150, 552250, 552350, 552360, 552450, 552460, 552520, 552550, 552610, 552620, 552710, 552850, 552860, 552950, 552960, 552970, 553050, 553110, 553650 és 554050 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Pest megye 570950, 571850, 571950, 572050, 573550, 573650, 574250, 577250 és 580150 kódszámú vadgazdálkodási egységeinek teljes területe,
- Szabolcs-Szatmár-Bereg megye valamennyi vadgazdálkodási egységének teljes területe.

5. Latvia

The following areas in Latvia:

- Ādažu novads,
- Aizputes novads,
- Aglonas novads,
- Aizkraukles novads,
- Aknīstes novads,

- Alojās novads,
- Alsungas novads,
- Alūksnes novads,
- Amatas novads,
- Apes novads,
- Auces novads,
- Babītes novads,
- Baldones novads,
- Baltinavas novads,
- Balvu novads,
- Bauskas novads,
- Beverīnas novads,
- Brocēnu novads,
- Burtnieku novads,
- Carnikavas novads,
- Cēsu novads,
- Cesvaines novads,
- Ciblas novads,
- Dagdas novads,
- Daugavpils novads,
- Dobeles novads,
- Dundagas novads,
- Durbes novads,
- Engures novads,
- Ērgļu novads,
- Garkalnes novads,
- Gulbenes novads,
- Iecavas novads,
- Ikšķiles novads,
- Ilūkstes novads,
- Inčukalna novads,
- Jaunjelgavas novads,
- Jaunpiebalgas novads,
- Jaunpils novads,
- Jēkabpils novads,
- Jelgavas novads,
- Kandavas novads,
- Kārsavas novads,
- Ķeguma novads,
- Ķekavas novads,
- Kocēnu novads,
- Kokneses novads,

- Krāslavas novads,
- Krimuldas novads,
- Krustpils novads,
- Kuldīgas novads,
- Lielvārdes novads,
- Līgatnes novads,
- Limbažu novads,
- Līvānu novads,
- Lubānas novads,
- Ludzas novads,
- Madonas novads,
- Mālpils novads,
- Mārupes novads,
- Mazsalacas novads,
- Mērsraga novads,
- Naukšēnu novads,
- Neretas novads,
- Ogres novads,
- Olaines novads,
- Ozolnieku novads,
- Pārgaujas novads,
- Pļaviņu novads,
- Preiļu novads,
- Priekules novads,
- Priekuļu novads,
- Raunas novads,
- republikas pilsēta Daugavpils,
- republikas pilsēta Jelgava,
- republikas pilsēta Jēkabpils,
- republikas pilsēta Jūrmala,
- republikas pilsēta Rēzekne,
- republikas pilsēta Valmiera,
- Rēzeknes novads,
- Riebiņu novads,
- Rojas novads,
- Ropažu novads,
- Rugāju novads,
- Rundāles novads,
- Rūjienas novads,
- Salacgrīvas novads,
- Salas novads,
- Salaspils novads,

- Saldus novads,
- Saulkrastu novads,
- Sējas novads,
- Siguldas novads,
- Skrīveru novads,
- Skrundas novads,
- Smiltenes novads,
- Stopiņu novada daļa, kas atrodas uz austrumiem no autoceļa V36, P4 un P5, Acones ielas, Daugulupes ielas un Daugulupītes,
- Strenču novads,
- Talsu novads,
- Tērvetes novads,
- Tukuma novads,
- Vaiņodes novads,
- Valkas novads,
- Varakļānu novads,
- Vārkavas novads,
- Vecpiebalgas novads,
- Vecumnieku novads,
- Ventspils novada Ances, Tārgales, Popes, Vārves, Užavas, Piltenes, Puzes, Ziru, Ugāles, Usmas un Zlēku pagasts, Piltenes pilsēta,
- Viesītes novads,
- Viļakas novads,
- Viļānu novads,
- Zilupes novads.

6. Lithuania

The following areas in Lithuania:

- Alytaus miesto savivaldybė,
- Alytaus rajono savivaldybė: Alytaus, Alovės, Butrimonių, Daugų, Nemunaičio, Pivašiūnų, Punios, Raitininkų seniūnijos,
- Anykščių rajono savivaldybė,
- Akmenės rajono savivaldybė,
- Biržų miesto savivaldybė,
- Biržų rajono savivaldybė,
- Druskininkų savivaldybė,
- Elektrėnų savivaldybė,
- Ignalinos rajono savivaldybė,
- Jonavos rajono savivaldybė,
- Joniškio rajono savivaldybė,
- Jurbarko rajono savivaldybė,

- Kaišiadorių rajono savivaldybė,
- Kalvarijos savivaldybė,
- Kauno miesto savivaldybė,
- Kauno rajono savivaldybė: Domeikavos, Garliavos, Garliavos apylinkių, Karmėlavos, Lapių, Linksmakalnio, Neveronių, Rokų, Samylų, Taurakiemio, Vandžiogalos ir Vilkijos seniūnijos, Babtų seniūnijos dalis į rytus nuo kelio A1, Užliedžių seniūnijos dalis į rytus nuo kelio A1 ir Vilkijos apylinkių seniūnijos dalis į vakarus nuo kelio Nr. 1907,
- Kazlų rūdų savivaldybė: Kazlų rūdų seniūnija į šiaurę nuo kelio Nr. 230, į rytus nuo kelio Kokė-Užbaliai-Čečetai iki kelio Nr. 2610 ir į pietus nuo kelio Nr. 2610,
- Kelmės rajono savivaldybė,
- Kėdainių rajono savivaldybė,
- Kupiškio rajono savivaldybė,
- Lazdijų rajono savivaldybė,
- Marijampolės savivaldybė: Degučių, Marijampolės, Mokolų, Liudvinavo ir Narto seniūnijos,
- Mažeikių rajono savivaldybė,
- Molėtų rajono savivaldybė: Alantos seniūnijos dalis į vakarus nuo kelio 119 ir į šiaurę nuo kelio Nr. 2828, Balninkų, Dubingių, Giedraičių, Jonišio ir Videniškių seniūnijos,
- Pagėgių savivaldybė,
- Pakruojo rajono savivaldybė,
- Panevėžio rajono savivaldybė,
- Panevėžio miesto savivaldybė,
- Pasvalio rajono savivaldybė,
- Radviliškio rajono savivaldybė,
- Rietavo savivaldybė,
- Prienų rajono savivaldybė: Stakliškių ir Veiverių seniūnijos,
- Plungės rajono savivaldybė: Babrungo, Alsėdžių, Žlibinų, Stalgėnų, Paukštakių, Platelių ir Žemaičių Kalvarijos seniūnijos,
- Raseinių rajono savivaldybė,
- Rokiškio rajono savivaldybė,
- Skuodo rajono savivaldybės: Aleksandrijos, Barstyčių, Ylakių, Notėnų ir Šačių seniūnijos,
- Šakių rajono savivaldybė,
- Šalčininkų rajono savivaldybė,
- Šiaulių miesto savivaldybė,
- Šiaulių rajono savivaldybė,
- Šilutės rajono savivaldybė,
- Širvintų rajono savivaldybė,
- Šilalės rajono savivaldybė,
- Švenčionių rajono savivaldybė,
- Tauragės rajono savivaldybė,
- Telšių rajono savivaldybė,
- Trakų rajono savivaldybė,
- Ukmergės rajono savivaldybė,
- Utenos rajono savivaldybė,

- Varėnos rajono savivaldybė,
- Vilniaus miesto savivaldybė,
- Vilniaus rajono savivaldybė,
- Vilkaviškio rajono savivaldybė: Bartninkų, Gražiškių, Keturvalakių, Kybartų, Klausučių, Pajevonio, Šeimenos, Vilkaviškio miesto, Virbalio, Vištyčio seniūnijos,
- Visagino savivaldybė,
- Zarasų rajono savivaldybė.

7. Poland

The following areas in Poland:

w województwie warmińsko-mazurskim:

- gminy Kalinowo, Prostki, Stare Juchy i gmina wiejska Elk w powiecie elckim,
- gminy Elbląg, Gronowo Elbląskie, Milejewo, Młynary, Markusy, Rychliki i Tolkmicko w powiecie elbląskim,
- powiat miejski Elbląg,
- powiat gołdapski,
- gmina Wieliczki w powiecie oleckim,
- powiat piski,
- gmina Górowo Iławeckie z miastem Górowo Iławeckie w powiecie bartoszyckim,
- gminy Biskupiec, Gietrzwałd, Kolno, Jonkowo, Purda, Stawiguda, Świątki, Olsztynek i miasto Olsztyn oraz część gminy Barczewo położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową w powiecie olsztyńskim,
- gminy Łukta, Małdyty, Miłomłyn, Miłakowo, i część gminy Morąg położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową biegnącą od Olsztyna do Elbląga w powiecie ostródzkim,
- część gminy Ryn położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową łączącą miejscowości Giżycko i Kętrzyn w powiecie giżyckim,
- gminy Braniewo i miasto Braniewo, Frombork, Lelkowo, Pieniężno, Płoskinia oraz część gminy Wilczęta położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 509 w powiecie braniewskim,
- gmina Reszel, część gminy Kętrzyn położona na południe od linii kolejowej łączącej miejscowości Giżycko i Kętrzyn biegnącej do granicy miasta Kętrzyn, na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 591 biegnącą od miasta Kętrzyn do północnej granicy gminy oraz na zachód i na południe od zachodniej i południowej granicy miasta Kętrzyn, miasto Kętrzyn i część gminy Korsze położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę biegnącą od wschodniej granicy łączącą miejscowości Krelikiejmy i Sątoczno i na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę łączącą miejscowości Sątoczno, Sajna Wielka biegnącą do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 590 w miejscowości Glitajny, a następnie na wschód od drogi nr 590 do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 592 i na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 592 biegnącą od zachodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 590 w powiecie kętrzyńskim,
- gminy Lubomino i Orneta w powiecie lidzbarskim,
- gmina Nidzica w powiecie nidzickim,
- gminy Dźwierzuty, Jedwabno, Pasym, Szczytno i miasto Szczytno i Świętajno w powiecie szczycieńskim,
- powiat mrągowski,
- gmina Zalewo w powiecie iławskim,

w województwie podlaskim:

- gminy Rudka, Brańsk z miastem Brańsk, i część gminy Boćki położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 19 w powiecie bielskim,
- powiat grajewski,
- powiat moniecki,

- powiat sejneński,
 - gminy Łomża, Piątnica, Jedwabne, Przytuły i Wiznaw powiecie łomżyńskim,
 - powiat miejski Łomża,
 - gminy Dziadkowice, Grodzisk, Mielnik, Nurzec-Stacja i Siemiatycze z miastem Siemiatycze w powiecie siemiatyckim,
 - gminy Białowieża, Czyże, Narew, Narewka, Hajnówka z miastem Hajnówka i część gminy Dubicze Cerkiewne położona na północny wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 1654B w powiecie hajnowskim,
 - gminy Klukowo, Szepietowo, Kobylin-Borzymy, Nowe Piekuty i Sokoły w powiecie wysokomazowieckim,
 - powiat kolneński z miastem Kolno,
 - gminy Czarna Białostocka, Dobrzyniewo Duże, Gródek, Michałowo, Supraśl, Tykocin, Wasilków, Zabłudów, Zawady, Choroszcz i część gminy Poświętne położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 681 w powiecie białostockim,
 - powiat suwalski,
 - powiat miejski Suwałki,
 - powiat augustowski,
 - powiat sokółski,
 - powiat miejski Białystok,
- w województwie mazowieckim:
- powiat siedlecki,
 - powiat miejski Siedlce,
 - gminy Bielany, Ceranów, Kosów Lacki, Repki i gmina wiejska Sokołów Podlaski w powiecie sokołowskim,
 - powiat węgrowski,
 - powiat łosicki,
 - powiat ciechanowski,
 - powiat sochaczewski,
 - powiat zwoleński,
 - gminy Garbatka – Letnisko, Gniewoszów i Sieciechów w powiecie kozienickim,
 - powiat lipski,
 - gminy Gózd, Jastrzębia, Jedlnia Letnisko, Pionki z miastem Pionki, Skaryszew, Jedlińsk, Przytyk, Zakrzew, część gminy Wolanów położona na północ od drogi nr 12 i część gminy Iłża położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 9 w powiecie radomskim,
 - gminy Bodzanów, Bulkowo, Staroźreby, Słubice, Wyszogród i Mała Wieś w powiecie płońskim,
 - powiat nowodworski,
 - powiat płoński,
 - gminy Pokrzywnica, Świercze i część gminy Winnica położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę łączącą miejscowości Bielany, Winnica i Pokrzywnica w powiecie pułtuskim,
 - powiat wołomiński,
 - część gminy Somianka położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 62 w powiecie wyszkowskim,
 - gminy Borowie, Garwolin z miastem Garwolin, Górzno, Miastków Kościelny, Parysów, Pilawa, Trojanów, Żelechów, część gminy Wilga położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez rzekę Wilga biegnącą od wschodniej granicy gminy do ujścia do rzeki Wisły w powiecie garwolińskim,
 - gmina Boguty – Pianki w powiecie ostrowskim,
 - gminy Stupsk, Wiśniewo i Strzegowo w powiecie mławskim,

- gminy Dębe Wielkie, Halinów i miasto Sulejówek w powiecie mińskim,
 - powiat otwocki,
 - powiat warszawski zachodni,
 - powiat legionowski,
 - powiat piaseczyński,
 - powiat pruszkowski,
 - powiat grójecki,
 - powiat grodziski,
 - powiat żyrardowski,
 - gminy Białobrzegi, Promna, Radzanów, Stara Błotnica, Wyśmierzyce w powiecie białobrzeskim,
 - powiat przysuski,
 - powiat miejski Warszawa,
- w województwie lubelskim:
- powiat bialski,
 - powiat miejski Biała Podlaska,
 - gminy Aleksandrów, Biłgoraj z miastem Biłgoraj, Biszczka, Józefów, Księżpol, Łukowa, Obsza, Potok Górny, Tarnogród i Terespol, część gminy Frampol położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 74, część gminy Goraj położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 835, część gminy Turobin położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 835 w powiecie biłgorajskim,
 - powiat janowski,
 - powiat puławski,
 - powiat rycki,
 - gminy Adamów, Krzywdą, Stoczek Łukowski z miastem Stoczek Łukowski, Wola Mysłowska, Trzebieszów, Stanin, gmina wiejska Łuków i miasto Łuków w powiecie łukowskim,
 - gminy Bychawa, Głusk, Jabłonna, Krzczonów, Garbów Strzyżewice, Wysokie, Bełżyce, Borzechów, Niedrzwica Duża, Konopnica, Wojciechów i Zakrzew w powiecie lubelskim,
 - gminy Abramów, Kamionka, Michów, Uścimów w powiecie lubartowskim,
 - gminy Mełgiew, Rybczewice, Piaski i miasto Świdnik w powiecie świdnickim,
 - gmina Fajslawice, część gminy Żółkiewka położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 842 i część gminy Łopiennik Górny położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 17 w powiecie krasnostawskim,
 - powiat hrubieszowski,
 - gminy Krynice, Rachanie, Tarnawatka, Łaszczów, Telatyn, Tyszowce i Ulhówek w powiecie tomaszowskim,
 - gminy Białopole, Chełm, Dorohusk, Dubienka, Kamień, Leśniowice, Ruda – Huta, Sawin, Wojsławice, Żmudź w powiecie chełmskim,
 - powiat miejski Chełm,
 - gmina Adamów, Miączyn, Sitno, Komarów-Osada, Krasnobród, Łabunie, Zamość, Grabowiec, Zwierzyniec i część gminy Skierbieszów położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 843 w powiecie zamojskim,
 - powiat miejski Zamość,
 - powiat kraśnicki,
 - powiat opolski,
 - gminy Dębowa Kłoda, Jabłoń, Podedwórze, Sosnowica w powiecie parczewskim,
 - gminy Hanna, Stary Brus, Wola Uhruska, Wiryki, gmina wiejska Włodawa oraz część gminy Hańsk położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej od drogi nr 819 w powiecie włodawskim,

- gmina Kąkolewnica, Komarówka Podlaska i Ulan Majorat w powiecie radzyńskim,
- w województwie podkarpackim:
- powiat stalowowolski,
 - gminy Horyniec-Zdrój, Cieszanów, Oleszyce, Stary Dzików i Lubaczów z miastem Lubaczów w powiecie lubaczowskim,
 - gminy Adamówka i Sieniawa w powiecie przeworskim,
 - część gminy Wiązownica położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 867 w powiecie jarosławskim,
 - gmina Kamień, część gminy Sokołów Małopolski położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 875 w powiecie rzeszowskim,
 - gminy Cmolasy i Majdan Królewski w powiecie kolbuszowskim,
 - powiat leżajski,
 - powiat niżański,
 - powiat tarnobrzeski,
- w województwie pomorskim:
- gminy Dzierżgoń i Stary Dzierżgoń w powiecie sztumskim,
 - gmina Stare Pole w powiecie malborskim,
 - część gminy Nowy Dwór Gdański położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 55 biegnącą od południowej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 7, następnie przez drogę nr 7 i S7 oraz przez drogę nr 502 biegnącą od skrzyżowania z drogą nr S7 do północnej granicy gminy w powiecie nowodworskim,
- w województwie świętokrzyskim:
- gmina Tarłów i część gminy Ożarów położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 74 w powiecie opatowskim,
- w województwie lubuskim:
- powiat wschowski,
 - gminy Bobrowice, Bytnica, Dąbie i Krosno Odrzańskie w powiecie krośnieńskim,
 - gminy Bytom Odrzański, Kolsko, Nowe Miasteczko, Siedlisko oraz część gminy Kozuchów położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 283 biegnącą od wschodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 290 i na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 290 biegnącej od miasta Mirosin Dolny do zachodniej granicy gminy w powiecie nowosolskim,
 - gminy Babimost, Czerwieńsk, Kargowa, Nowogród Bobrzański, Sulechów, Świdnica, Trzebiechów oraz część gminy Bojadła położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 278 biegnącą od wschodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 282 i na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 282 biegnącej od miasta Bojadła do zachodniej granicy gminy w powiecie zielonogórskim,
 - powiat żarski,
 - powiat żagański,
 - gmina Skąpe, część gminy Zbąszynek położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową, część gminy Szczaniec położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową, część gminy Świebodzin położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową w powiecie świebodzińskim,
- w województwie dolnośląskim:
- powiat głogowski,
 - gmina Gaworzyce, Grębocice i część gminy Radwanice położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr S3 w powiecie polkowickim,
- w województwie wielkopolskim:
- powiat wolsztyński,
 - gminy Rakoniewice, Wielichowo i część gminy Kamieniec położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 308 w powiecie grodziskim,

- gminy Wijewo, Włoszakowice i część gminy Świąciechowa położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 12 w powiecie leszczyńskim,
 - część gminy Śmigiel położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr S5 w powiecie kościańskim,
- w województwie łódzkim:
- gminy Drzewica, Opoczno i Poświętne w powiecie opoczyńskim,
 - gmina Sadkowiec w powiecie rawskim.

8. Slovakia

The following areas in Slovakia:

- in the district of Košice – okolie, the whole municipalities of Belza, Bidovce, Blažice, Bohdanovce, Byster, Čaña, Ďurďošik, Ďurkov, Geča, Gyňov, Haniska, Kalša, Kechnec, Kokšov- Bakša, Košická Polianka, Košický Klečenov, Milhost', Nižná Hutka, Nižná Myšľa, Nižný Čaj, Nižný Olčvár, Nový Salaš, Olšovany, Rákoš, Ruskov, Seňa, Skároš, Sokol'any, Slančík, Slanec, Slanská Huta, Slanské Nové Mesto, Svinica, Trstené pri Hornáde, Valaliky, Vyšná Hutka, Vyšná Myšľa, Vyšný Čaj, Vyšný Olčvár, Zdobá and Ždaňa,
- the whole district of Trebišov,
- in the district of Michalovce, the whole municipalities of the district not already included in Part I.

9. Romania

The following areas in Romania:

- Județul Bistrița-Năsăud,
- Județul Suceava.

PART III

1. Bulgaria

The following areas in Bulgaria:

- the whole region of Blagoevgrad,
- the whole region of Dobrich,
- the whole region of Gabrovo,
- the whole region of Kardzhali,
- the whole region of Lovech,
- the whole region of Montana,
- the whole region of Pleven,
- the whole region of Razgrad,
- the whole region of Ruse,
- the whole region of Shumen,
- the whole region of Silistra,
- the whole region of Sliven,
- the whole region of Sofia city,
- the whole region of Sofia Province,
- the whole region of Targovishte,
- the whole region of Vidin,
- the whole region of Varna,
- the whole region of Veliko Tarnovo,
- the whole region of Vratza,

- in Burgas region:
 - the whole municipality of Burgas,
 - the whole municipality of Kameno,
 - the whole municipality of Malko Tarnovo,
 - the whole municipality of Primorsko,
 - the whole municipality of Sozopol,
 - the whole municipality of Sredets,
 - the whole municipality of Tsarevo,
 - the whole municipality of Sungurlare,
 - the whole municipality of Ruen,
 - the whole municipality of Aytos.

2. Lithuania

The following areas in Lithuania:

- Alytaus rajono savivaldybė: Simno, Krokialaukio ir Miroslavo seniūnijos,
- Birštono savivaldybė,
- Kauno rajono savivaldybė: Akademijos, Alšėnų, Batniavos, Čekiškės, Ežerėlio, Kačerginės, Kulautuvos, Raudondvario, Ringaudų ir Zapyškio seniūnijos, Babtų seniūnijos dalis į vakarus nuo kelio A1, Užliedžių seniūnijos dalis į vakarus nuo kelio A1 ir Vilkijos apylinkių seniūnijos dalis į rytus nuo kelio Nr. 1907,
- Kazlų Rūdos savivaldybė: Antanavo, Jankų, Kazlų rūdos seniūnijos dalis Kazlų Rūdos seniūnija į pietus nuo kelio Nr. 230, į vakarus nuo kelio Kokė-Užbaliai-Čečetai iki kelio Nr. 2610 ir į šiaurę nuo kelio Nr. 2610, Plutiškių seniūnijos,
- Marijampolės savivaldybė: Gudelių, Igliaukos, Sasnavos ir Šunskų seniūnijos,
- Molėtų rajono savivaldybė: Alantos seniūnijos dalis į rytus nuo kelio Nr. 119 ir į pietus nuo kelio Nr. 2828, Čiulėnų, Inturkės, Luokesos, Mindūnų ir Suginčių seniūnijos,
- Prienų rajono savivaldybė: Ašmintos, Balbieriškio, Išlaužo, Jiezno, Naujosios Ūtos, Pakuonio, Prienų ir Šilavotos seniūnijos,
- Vilkaviškio rajono savivaldybės: Gižų ir Pilviškių seniūnijos.

3. Poland

The following areas in Poland:

w województwie warmińsko-mazurskim:

- gminy Bisztynek, Sępólno i Bartoszyce z miastem Bartoszyce w powiecie bartoszyckim,
- gminy Kiwity i Lidzbark Warmiński z miastem Lidzbark Warmiński w powiecie lidzbarskim,
- gminy Srokowo, Barciany, część gminy Kętrzyn położona na północ od linii kolejowej łączącej miejscowości Giżycko i Kętrzyn biegnącej do granicy miasta Kętrzyn oraz na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 591 biegnącą od miasta Kętrzyn do północnej granicy gminy i część gminy Korsze położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę biegnącą od wschodniej granicy łączącą miejscowości Krelikiejmy i Sątoczno i na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę łączącą miejscowości Sątoczno, Sajna Wielka biegnącą do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 590 w miejscowości Glitajny, a następnie na zachód od drogi nr 590 do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 592 i na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 592 biegnącą od zachodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 590 w powiecie kętrzyńskim,
- część gminy Wilczęta położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 509 w powiecie braniewskim,
- część gminy Morąg położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową biegnącą od Olsztyna do Elbląga w powiecie ostródzkim,
- gminy Godkowo i Pasłęk w powiecie elbląskim,
- gminy Kowale Oleckie, Olecko i Świętajno w powiecie oleckim,

- powiat węgorzewski,
- gminy Krukanki, Wydmyny, Miłki, Giżycko z miastem Giżycko i część gminy Ryn położona na północ od linii kolejowej łączącej miejscowości Giżycko i Kętrzyn w powiecie giżyckim,
- gminy Jeziorany, Dywity, Dobre Miasto i część gminy Barczewo położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez linię kolejową w powiecie olsztyńskim,

w województwie podlaskim:

- gminy Orla, Wyszki, Bielsk Podlaski z miastem Bielsk Podlaski i część gminy Boćki położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 19 w powiecie bielskim,
- gminy Łapy, Juchnowiec Kościelny, Suraż, Turośń Kościelna, część gminy Poświętne położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 681 w powiecie białostockim,
- gminy Kleszczele, Czeremcha i część gminy Dubicze Cerkiewne położona na południowy zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 1654B w powiecie hajnowskim,
- gminy Perlejewo, Drohiczyn i Milejczyce w powiecie siemiatyckim,
- gmina Ciechanowiec w powiecie wysokomazowieckim,

w województwie mazowieckim:

- gminy Łaskarzew z miastem Łaskarzew, Maciejowice, Sobolew i część gminy Wilga położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez rzekę Wilga biegnącą od wschodniej granicy gminy do ujścia dorzeczki Wisły w powiecie garwolińskim,
- gminy Ceglów, Dobrze, Jakubów, Kałuszyn, Latowicz, Mińsk Mazowiecki z miastem Mińsk Mazowiecki, Mrozy, Siennica i Stanisławów w powiecie mińskim,
- gminy Jabłonna Lacka, Sabnie i Sterdyń w powiecie sokołowskim,
- gmina Nur w powiecie ostrowskim,
- gminy Grabów nad Pilicą, Magnuszew, Głowaczów, Kozienice w powiecie kozienickim,
- gmina Stromiec w powiecie białobrzeskim,

w województwie lubelskim:

- gminy Bełżec, Jarczów, Lubycza Królewska, Susiec, Tomaszów Lubelski i miasto Tomaszów Lubelski w powiecie tomaszowskim,
- gminy Wierzbica, Rejowiec, Rejowiec Fabryczny z miastem Rejowiec Fabryczny, Siedliszcze w powiecie chełmskim,
- gminy Izbica, Gorzków, Rudnik, Kraśniczyn, Krasnystaw z miastem Krasnystaw, Siennica Różana i część gminy Łopiennik Górny położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 17, część gminy Żółkiewka położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 842 w powiecie krasnostawskim,
- gmina Stary Zamość, Radecznica, Szczepieszyn, Sułów, Nielisz i część gminy Skierbieszów położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 843 powiecie zamojskim,
- część gminy Frampol położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 74, część gminy Goraj położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 835, część gminy Turobin położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 835 w powiecie biłgorajskim,
- gmina Urszulín i część gminy Hańsk położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 819 w powiecie włodawskim,
- powiat łęczyński,
- gmina Trawniki w powiecie świdnickim,
- gminy Serokomla i Wojcieszków w powiecie łukowskim,
- gminy Milanów, Parczew, Siemień w powiecie parczewskim,
- gminy Borki, Czemierniki, Radzyń Podlaski z miastem Radzyń Podlaski, Wołyń w powiecie radzyńskim,
- gminy Lubartów z miastem Lubartów, Firlej, Jeziorany, Kock, Niedźwiada, Ostrów Lubelski, Ostrówek, Serniki w powiecie lubartowskim,
- gminy Jastków, Niemce i Wólka w powiecie lubelskim,
- powiat miejski Lublin,

w województwie podkarpackim:

- gmina Narol w powiecie lubaczowskim,

w województwie lubuskim:

- gminy Nowa Sól i miasto Nowa Sól, Otyń oraz część gminy Koźuchów położona na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 283 biegnącą od wschodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 290 i na północ od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 290 biegnącej od miasta Miocin Dolny do zachodniej granicy gminy w powiecie nowosolskim,
- gminy Zabór oraz część gminy Bojadła położona na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 278 biegnącą od wschodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 282 i na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 282 biegnącej od miasta Bojadła do zachodniej granicy gminy w powiecie zielonogórskim,
- powiat miejski Zielona Góra.

w województwie wielkopolskim:

- gminy Buk, Dopiewo, Tarnowo Podgórne, część gminy Komorniki położona na zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 5, część gminy Sęszew położona na północny – zachód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogi nr 5 i 32 w powiecie poznańskim,
- część gminy Duszniki położona na wschód od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 306 biegnącą od południowej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 92 oraz na południe od linii wyznaczonej przez drogę nr 92 biegnącą od wschodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą nr 306, część gminy Kaźmierz położona na południe i na wschód od linii wyznaczonych przez drogi: nr 92 biegnącą od zachodniej granicy gminy do skrzyżowania z drogą łączącą miejscowości Witkowice – Gorszewice – Kaźmierz (wzdłuż ulic Czereśniowa, Dworcowa, Marii Konopnickiej) – Chlewiska, biegnącą do wschodniej granicy gminy w powiecie szamotulskim.

4. Romania

The following areas in Romania:

- Zona oraşului Bucureşti,
- Judeţul Constanţa,
- Judeţul Satu Mare,
- Judeţul Tulcea,
- Judeţul Bacău,
- Judeţul Bihor,
- Judeţul Brăila,
- Judeţul Buzău,
- Judeţul Călăraşi,
- Judeţul Dâmboviţa,
- Judeţul Galaţi,
- Judeţul Giurgiu,
- Judeţul Ialomiţa,
- Judeţul Ilfov,
- Judeţul Prahova,
- Judeţul Sălaj,
- Judeţul Vaslui,
- Judeţul Vrancea,
- Judeţul Teleorman,
- Judeţul Mehedinţi,
- Judeţul Gorj,
- Judeţul Argeş,

- Județul Olt,
- Județul Dolj,
- Județul Arad,
- Județul Timiș,
- Județul Covasna,
- Județul Brașov,
- Județul Botoșani,
- Județul Vâlcea,
- Județul Iași,
- Județul Hunedoara,
- Județul Alba,
- Județul Sibiu,
- Județul Caraș-Severin,
- Județul Neamț,
- Județul Harghita,
- Județul Mureș,
- Județul Cluj,
- Județului Maramureș.

PART IV

Italy

The following areas in Italy:

- tutto il territorio della Sardegna.'
-

ISSN 1977-0677 (electronic edition)
ISSN 1725-2555 (paper edition)



Publications Office of the European Union
2985 Luxembourg
LUXEMBOURG

EN