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(1) Text with EEA relevance
COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 104/2014
of 23 January 2014
establishing a prohibition of fishing for yellowtail flounder in NAFO area 3LNO by vessels flying the flag of a Member State of the European Union

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Council Regulation (EU) No 40/2013 of 21 January 2013 fixing for 2013 the fishing opportunities available in EU waters and, to EU vessels, in certain non-EU waters for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks which are subject to international negotiations or agreements (2), lays down quotas for 2013.

(2) According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2013.

(3) It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Quota exhaustion

The fishing quota allocated to the Member States referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2013 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

Article 2

Prohibitions

Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member States referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

Article 3

Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 23 January 2014.

For the Commission,
On behalf of the President,
Lowri EVANS
Director-General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries

## ANNEX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>87/TQ40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member State</td>
<td>European Union (All Member States)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock</td>
<td>YEL/3LNO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Yellowtail flounder (<em>Limanda ferruginea</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>NAFO 3LNO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing date</td>
<td>19.12.2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 105/2014
of 23 January 2014
establishing a prohibition of fishing for skates and rays in EU waters of IIa and IV by vessels flying the flag of Belgium

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Council Regulation (EU) No 39/2013 of 21 January 2013 fixing for 2013 the fishing opportunities available to EU vessels for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks which are not subject to international negotiations or agreements (2), lays down quotas for 2013.

(2) According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2013.

(3) It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1
Quota exhaustion
The fishing quota allocated to the Member State referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2013 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

Article 2
Prohibitions
Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

Article 3
Entry into force
This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 23 January 2014.

For the Commission,
On behalf of the President,
Lowri EVANS
Director-General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>86/TQ39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member State</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock</td>
<td>SRX/2AC4-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Skates and rays (Rajiformes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>EU waters of IIa and IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>17.12.2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 106/2014
of 3 February 2014
establishing a prohibition of fishing for skates and rays in EU waters of VIIId by vessels flying the flag of The Netherlands

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Council Regulation (EU) No 39/2013 of 21 January 2013 fixing for 2013 the fishing opportunities available to EU vessels for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks which are not subject to international negotiations or agreements (2), lays down quotas for 2013.

(2) According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2013.

It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Quota exhaustion

The fishing quota allocated to the Member State referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2013 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

Article 2

Prohibitions

Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

Article 3

Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 3 February 2014.

For the Commission,
On behalf of the President,
Lowri EVANS
Director-General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries

### ANNEX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>85/TQ39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member State</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock</td>
<td>SRX/07D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Skates and rays (Rajiformes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>EU waters of VIIId</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>10.12.2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 107/2014
of 5 February 2014

on the withdrawal from the market of the feed additives cobaltous chloride hexahydrate, cobaltous nitrate hexahydrate and cobaltous sulphate monohydrate and amending Regulation (EC) No 1334/2003

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 on additives for use in animal nutrition (1), and in particular Article 10(5) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 provides for the authorisation of additives for use in animal nutrition and for the grounds and procedures for granting such authorisation. Article 10 of that Regulation provides for the re-evaluation of additives authorised pursuant to Council Directive 70/524/EEC (2). Those feed additives were subsequently entered in the Community Register of Feed Additives as existing products, in accordance with Article 10(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003.

(2) The feed additives cobaltous chloride hexahydrate, cobaltous nitrate hexahydrate and cobaltous sulphate monohydrate were authorised without a time limit by Directive 70/524/EEC as compounds of the trace element Cobalt and the conditions for their authorisation were last set out in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1334/2003 (3). Those feed additives were subsequently entered in the Community Register of Feed Additives as existing products, in accordance with Article 10(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003.

(3) No applications for authorisation in accordance with Article 10(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 were submitted before the deadline provided for in that provision for the use of those feed additives belonging to the group of trace elements.

(4) Those feed additives should therefore be withdrawn from the market.

(5) As a consequence of the withdrawal of those feed additives, it is necessary to delete them from the 'E3 Cobalt-Co' entry of the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 1334/2003. Regulation (EC) No 1334/2003 should therefore be amended accordingly.

(6) It is appropriate to allow a transitional period for interested parties within which existing stocks of the additives to be withdrawn from the market, premixtures, compound feed and feed materials which have been produced with those additives may be used up.

(7) The withdrawal from the market of the three products is without prejudice to the granting of an authorisation concerning them or to the adoption of a measure concerning their status in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003.

(8) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1
Withdrawal

The feed additives cobaltous chloride hexahydrate, cobaltous nitrate hexahydrate and cobaltous sulphate monohydrate, belonging to the group 'trace elements', shall be withdrawn from the market.

Article 2
Amendment to Regulation (EC) No 1334/2003

In the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 1334/2003, the entries 'cobaltous chloride hexahydrate', 'cobaltous nitrate hexahydrate' and 'cobaltous sulphate monohydrate', related to the element E3 Cobalt-Co, are deleted.

Article 3
Transitional measures

1. Existing stocks of the products referred to in Article 1 may continue to be placed on the market and used as feed additives until 26 August 2014.

2. Premixtures produced with the additives referred to in paragraph 1 may continue to be placed on the market and used until 26 February 2015.

3. Compound feed and feed materials which have been labelled in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 767/2009 until 26 August 2015 and produced with the additives referred to in paragraph 1 or with the premixtures referred to in paragraph 2 may continue to be placed on the market and used until stocks are exhausted.

Article 4

Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 5 February 2014.

For the Commission
The President
José Manuel BARROSO
COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 108/2014
of 5 February 2014
concerning the non-approval of the active substance potassium thiocyanate, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,


Whereas:

(1) In accordance with Article 80(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, Council Directive 91/414/EEC (2) is to apply, with respect to the procedure and the conditions for approval, to active substances for which a decision has been adopted in accordance with Article 6(3) of that Directive before 14 June 2011. For potassium thiocyanate the conditions of Article 80(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 are fulfilled by Commission Decision 2005/751/EC (3).

(2) In accordance with Article 6(2) of Directive 91/414/EEC the Netherlands received on 6 September 2004 an application from Koppert Beheer B.V. for the inclusion of the active substance potassium thiocyanate in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. Decision 2005/751/EC confirmed that the dossier was 'complete' in the sense that it could be considered as satisfying, in principle, the data and information requirements of Annexes II and III to Directive 91/414/EEC.

(3) For that active substance, the effects on human and animal health and the environment have been assessed, in accordance with the provisions of Article 6(2) and (4) of Directive 91/414/EEC, for the uses proposed by the applicant. The designated rapporteur Member State submitted a draft assessment report on 27 July 2007. In accordance with Article 11(6) of Commission Regulation (EU) No 188/2011 (4) additional information was requested from the applicant. The applicant informed on 30 May 2011 that no additional information was available.

(4) The draft assessment report was reviewed by the Member States and the European Food Safety Authority (hereinafter ‘the Authority’). The Authority presented to the Commission its conclusion on the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance potassium thiocyanate (5) on 22 October 2012. The Authority identified several data gaps that would have required further contributions from the applicant. By letter of 27 September 2013 Koppert B.V. withdrew its application for the approval of potassium thiocyanate.

(5) In accordance with Article 8(1)(b) of Directive 91/414/EEC, Member States were given the possibility to grant provisional authorisations for plant protection products containing potassium thiocyanate for an initial period of three years. Commission Decision 2010/457/EU (6) allowed Member States to extend provisional authorisations for potassium thiocyanate for a period ending on 31 August 2012 at the latest. Commission Implementing Decision 2012/363/EU (7) allowed Member States to extend provisional authorisations for potassium thiocyanate for a period ending on 31 July 2014 at the latest.

(6) Due to the withdrawal of the application, potassium thiocyanate should therefore not be approved pursuant to Article 13(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Existing provisional authorisations should, consequently, be withdrawn and no new authorisations be granted.

Member States should be provided with time to withdraw authorisations for plant protection products containing potassium thiocyanate.

For plant protection products containing potassium thiocyanate, where Member States grant any grace period in accordance with Article 46 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, this period should expire at the latest 18 months after the entry into force of this Regulation.

This Regulation does not prejudice the submission of a further application for potassium thiocyanate pursuant to Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health.

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Non-approval of active substance

The active substance potassium thiocyanate is not approved.

Article 2

Transitional measures

Member States shall withdraw existing authorisations for plant protection products containing potassium thiocyanate as active substance by 26 August 2014 at the latest.

Article 3

Grace period

Any grace period granted by Member States in accordance with Article 46 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 shall be as short as possible and shall expire by 26 August 2015 at the latest.

Article 4

Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 5 February 2014.

For the Commission
The President
José Manuel BARROSO
COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 109/2014
of 5 February 2014
establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 of 22 October 2007 establishing a common organisation of agricultural markets and on specific provisions for certain agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation) (1),

Having regard to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 of 7 June 2011 laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 in respect of the fruit and vegetables and processed fruit and vegetables sectors (2), and in particular Article 136(1) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 lays down, pursuant to the outcome of the Uruguay Round multilateral trade negotiations, the criteria whereby the Commission fixes the standard values for imports from third countries, in respect of the products and periods stipulated in Annex XVI, Part A thereto.

(2) The standard import value is calculated each working day, in accordance with Article 136(1) of Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011, taking into account variable daily data. Therefore this Regulation should enter into force on the day of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1
The standard import values referred to in Article 136 of Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 are fixed in the Annex to this Regulation.

Article 2
This Regulation shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 5 February 2014.

For the Commission,
On behalf of the President,
Jerzy PLEWA
Director-General for Agriculture and Rural Development

ANNEX

Standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN code</th>
<th>Third country code (1)</th>
<th>Standard import value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0702 00 00</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>85,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>50,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>151,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>80,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>100,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>93,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0707 00 05</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>124,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>124,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0709 91 00</td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>91,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>91,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0709 93 10</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>52,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>140,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>96,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0805 10 20</td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>49,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>52,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>48,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>71,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>55,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0805 20 10</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>120,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>69,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>94,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0805 20 30,</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>60,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0805 20 50,</td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>21,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0805 20 70,</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>136,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0805 20 90</td>
<td>JM</td>
<td>113,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KR</td>
<td>144,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>134,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>80,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>98,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0805 50 10</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>77,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>77,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0808 10 80</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>73,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MK</td>
<td>28,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>163,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>88,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0808 30 90</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>46,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>122,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>134,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZA</td>
<td>93,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>99,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COUNCIL DECISION
of 28 January 2014
on the existence of an excessive deficit in Croatia
(2014/56/EU)

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 126(6) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

Having regard to the observations made by Croatia,

Whereas:

(1) According to Article 126 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) Member States are to avoid excessive government deficits.

(2) The Stability and Growth Pact (SGP) is based on the objective of sound government finances as a means of strengthening the conditions for price stability and for strong sustainable growth conducive to employment creation.

(3) The excessive deficit procedure (EDP) under Article 126 TFEU, as clarified by Council Regulation (EC) No 1467/97 (1), which is part of the SGP, provides for a Council decision on the existence of an excessive deficit. The Protocol No 12 on the excessive deficit procedure, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and TFEU sets out further provisions relating to the implementation of the EDP. Council Regulation (EC) No 479/2009 (2) lays down detailed rules and definitions for the application of those provisions.

(4) According to Article 126(5) TFEU, if the Commission considers that an excessive deficit in a Member State exists or may occur, it is to address an opinion to the Member State concerned and to inform the Council accordingly.

(5) Having taken into account its report under Article 126(3) TFEU and having regard to the opinion of the Economic and Financial Committee in accordance with Article 126(4) TFEU, the Commission concluded that an excessive deficit existed in Croatia. The Commission therefore addressed such an opinion to Croatia and informed the Council on 10 December 2013 (3) accordingly.

(6) Article 126(6) TFEU provides that the Council is to consider any observations which the Member State concerned may wish to make before deciding, after an overall assessment, whether an excessive deficit exists. In the case of Croatia, this overall assessment leads to the following conclusions.

(7) According to the revision of the 2013 budget and the draft 2014 budget (4) adopted by the Croatian Government and sent to the Croatian Parliament on 14 November 2013, the Croatian authorities envisage a general government deficit of 5.5% of GDP for 2013, after 5% of GDP in 2012, and expect the ratio to remain unchanged in 2014 and to decrease only gradually in 2015 and 2016. The Commission services’ 2013 autumn forecast, released on 5 November 2013, projects the general government deficit to be significantly above the 3% of GDP Treaty reference value already in 2013, rising to above 6% of GDP in the 2013-2015 period in the absence of countervailing measures. As indicated in the Commission report prepared pursuant to Article 126(3) TFEU, the planned and forecast deficits are above, and not close to, the Treaty reference value. The excess over the reference value can be considered as exceptional within the meaning of the SGP. In particular, it results in part from a severe economic downturn in the sense of the SGP. Economic activity is estimated to have contracted by almost 12% since the peak in 2008. Real GDP is projected to contract further in 2013, with a slight recovery expected only in 2014. Potential output growth, as estimated by the


(3) All EDP-related documents for Croatia can be found on the following website: http://ec.europa.eu/economy_finance/economic_governance/sgp/deficit/countries/croatia_en.htm

(4) The draft budget is not prepared according to the ESA 95 methodology (ESA95). Deficit estimates for 2009-2012 based on ESA95 are between 1.5 and 3.3 percentage points higher than those reported according to the national methodology. Differences stem mainly from the fact that deficit figures according to ESA95 include certain guarantees payments, debt assumptions and the repayment of the debt to pensioners.

(5) The draft budget is not prepared according to the ESA 95 methodology (ESA95).
Commission services according to the commonly agreed method, stagnated in 2009, turned negative in 2010, and has been negative since then. The calculated output gap, which has been negative since 2009, is expected to narrow gradually over the forecast period and yet remain negative through 2015, confirming the depth and the extension of the recession. However, the planned excess over the reference value cannot be considered temporary in the sense of the SGP.

According to the projections of the Croatian authorities and the Commission services’ 2013 autumn forecast, the general government deficit will remain significantly above the reference value also in 2014 and 2015. The requirement concerning the deficit criterion in the TFEU is therefore not fulfilled.

(8) In the draft 2014 budget, the government foresees an increase in the general government debt ratio from 58.1 % in 2013 to 62 % in 2014 and further to 64.1 % in 2015 and 64.7 % in 2016. These figures are slightly higher than those in Croatia’s Economic and Fiscal Policy Guidelines of September 2013, in which the government forecast the general government debt ratio to reach 56.6 % in 2013, and 60.6 %, 63.4 % and 65.3 % in 2014, 2015 and 2016 respectively. In the Commission services’ 2013 autumn forecast, the projection for the general government debt ratio is 59.7 % for 2013. On unchanged policies, the general government debt ratio is expected to rise above 60 % in 2014, thus exceeding the 60 % of GDP Treaty reference value. According to currently available information, a USD-denominated bond issuance in November 2013 would bring the general government debt ratio above the 60 % threshold already by the end of 2013. Article 2(1a) of Regulation (EC) No 1467/97 stipulates that the required reduction in the differential with respect to the reference value will occur over the three-year period encompassing the two years following the final year for which the data is available. The forecasts of the Croatian authorities and of the Commission services show that the general government debt ratio is on an upward trend on account of continuing high deficits and weak economic activity and it is expected to remain so over the forecast horizon. Hence the debt benchmark and thus the debt criterion in the TFEU are not fulfilled.

(9) In line with the provisions of the TFEU, the Commission also analysed the ‘relevant factors’ in its report under Article 126(3) TFEU. As specified in Article 2(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1467/97, for countries with a general government debt ratio above the reference value, those factors are to be taken into account in the steps leading to the decision on the compliance with the deficit criterion if the general government deficit remains close to the reference value and if its excess over the reference value is temporary, which is not the case for Croatia. The relevant factors, in particular the deep and protracted recession, against the backdrop of unsupportive external conditions, have been taken into account in the assessment of compliance with the debt criterion. However, the relevant factors do not modify the conclusion that the debt criterion in the TFEU is not fulfilled.

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1
From an overall assessment it follows that an excessive deficit exists in Croatia.

Article 2
This Decision is addressed to the Republic of Croatia.

Done at Brussels, 28 January 2014.

For the Council
The President
G. STOURNARAS
COMMISSION DECISION
of 4 February 2014


(notified under document C(2014) 502)

(Only the Hungarian text is authentic)

(2014/57/EU)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) (1), and in particular Article 32(5), second subparagraph thereof,

Whereas:

(1) In accordance with Article 32(5) first subparagraph of Directive 2010/75/EU, Hungary submitted to the Commission its transitional national plan (TNP) by letter dated 18 December 2012, received by the Commission by e-mail on 28 December 2012 (2).

(2) During the assessment of the completeness of the TNP, the Commission found that for several plants included in the TNP essential data were missing, such as the date on which the first permit was granted, the amount of fuel used, the average annual waste gas flow rate and the reference oxygen content used in the calculations. In addition, some of the plants included in the TNP did not match with those reported by Hungary in its emission inventory submitted in 2010 under Directive 2001/80/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (3).

(3) By letter of 12 June 2013 (4), the Commission requested the Hungarian authorities to provide the missing data at individual plant level, to clarify the grounds for including one particular plant in the TNP and to resolve the discrepancies between the TNP and the emission inventory under Directive 2001/80/EC.

(4) By e-mail of 25 June 2013 (5), Hungary submitted the requested additional information and clarifications.

(5) After further assessment of the TNP and the additional information, the Commission sent a second letter to Hungary on 13 September 2013 (6) with a request to confirm the correct implementation of the aggregation rules set out in Article 29 of Directive 2010/75/EU and to clarify how the average annual waste gas flow rate was determined and how the contribution to the TNP ceilings was calculated for the plants which had undergone an extension during the reference period 2001-2010. The Commission also asked for clarification of the grounds for applying certain emission limit values for two plants.

(6) By e-mail of 23 September 2013 (7), Hungary informed the Commission that one plant had been removed from the TNP and confirmed the correct application of the aggregation rules set out in Article 29 of Directive 2010/75/EU to the remaining plants. Hungary also clarified the method used for calculating the waste gas flow rate per fuel type, provided justification for applying specific emission limit values and corrected certain erroneous data.

(7) By letter of 4 October 2013 (8), the Commission requested further clarification of the data and methodology used for calculating the average annual waste gas flow rate for five plants, and Hungary provided such information by letter of 10 October 2013 (9). After assessing the data provided and finding remaining inconsistencies for two plants, the Commission, by letter of 15 October 2013 (10), requested the Hungarian authorities to further verify the calculations for those plants.

(8) By letter of 18 October 2013 (11), Hungary provided the corrected data for the average annual waste gas flow rate for the two plants concerned, as well as an explanation thereon, in conformity with Commission Implementing Decision 2012/115/EU (12).

THE COMMISSION

The TNP has therefore been assessed by the Commission in accordance with Article 32(1), (3) and (4) of Directive 2010/75/EU and in Implementing Decision 2012/115/EU.

The Commission has examined the consistency and correctness of the data, the assumptions and calculations used for determining the contributions of each of the combustion plants covered by the TNP to the emission ceilings set out in the TNP, and has analysed whether the TNP contains objectives and related targets, measures and timetables for reaching these objectives and a monitoring mechanism to assess future compliance.

Further to the additional information submitted, the Commission found that the emission ceilings for the years 2016 and 2019 were calculated using the appropriate data and formulae and that the calculations were correct. Hungary has provided sufficient information regarding the measures that will be implemented in order to achieve the emission ceilings, the monitoring and the reporting to the Commission on the implementation of the TNP.

The Commission is satisfied that the Hungarian authorities have taken into consideration the provisions listed in Article 32(1), (3) and (4) of Directive 2010/75/EU and in Implementing Decision 2012/115/EU.

The implementation of the TNP should be without prejudice to other applicable national and Union law. In particular, by setting individual permit conditions for the combustion plants covered by the TNP, Hungary should ensure that compliance with the requirements set out in, inter alia, Directive 2010/75/EU, Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (1) and Directive 2001/81/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (2) is not jeopardised.

Article 32(6) of Directive 2010/75/EU requires Hungary to inform the Commission of any subsequent changes to the TNP. The Commission should assess whether those changes comply with the provisions laid down in Article 32(1), (3) and (4) of Directive 2010/75/EU and in Implementing Decision 2012/115/EU.

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

1. On the basis of Article 32(1), (3) and (4) of Directive 2010/75/EU and of Implementing Decision 2012/115/EU, no objections are raised against the transitional national plan, which Hungary notified to the Commission on 28 December 2012 pursuant to Article 32(5) of Directive 2010/75/EU, as amended in accordance with the additional information sent on 25 June 2013, 23 September 2013, 10 October 2013 and 18 October 2013 (3).

2. The list of plants covered by the transitional national plan, the pollutants for which those plants are covered, and the applicable emission ceilings are laid down in the Annex.

3. The implementation of the transitional national plan by the Hungarian authorities shall not exempt Hungary from compliance with the provisions of Directive 2010/75/EU concerning the emissions from the individual combustion plants covered by the plan, and with other relevant bodies of the European Union environmental law.

Article 2

The Commission shall assess if any subsequent changes to the transitional national plan, notified by Hungary in the future, comply with the provisions listed in Article 32(1), (3) and (4) of Directive 2010/75/EU and in Implementing Decision 2012/115/EU.

Article 3

This Decision is addressed to Hungary.

Done at Brussels, 4 February 2014.

For the Commission

Janez POTOČNIK

Member of the Commission


(3) The consolidated version of the TNP was registered by the Commission on 6 December 2013 under registration number Ares(2013)3636219.
### ANNEX

**List of plants included in the TNP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Plant name in the TNP</th>
<th>Total rated thermal input on 31.12.2010 (MW)</th>
<th>Pollutant covered by the TNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bakonyi Erőmű Zrt. - P2 (units T4 and T5)</td>
<td>264,3</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ISD Power Kft. - P1 (units T1 and T2)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ISD Power Kft. - P2 (units T4, T5 and T6)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ISD Power Kft. - P3 (units T7 and T8)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ISD Power Kft. - P4 (unit T9)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Alpiq Csepel Kft. - P1 (unit 1)</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Alpiq Csepel Kft. - P2 (unit 2)</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Budapesti Erőmű Zrt. - P14 (Kelenfold Power Plant 5)</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Budapesti Erőmű Zrt. - P6 (Újpest Power Plant 4)</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Budapesti Erőmű Zrt. - P4 (Újpest Power Plant 1 and Plant 2)</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Mátrai Erőmű Zrt. - P2</td>
<td>1 063</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mátrai Erőmű Zrt. - P3</td>
<td>1 063</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>MVM MIFÜ Miskolci Fűtőerőmű Kft. - P2 (units 3, 4 and GT 1) (¹)</td>
<td>351,28</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Dorogi Erőmű Kft. – P2 (units T1 and T2)</td>
<td>73,3</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Dorogi Erőmű Kft. – P3 (units T3, T4, T5 and T7) (²)</td>
<td>95,04</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Győri Erőmű Kft. - P1 (units T1, T5 and T7)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(¹) Unit GT 1 is covered by the TNP only for NOₓ.  
(²) Units T5 and T7 are covered by the TNP only for NOₓ.

### Emission ceilings (tonnes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>12 988</td>
<td>10 269</td>
<td>7 551</td>
<td>4 832</td>
<td>2 416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>3 967</td>
<td>3 602</td>
<td>3 236</td>
<td>2 871</td>
<td>1 436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dust</td>
<td>1 159</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION
of 4 February 2014
approving restrictions of the authorisation of one biocidal product containing difenacoum notified by Germany in accordance with Directive 98/8/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council
(notified under document C(2014) 496)
(Only the German text is authentic)
(2014/58/EU)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Directive 98/8/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 1998 concerning the placing of biocidal products on the market (1), and in particular Article 4(4) thereof,

Whereas:


(2) Difenacoum is an anticoagulant rodenticide known to pose risks of accidental incidents with children, as well as risks for non-target animals and the environment. It has been identified as potentially persistent, liable to bioaccumulate and toxic (PBT), or very persistent and very liable to bioaccumulate (vPvB).

(3) For reasons of public health and hygiene, it was nevertheless found to be justified to include difenacoum and other anticoagulant rodenticides in Annex I to Directive 98/8/EC, thus allowing Member States to authorise difenacoum-based products. However, Member States were obliged to ensure, when granting authorisation of products containing difenacoum, that primary as well as secondary exposure of humans, non-target animals and the environment is minimised, by considering and applying all appropriate and available risk mitigation measures. The risk mitigation measures mentioned in Directive 2008/81/EC therefore include, amongst others, restriction to professional use only.

(4) The company VEBI Istituto Biochimico S.r.l. (the applicant) has, in accordance with Article 8 of Directive 98/8/EC, submitted one application to Italy for authorisation of one rodenticide containing difenacoum (the product).

(5) Italy granted the authorisation of the product on 20 December 2012. The product was authorised with restrictions to ensure that the conditions of Article 5 of Directive 98/8/EC were met in Italy. Those restrictions did not include restriction to trained or licensed professional users.

(6) On 18 February 2013, the applicant submitted a complete application to Germany for mutual recognition of the first authorisation in respect of the product.

(7) On 12 June 2013, Germany notified the Commission, the other Member States and the applicant of its proposal to restrict the first authorisation in accordance with Article 4(4) of Directive 98/8/EC. Germany proposed to impose a restriction on the product to use by trained or licensed professionals.

(8) The Commission invited the other Member States and the applicant to submit comments to the notification in writing within 90 days in accordance with Article 27(1) of Directive 98/8/EC. No comments were submitted within that deadline. The notification was also discussed between the Commission and Member States’ Competent Authorities for biocidal products in the meeting of the Product Authorisation and Mutual Recognition Facilitation Group of 9 July 2013.

(9) In accordance with Directive 98/8/EC, authorisations of biocidal products containing difenacoum are to be subject to all appropriate and available risk mitigation measures, including the restriction to professional use only. The scientific evaluation leading to the inclusion of difenacoum in Directive 98/8/EC concluded that only professional users could be expected to follow the instructions minimising the risk of secondary poisoning of non-target animals, and to use products in a way that prevents the selection and spreading of resistance. A restriction to professional users should therefore in principle be considered to be an appropriate risk mitigation measure, in particular in Member States where resistance to difenacoum occurs.

(10) In the absence of any indication to the contrary, restriction to professional users is therefore an appropriate and available risk mitigation measure for the authorisation of products containing difenacoum in Germany. This conclusion is reinforced by the arguments put forward by Germany that resistance against difenacoum in rats has been found and is thought to be developing in the country. Furthermore, Germany has a well-functioning infrastructure of trained pest control operators

and licensed professionals, such as farmers, gardeners and foresters who received professional training, which means that the proposed restriction does not hinder infection prevention.

(11) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Biocidal Products,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

**Article 1**

Germany may restrict the authorisation granted in accordance with Article 4 of Directive 98/8/EC for the product mentioned in the Annex to this Decision to use by trained or licensed professionals.

**Article 2**

This Decision is addressed to the Federal Republic of Germany.

Done at Brussels, 4 February 2014.

For the Commission

Janet POTOCNIK

Member of the Commission

---

**ANNEX**

Product for which Germany may restrict the authorisation granted in accordance with Article 4 of Directive 98/8/EC to use by trained or licensed professionals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product name in Italy</th>
<th>Italian application reference number in the Register for Biocidal Products</th>
<th>Product name in Germany</th>
<th>German application reference number in the Register for Biocidal Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
COMMISSION DECISION
of 5 February 2014
on the safety requirements to be met by European standards for consumer laser products pursuant
(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Directive 2001/95/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 3 December 2001 on general product safety ( 1 ), and in particular Article 4(1)(a) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Products covered by Directive 2001/95/EC which conform to national standards transposing European standards drawn up under that Directive benefit from a presumption of safety as far as the risks covered by the standards are concerned.

(2) European standards are to be drawn up on the basis of safety requirements intended to ensure that products which conform to the standards satisfy the general safety requirement set out in Article 3 of Directive 2001/95/EC.

(3) Laser products, in particular hand-held, battery-powered laser pointers, that pose a risk of damage to sight and to the skin have become widely available to consumers.

(4) The current European standard for laser products (EN 60825-1:2007 ‘Safety of laser products – Part 1: Equipment classification and requirements’) provides that the hazard of laser products has to be assessed and that laser products have to carry appropriate warning labels and be supplied with user instructions that contain all relevant safety information. However, compliance with that standard does not ensure that a laser product is safe to be used by consumers.

(5) Currently there is a widespread consensus that laser products corresponding to classes 1, 1M, 2 and 2M of the classification put in place by the standard referred to in recital 4 can be considered safe when used by consumers (provided that exposure to laser radiation does not take place with optical viewing instruments in case of products corresponding to classes 1M and 2M). This is not the case however for laser products of other laser classes.

(6) European standards should be drawn up in such a way as not to hamper technical innovation. For this reason, any standard for consumer laser products should not ban completely any products as far as the products can be used safely, bearing in mind that any damage to the eyes or unintended damage to the skin, whether reversible or irreversible, is incompatible with a high level of consumer health and safety protection.

(7) It is therefore appropriate to determine the safety requirements for consumer laser products in order to be able to submit a request to the European standardisation bodies to develop a new European standard or amend the current European standard.

(8) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Committee on General Product Safety,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

Definitions

For the purpose of this Decision:

(1) ‘consumer laser product’ means any product or assembly of components that:

(a) is intended for consumers, or likely to be used by consumers under reasonably foreseeable conditions even if not intended for them; and

(b) constitutes or incorporates a laser or laser system and during operation permits access to laser radiation;

(2) ‘child appealing consumer laser product’ means a consumer laser product that:

(a) resembles, by virtue of its form or design, anything that is commonly recognized as being appealing to, or intended for use by, children; or

(b) has any other feature or characteristic, not necessary for the function of the product, that is likely to be appealing to children;

(3) ‘damage to the eyes or the skin’ means any effect of laser radiation detrimental to the structure or function of the eyes or the skin, whether reversible or irreversible.

Article 2

Safety requirements

The safety requirements to be met by European standards for consumer laser products pursuant to Directive 2001/95/EC shall be the following:

(1) child appealing consumer laser products shall not cause damage to the eyes or the skin in case of any exposure to laser radiation that could occur under any conditions of use, including deliberate long-term exposure with optical viewing instruments;

(2) all other consumer laser products shall not cause damage to the eyes or unintended damage to the skin in case of any exposure to laser radiation that could occur under normal or reasonably foreseeable conditions of use, including momentary accidental or unintentional exposure; any intended damage to the skin caused by consumer laser products shall be compatible with a high level of consumer health and safety protection;

(3) conformity with points 1 and 2 shall be achieved by technical means;

(4) in the case of products that conform with point 2, if exposure to laser radiation that would cause damage to the eyes or the skin could occur under conditions of use other than those referred to in point 2, those products shall carry appropriate warning labels and shall be supplied with user instructions that contain all relevant safety information.

Article 3

Entry into force

This Decision shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Done at Brussels, 5 February 2014.

For the Commission
The President
José Manuel BARROSO
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