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1. INTRODUCTION

1. The role of Public Service Obligations (hereinafter ‘PSOs’) under Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 September 2008 on common rules for the operation of air services in the Community (\(^1\)) (hereinafter ‘Regulation No 1008/2008’ or ‘the Regulation’) is to set fixed standards of continuity, regularity, pricing or minimum capacity to ensure access to isolated or developing regions when a Member State finds that objectives of regional development policy will not be met adequately if only left to a free play of market forces as the market itself will not deliver an acceptable level of air services to these regions. Thus PSOs are an exception to the general principle of the freedom to provide air services within the EU, guaranteed under Article 15(1) of the Regulation.

2. In its Communication on ‘Aviation strategy for Europe’ (\(^2\)) the Commission identified different needs of EU citizens and businesses, such as access to high quality air transport services, and considered that if the market itself does not deliver an acceptable level of air transport services to given regions within Europe, Member States may consider PSOs as an instrument to ensure service to and from under-served regions, i.e. to ensure connectivity where needed. PSOs can play a significant positive role in terms of connectivity while some studies show that connectivity is vital for EU regions: a 10% increase of connectivity, as measured in those studies, stimulates the GDP (per capita) by an additional 0,5%, the GDP growth rate by 1% and leads to an overall increase of labour productivity (\(^3\)). Connectivity is key for growth, jobs and social cohesion.

3. There are currently 179 PSO routes established under Regulation No 1008/2008 in the EU, all located in thirteen Member States (Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and the UK) (\(^4\)). France has the largest number (40), with some 5,7 million passengers annually, meaning every fifth domestic passenger is travelling on a PSO route. In Ireland the share of PSOs in the domestic traffic is approximately 70%. In practice PSO routes are mostly domestic routes with only seven routes linking airports located in two different Member States. 136 of the current PSO routes are subsidized by the public authorities and the amount of subsidies spent yearly to operate them is estimated – based on the information at the disposal of the Commission – to be at least EUR 300 million.

---

\(^1\) OJ L 293, 31.10.2008, p. 3.
\(^3\) InterVISTAS, 2015, Economic Impact of European Airports – A Critical Catalyst to Economic Growth; PwC, 2013, Econometric analysis to develop evidence on the links between aviation and the economy; IATA, 2007, Aviation Economic Benefits - Measuring the economic rate of return on investment in the aviation industry.
\(^4\) Also some other Member States have shown interest towards imposing a PSO. For example Germany has had three PSOs in the past. Hungary has been close to imposing a PSO, but an air carrier announced its intention to start operations at the route concerned just before. Slovenia has approached the Commission with questions on PSOs. Latvia and Malta have representatives in the PSO interest group in CIRCABC (Communication and Information Resource Centre for Administrations, Businesses and Citizens. Access to the interest group can be granted to the relevant national authorities). Link to the website https://circabc.europa.eu
4. A fitness check of Regulation No 1008/2008 conducted by the Commission in 2011-2013 found that the PSOs rules laid down therein are fit for purpose, i.e. for ensuring connectivity when the market does not deliver it. Recommendations were made by stakeholders and Member States to enhance cooperation between national authorities and the Commission and to ensure a good articulation between the EU State aid rules and the PSO rules of the Regulation, including by possibly issuing guidance (5).

5. Practical steps were taken to follow up on these recommendations. The Commission created an up-to-date network of PSO contacts; it also organised meetings of the Market Access Committee (6) under Regulation No 1008/2008 where Commission’s services made a consolidated presentation on PSOs and where Member States exchanged their own practical experience. A simple questionnaire allowing national authorities to easily submit any intentions on their part to introduce PSOs was prepared, and is now systematically used by those authorities. Commission’s services alert Member States ex-ante when they see problems. A new database allows the Commission services to retrieve consolidated data on PSOs across Europe.

2. THE CASE FOR GUIDELINES

2.1. The legal framework

6. The conditions and the requirements for PSOs are set out in Articles 16–18 of Regulation No 1008/2008 (see extract in Annex II to these guidelines). Article 16 sets out the general principles for PSOs. It contains criteria for PSO imposition and continuity requirements, assessment criteria for Member States, rules on the procedure for publication and on commencing operations on PSO routes, conditions and procedure for limiting the access to a route and also the procedure to be applied in case of emergencies. Article 17 stipulates how the public tender procedure shall be conducted. It includes rules on the content of the invitation to tender and the subsequent contract, on the publication procedure and information to be provided to tenderers, on selection criteria for tenders, on compensation and the information to be provided to the Commission at the end of the tender procedure. Finally, Article 18 contains the rules for examination and review of decisions taken under preceding articles.

2.2. The need for clarification of the rules

7. The EU legal framework established by Regulation No 1008/2008 guarantees the openness, publicity and transparency of the procedure of imposing PSOs. Monitoring of the correct application of the PSO rules is important in order to avoid any possible abuse of the system due to disproportionate competition restrictions vis-à-vis the social and economic objectives pursued. The Commission’s objective is to give advice and to address as many potential issues as possible already before the publication of the information notice concerning the PSO.

8. In this context, it is considered useful to widely share assessments made in past, individual cases, which are so far typically only known to the respective Member State concerned and the Commission.

9. Another reason for adopting these guidelines is the lack, to date, of case law of the Court of Justice concerning PSOs established under Regulation No 1008/2008.

10. At a meeting of the Advisory Committee on application of the legislation on access for Community air carriers to intra-Community air routes with national experts held in September 2015 (7), the Commission’s services mentioned the possibility of issuing guidance on PSOs. Member States welcomed this idea and agreed that such guidance could bring transparency, consistency and clarity to EU airlines, Member States and regional authorities and administrations. This position corresponded to opinions voiced during prior bilateral exchanges with national and local authorities. Therefore, in its Communication on Aviation strategy the Commission committed to publishing guidelines clarifying the interpretation and the application by the Commission services of the rules and procedures governing PSOs laid down in Regulation No 1008/2008. These guidelines follow from that commitment. As a preparatory step for these guidelines, an informal targeted consultation was organised in summer 2016 to gather views from the main stakeholders.

(6) Advisory committee on application of the legislation on access for Community air carriers to intra-Community air routes.
(7) http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regexpert/index.cfm?do=groupDetail.groupDetail&groupId=3118
These guidelines intend to explain the Commission's interpretation of the criteria used in the Regulation and describe the applicable procedures to be followed. In addition replies are given throughout the text to the most frequently asked questions for which the Regulation does not provide an explicit answer. It is clear that among various Members States some are very familiar with the rules and their application, while others have only very limited or no experience at all with the application of the PSO rules. The clarification of the rules and their interpretation should make it easier, in particular for those using PSOs the first time, to comply with the applicable rules.

In addition to the experience gained by the Commission in the application of the rules on PSOs as laid down in the Regulation, these guidelines also take account of the State aid rules provided for in EU law (in particular Articles 107-109 TFEU). While the Commission receives many questions concerning the PSOs in general, almost on a daily basis and mainly from the Member States, the number of formal complaints – all lodged by airlines and airports – has been very limited. These guidelines are intended to tackle the issues most frequently raised by the national authorities, airlines and airports. They do not intend to cover all provisions in an exhaustive manner.

These guidelines provide indications relevant to most cases, but it should be emphasised that each case must be assessed on its own merits, in light of all its specific circumstances.

In any event, the present guidelines are not intended to create any new legal obligations and they are without prejudice to the interpretation that could be provided in the future by the Court of Justice concerning PSOs.

### 3. THE IMPOSITION OF PSO

#### 3.1. General principles

It follows from Article 16(1) and (4) of Regulation No 1008/2008 that Member States need to inform the Commission about any intention on their part to impose PSOs. The publication of information notices does not convey legal certainty about a given outcome; its objective is informing the market about the Member State’s intentions regarding a new PSO. The Commission services have developed a questionnaire for notification and description of the PSO which also covers the most important issues under both Regulation No 1008/2008 and the State aid rules that form an integral but independent part of the assessment of any PSO (see Annex I).

While the Commission does not take a formal decision on the PSO as such, it is still very important to clear any issues that might be detected before a PSO is put into place or modified and raise concerns in the future. The Commission advises Member States to take contact with its services as early as possible when they start considering imposing a new PSO or modifying an existing PSO. That ensures that the Commission services are aware of the plans and can provide assistance from the beginning and thereby also make the whole process run smoother.

An important particularity of PSOs in the air transport sector is the clear distinction between the PSO regime that sets out the conditions to operate on a particular route and the contract that gives an exclusive right (with or without compensation) to an operator. The imposition of a PSO in the air transport sector does not necessarily and automatically create the right for the Member State concerned to restrict the access to the air route to a single operator or to grant compensations for the fulfilment of the PSO (so-called ‘restricted PSO’). If an air carrier demonstrates its willingness to operate the route without exclusivity and compensation, then the access to the route must remain free to any air carrier respecting the conditions of the PSO (so-called ‘open PSO’).

---

1. The State aid rules, both substantive and procedural, remain applicable to the PSO routes. The PSO procedure under the Regulation does not substitute a State aid procedure.
2. The Commission can ask for more information at any moment before or after the publication, either at a request of a Member State or at its own initiative (e.g. following a complaint).
3. As indicated in the questionnaire, the rules concerning state aid notifications are applied fully to the compensation paid under PSOs. As the case may be, the state aid notification should be made once the amount of compensation is known, i.e. fixed in the award decision and/or the contract. Member States also have the possibility to pre-notify PSO compensation to the Commission. The pre-notification is a useful informal stage before a notification where the Commission services can give informal feedback and guidance to the Member State. It is for the Member State to ask for Commission ex-ante guidance if it considers this necessary.
17. Under the Regulation PSOs may in particular be used to ensure the access to remote and isolated regions or under certain conditions, where market forces alone do not allow a minimum provision of air transport services satisfying certain standards.

18. As PSOs are an exception to the general principle of the freedom to provide air services, PSOs are subject to strict requirements and limitations. The PSOs should respect the principles of transparency, non-discrimination and proportionality: in particular, they cannot introduce any discrimination based on the nationality or the identity of the air carriers and they cannot go beyond what is needed to attain the policy objectives.

3.2. Eligible services and routes

3.2.1. Type of services

19. PSOs may only be imposed on scheduled air services. Non-scheduled services remain unaffected by the PSOs. When an air carrier offers seat-only sales on a route in accordance with the requirements of the PSO imposed on that route, this air service is also considered a scheduled air service.

3.2.2. Types of routes

20. Regulation No 1008/2008 allows the imposition of PSOs on two types of routes:

(a) Routes to an airport serving a peripheral or development region

A peripheral region is typically a remote region or a region accessible with difficulty from the capital and other main cities in the Member State. The remoteness and isolation should be assessed with regard to the territory of the Member State, its administrative, business, education and medical centres, but also with regard to the territory and such centres of other Member States with which it shares a border. A development region is lagging behind economically, as measured for example by GDP per capita or by unemployment rate.

(b) Thin routes to any airport

The Regulation does not define a quantified criterion to assess the ‘thinness’ of a route, given the various situations that may prevail in different Member States. However, based on the Commission’s experience in a large number of PSO cases, it appears safe to say that a route with traffic of more than 100,000 passengers per year cannot normally be considered as a thin route within the meaning of the Regulation.

21. Regulation No 1008/2008 does not limit PSOs to routes within one and the same Member State. They may very well be applied to any intra-EU route that fulfils the conditions of the Regulation. PSO routes to third countries are not covered by the Regulation, as its scope is confined to intra-EU air services.

---

(11) As defined in Article 15 of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(12) See Article 16(1) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(13) Article 16(1) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(14) Article 2(15): ‘Seat-only sales means the sale of seats, without any other service bundled, such as accommodation, directly to the public by the air carrier or its authorised agent or a charterer’.
(15) Article 16(7) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(16) Article 16(1) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(17) For example, less developed regions in the context of the EU regional policy (where GDP per inhabitant is less than 75% of the EU average) would normally fulfil this condition. Since also the respective situations of regions belonging to the same Member State may differ to an important extent, the qualification as a development region could also follow from a comparison with the national GDP or unemployment level of the Member State concerned.
(18) This does not imply, however, that any route with a traffic less than 100,000 passengers per year is necessarily a thin route. Generally speaking, the higher the number of passengers on a particular route the more difficult it becomes to argue that such route would be eligible for a PSO, i.e. that without a PSO no carrier would assume certain standards as referred to in Article 16(1) solely considering its commercial interest.
(19) See in particular Art. 1(1) and 15(1) of Regulation No 1008/2008. However, the Regulation does not in principle prevent Member States from agreeing similar arrangements with third countries based on bilateral or multilateral agreements, subject in particular to applicable EU competition law. For example one Member State has concluded Memoranda of Understanding with third countries so as to allow for flights between the two territories under conditions similar to PSO routes in the EU. The tender was carried out in accordance with the Union provisions regarding public tenders.
22. It follows from Article 16(1) of the Regulation that the PSO route is always to be defined from one airport to another, and not with reference to two cities or regions. The selection of the airport for the purpose of PSO should be properly justified. Onward connectivity – i.e. the destinations and frequencies offered by the airports of the destination city – is one element in this assessment, but it cannot be the only justification for the choice of a specific airport over another. Alternatively, if a public authority considers that several destination airports would serve one region’s needs equally, it could impose a PSO from an airport in that region to these several destination airports, thereby imposing a PSO on these separate routes. If an air carrier starts operating on one of these routes or if an air carrier is selected for one route after a public tender including all these routes, the other PSOs must then be repealed, so that the market is not unnecessarily closed (20). Even though this configuration is not explicitly contemplated in Article 16(1), the terms of the provision do not oppose it, and it is also in line with the objectives of the provision. In order to avoid unequal treatment and distortions of competition, it is important though to make the authority’s intentions transparent from the beginning, notably through the communication referred to in Article 16(4) of the Regulation.

23. Defining a PSO on the routes from a region to/from several airports serving one and the same city would generally be disproportionate to the objectives of ensuring mobility and territorial cohesion. The effect of the measure would be to exclude occasional air carriers, since they could not fly from the region to any of the airports of the destination city, and therefore to contribute to a definitive closure of the market to new operators on competitive routes. Therefore, other airports than the one selected but serving the same city should in principle remain unaffected by the PSOs.

24. PSOs may in general apply to routes with one or more stopovers (21). However, the assessment of the adequacy of the PSO needs to be made for each flight segment individually. The PSO should not apply to flight segments which do not qualify for it. For example, if a PSO is imposed on the route A to C with a stopover in B, then the eligibility of the routes A–C, A–B and B–C should each be assessed individually. If, for example, the segment B–C would not qualify for a PSO on its own merits, then no PSO obligations should apply to air carriers carrying passengers and/or cargo on that segment. Any obligations on the route A–C should not hamper the free provision of air services on the segment B–C.

3.2.3. The vital character of the route

25. PSOs may only be imposed on routes that are ‘considered vital for the economic and social development of the region which the airport serves’ (22). This is a necessary condition for any of the above-mentioned type of routes, and the assessment is always to be performed taking into account the specific circumstances of the case. Member States enjoy a certain margin of discretion when it comes to judging the vital character of a route. However, this discretion has to be exercised on the basis of objective factors regarding connectivity needs in accordance with the Regulation, as well as EU law more generally.

26. An indispensable route for a region, such as a small island or a remote region, presents clearly this vital character. However, air services linking small and medium-sized cities to important economic or administrative centres could also be regarded as vital for the economic and social development of the regions in question under certain circumstances. For example, a PSO regime has been imposed on a route linking the capital of an island Member State to Brussels, as the city where various EU institutions and bodies have their offices.

27. However, Article 16(1) of the Regulation poses limits to the margin of discretion of the Member States. For example, while PSOs could be designed to lift hurdles to the economic and social development of regions or cities, they cannot be established with the aim, directly or indirectly, to promote or support a particular air carrier or to develop a particular airport.

(20) This means that competitors can provide air services on the other routes. In this case, if the competing air services correspond to the PSO requirements, the PSO should be revoked. If the competing air services fulfil those requirements only in part, then the latter should be adjusted. As an example, the UK imposed in 2014 PSOs for six routes (Dundee – six London airports). The winning tender was for the route Dundee-London Stansted and consequently the other five PSOs were repealed.

(21) The possibility of stopovers should be indicated in the PSO and tender specifications.

(22) Article 16(1) of Regulation No 1008/2008. ‘The airport’ here refers to the airport serving a peripheral or development region in the territory of the Member State.
3.2.4. The bundling of routes

28. Member States may not make the access to one particular route dependent upon the service of other routes. Such bundling would be incompatible with the Regulation No 1008/2008 (23). The eligibility and adequacy criteria provided for in Article 16(1), (2) and (3) of the Regulation refer to ‘the route’, to which bundles of routes cannot be equated. Therefore each of these criteria should be assessed separately with regard to each individual route. To treat bundles of routes as a single route could be considered as an excessive restriction to the access of the routes, as only air carriers with regional bases are likely to be able to provide services on all those routes.

29. This ban on bundling of routes at the phase of the imposition of the PSO should not be confused with the possibility to tender the right of access to a group of routes as provided for in Article 16(10) of the Regulation. This possibility is further explained in paragraph 6.6 of these guidelines; it only applies to those routes for which no air carrier has commenced or is about to commence scheduled air services in accordance with the PSOs.

3.2.5. Link with the slots regulation

30. Regulation (EEC) No 95/93 on common rules for the allocation of slots at Community airports (24) (hereinafter ‘Regulation 95/93’) allows for the reservation of slots for PSOs. Its Article 9(1) specifies that ‘a Member State may reserve at a coordinated airport the slots required for the operations on that route. If the reserved slots on the route are not used, they shall be made available to any other air carrier interested in operating the route in accordance with the public service obligations – –. If no other air carrier is interested in operating the route and the Member State concerned does not issue a call for tenders [under Article 16(10) of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008] – –, the slots shall either be reserved for another route subject to public service obligations or returned to the pool. ‘ These obligations set in at the latest at the moment referred to in Article 16(11) of Regulation No 1008/2008, whereby a PSO shall be deemed to have expired if no scheduled air service has been operated during a period of 12 months on the route subject to such obligation. Reserving slots for the same route again would then require a new imposition of a PSO.

31. Article 9(2) of Regulation No 95/93 clarifies that the PSO tender procedure should be applied ‘if more than one [EU] air carrier is interested in serving the route and has not been able to obtain slots within one hour before or after the times requested from the coordinator’.

32. The provisions of Regulation No 1008/2008 regarding PSOs do not affect the allocation of competences between Member States for the application of Article 9 of Regulation No 95/93 (25).

33. This slot reservation is without prejudice of grandfathering rights granted under Regulation No 95/93. It is therefore only possible from the slot pool of non-allocated slots established by Article 10 of Regulation No 95/93, which includes slots returned in accordance with Article 9(1) of that Regulation.

34. It must be stressed that slots should not be reserved for purposes other than the PSO. This issue is particularly relevant in case of stopovers. For example, on a route A-B-C on which B and C are slot-congested airports, slots could only be reserved for flights between B and C if this segment itself forms the object of a PSO. Notably, a PSO regarding the route between A and C (i.e. concerning transport between these two points) does not as such justify a reservation of slots in point B.

35. Therefore, on PSO routes with slot reservation:

(1) stopovers on congested airports should be avoided, wherever possible;

(2) there should be no change of aircraft during stopovers, unless the second segment (B-C) also qualifies for a PSO with the (other) aircraft type to be used. Otherwise, slots could be reserved for large aircraft operating traffic over and above what is justified by the PSO.

(23) This means that the creation of so called ‘hub-PSOs’ covering all or most of the routes from a particular airport is not in accordance with the Regulation No 1008/2008.


(25) This means that a Member State can only reserve slots on its own territory, not on the territory of another Member State in case of PSO between two Member States.
3.3. **Necessity and adequacy of the obligations**

36. The necessity and the adequacy of the envisaged PSO is to be determined on the basis of the four criteria (26) discussed in the following paragraphs. While the Commission has no power to require a Member State to impose a specific PSO on any route, it assesses the criteria on the basis of which the (envisaged) PSO is imposed in order to verify if a PSO is established in accordance with the Regulation. Where relevant, the Commission services contact the Member State concerned and seeks clarifications. Experience shows that PSO routes to islands may in most cases be necessary and justified when there is not enough touristic demand to support a commercial operation all year round. Such routes exist for example in Estonia, Ireland, Italy, Greece, Portugal and the UK. In less densely populated Member States like Finland and Sweden, in cases where distances between regions are particularly long and no transport alternatives tend to exist, routes can generally also be eligible for the use of PSOs.

3.3.1. **Proportionality to the economic and social development needs** (27)

37. This criterion is a direct expression of the general proportionality principle. It bears a close relationship with the proviso of Article 16(1), according to which PSOs may be imposed only on routes which are vital for the economic and social development of the region which the airport serves (28). It follows from Article 16(3)(a) that the obligations themselves should be in proportion to the economic development needs of the region concerned. The PSOs cannot impose restrictions on the provision of air services that go beyond what is necessary to fulfil the needs in question.

3.3.2. **Inadequacy of alternative transport modes** (29)

38. PSOs should only be imposed insofar as other transport modes cannot meet the transport needs of the region concerned. Account should be taken mainly of services offered by train, ferry and coach operators. The adequacy of the services should be assessed, in particular, with regard to their frequency, journey times, departure times and possible connections to other important destinations, in particular long-haul (30) travel options. The possibilities of individual (car) transport should also be explored, having regard in particular to the journey times by road.

39. Particular consideration should be given to train services that serve the envisaged route with a travel time of less than three hours (31). This refers to both high-speed train services and other train services. Where such train services provide sufficient frequencies for the mobility needs of the concerned region, PSOs should in principle not be imposed on air services. Exceptionally, such PSOs could be considered however, in particular if the train services do not allow adequate connections to medium- and long-haul air services (e.g. inadequate connection between the train station in the region concerned and the airport offering medium- and long-haul services or absence of alternative travel options to connect to the long-haul destinations, including indirect flight options). The assessment needs to be carried out on a case-by-case basis.

3.3.3. **Existing air fares and conditions** (32)

40. The necessity and the adequacy of PSOs as required by the Regulation should also be assessed with regard to the air fares and the conditions quoted to users. PSOs can include requirements on maximum tariffs if this is deemed necessary, because otherwise the tariffs would be excessive in the context of the economic needs of the region concerned. A PSO limited to setting a maximum price could be envisaged in specific cases. A steep rise in prices and decrease in passenger numbers over a short period of time may, according to the case, be an indication that a price ceiling is necessary.

---

(26) Article 16(3) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(27) Article 16(3)(a) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(28) The airport here refers to the airport serving a peripheral or development region in the territory of the Member State.
(29) Article 16(3)(b) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(30) Article 6(1) of Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 February 2004 establishing common rules on compensation and assistance to passengers in the event of denied boarding and of cancellation or long delay of flights, and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 295/91, establishes the following categories for the length of the flight: up to 1 500 km, 1 500-3 500 km and other flights (more than 3 500 km). These distances are often used for defining short-, medium- and long-haul flights.
(31) Article 16(3)(b) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(32) Article 16(3)(c) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
3.3.4. The combined effect of existing air transport supply

41. Whether PSOs are needed will ultimately depend on the combined effect of all air carriers operating or intending to operate the route. If the existing provision of air services already satisfies the mobility needs of the concerned region, then there is no ‘market failure’ that would deserve to be addressed, and a PSO would not be necessary. The Commission takes the view that a PSO regarding the transport of passengers could normally not be considered justified on routes where there are a critical number of passengers (based on experience, such critical number would normally appear to materialise as from 100,000 passengers per year) and on which several air carriers are operating all year round. As a matter of general principle, in cases where air carriers are already operating on the route concerned, the assessment of the impact of the obligations should be carried out with particular care: excessive obligations (e.g. imposing price caps, schedules or number of frequencies) may have the counterproductive effect of reducing the offer of air services. It should be noted that imposing a PSO only for the purpose of ensuring the transportation of cargo and mail is also possible. In each case, it has to be assessed how the above factors play out, in their combination where applicable.

42. The assessment of the existing air transport supply should also take account of indirect air services and of other nearby airports. Imposing PSOs on a route to a particular airport if an indirect connection with a reasonable transfer time already exists (e.g. one hour or less) or if adequate services to a nearby airport are already available (e.g. airports serving a same city or region, including also airports in neighbouring Member State) would require a particularly robust justification. The way the domestic and international traffic is distributed between those airports may play a role in this respect. If an another airport that is farther away than 100 km and/or the travelling time is more than one hour by public transport, it is generally reasonable to question whether the two airports can be seen as alternatives. However, this analysis always needs to be conducted case-by-case, taking into account the specific circumstances. Generally speaking, a PSO is more likely to be justified in cases where there are no existing services to other airports in the close vicinity of the airport being considered.

3.4. Type of obligations

43. PSOs aim to ensure the fulfilment of fixed standards of continuity, regularity, pricing or minimum capacity.

44. Under certain conditions, the continuity of the air service may be ensured by imposing continuity obligations (see further paragraph 3.5 below).

45. Regularity and capacity obligations mainly include the fixing of minimum capacities in terms of seats offered or of minimum frequencies to be offered in a given time period. These obligations may vary according to departure times, week days, seasons, etc. For example, one or two daily frequencies can be considered normal in most cases, but there are PSOs that require as little as only one frequency per week. A margin of discretion exists for the Member States to decide on these elements, but the obligations must be non-discriminatory and proportional and fulfil the requirements of necessity and adequacy established in Article 16(3) (cf. paragraph 3.3 above).

46. It may also be possible to impose requirements as to the aircraft to be used, but these should be objectively justified and respect the principle of proportionality, i.e. avoid unnecessary restrictions in this respect and therefore remain as general as possible under the circumstances (e.g. turboprop or jet engines depending on the distance flown, aptitude of the aircraft to land under specific conditions, etc.). In particular, these requirements should not lead to a situation in which specific air carriers are, de facto, arbitrarily excluded from the operation on the concerned routes. In this sense, a requirement in the form of minimum seat capacities of aircraft should only be imposed in exceptional cases and should be duly justified by the circumstances of the operations and in relation to the mobility objectives pursued.

47. Imposing PSOs is about fixing minimum requirements. Maximum seat capacity could only be considered where this is clearly objectively justified by the operational restrictions at one of the airports included in the PSO route. A condition requiring at least part of the crew members being able to speak the language of the Member State concerned is normally acceptable.

(*) Article 16(3)(d) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(†) Article 16(1) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(‡) Article 16(2) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(§) A minimum daily capacity could also be achieved by performing more flights with a smaller aircraft.
48. It is also possible to require a certain type of aircraft – aeroplane or helicopter – to be used for the operating the PSO route, depending on particular circumstances of the case. Specific requirements may be set in respect of the type of aircraft (e.g. type of engine, maximum take-off weight, landing systems equipment) when this is objectively justified for operational reasons (e.g. requirement to resort to a helicopter when no runway is available). Moreover, any such requirements must be non-discriminatory.

49. In terms of pricing, the obligations could mainly include the setting of maximum prices or of tariff grids for a part or for all the offered services. These grids may define tariff categories or preferential prices for certain categories of passengers (e.g. residents and students). In respect of residents, such preferential treatment must be objectively justifiable by the need to allow this category of persons to participate in cultural, economic and social life of their Member State. Other obligations with regard to prices are possible as long as they also are non-discriminatory and proportional and they fulfil the requirements referred to in paragraph 3.3 above (\(^{1}\)).

50. Sometimes in PSOs a meal or one piece of luggage is required to be included in the maximum price to be paid by the passenger. The justification for and proportionality of such a requirement has to be assessed on a case-by-case basis, but in principle such conditions are not necessary components of a PSO. An exception could be accepted in case of medium and long-haul flights, i.e. of more than 3 hours. In such cases, it would normally appear justified to include a meal into the maximum price, but also the transport of luggage, given that such flights normally give rise to a longer stay at destination. However, it is to be noted that if the (maximum) price in such a case does not include a piece of luggage then it could be fixed at a lower level and then a provision allowing the air carrier to charge a specified extra fee for it could be added.

3.5. Continuity obligations

51. A PSO may require the air carriers to guarantee that they will operate the route concerned for a certain period. This is more relevant for open (i.e. non-exclusive) PSOs, because for restricted PSOs there is normally a contractual commitment of this type in exchange for exclusivity (and possibly financial compensation). Such continuity obligations may only be imposed in instances where other modes of transport cannot ensure an uninterrupted service with at least two daily frequencies (\(^{4}\)). This means a service that is available all year round. Continuity obligations may be imposed in the following two possible ways.

52. First, the PSO may require the air carriers serving the route to give notice a certain period in advance if they intend to cease the services. However, in conformity with the proportionality principle, the duration of the notice should generally not exceed six months, which is sufficient to implement the PSO tender procedure.

53. Second, when the operations on the concerned route reveal a strong seasonal pattern, it may be useful to ensure a minimum service during those periods of the year when the supply of air services tends to be very low. On certain routes the air carriers are inclined to concentrate the capacities offered during periods of high demand and to significantly reduce the capacities in other periods in order to increase the profitability of the service. This could lead to situations where the supply of air services is unstable and during the low-demand periods insufficient to meet the mobility needs of the concerned region. In conformity with the proportionality principle, the periods on which continuity obligations are imposed should normally not exceed one year (\(^{5}\)).

54. The operational periods imposed in the context of continuity obligations should not be confused with the maximum period of four or five years during which the access to the route may be restricted to a single air carrier (\(^{6}\)).

3.6. Consequences of the imposition of PSOs

55. A PSO imposed on a given route is applicable to all EU air carriers from the date of publication of an information notice in the Official Journal of the European Union at the earliest, or from a later date specified therein (\(^{7}\)). It is in principle for the Member State concerned to determine in its national law how non-compliance is sanctioned. Any sanctions provided for and imposed in this context must comply with the principles of EU law which apply in this regard, i.e. they must be effective, proportionate and dissuasive.

\(^{1}\) For example, setting preferential fares for persons born but no longer living in a certain region would appear disproportionate.

\(^{4}\) Article 16(2) of Regulation No 1008/2008.

\(^{5}\) The continuity obligation could be associated with a penalty, but also that should respect the principle of proportionality and too high a penalty could function as a deterrent for starting operations.

\(^{6}\) Article 16(9) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
56. A PSO should not limit the possibility for air carriers to provide a higher level of service in terms of capacity and frequency than required under the PSO (*) While the national authorities may of course monitor the correct fulfilment of the PSOs and compliance with the applicable legislation, they should not interfere with the way the market fulfills the PSO obligations. For example, in case where more than one carrier is interested in operating an (open) PSO route, national authorities should not distribute frequencies or capacities between the air carriers concerned.

57. When a PSO has been imposed, any EU air carrier shall at any time be allowed to commence scheduled air services meeting the requirements of the PSO (†), except where exclusivity has been granted to one carrier (see further chapter 6 below).

58. Two types of obligations can be distinguished:

(1) Obligations that apply by definition to each air carrier individually. Examples include obligations regarding maximum fares, or continuity of operations. Obligations of the kind must be respected by all air carriers individually, at all times.

(2) Obligations concerning an objective to be achieved by a number of air carriers jointly, where each air carrier contributes to the attainment of that (overall) objective on the route. This is typically the case for obligations with regard to minimum frequencies or seat capacities. The Commission has confirmed this in its decision of 23 April 2007 on Sardinia (*) (§51): ‘(…) carriers are not obliged to undertake to individually ensuring the level of frequency or capacity but all operators together may ensure that a minimum service is provided.’ It goes without saying that this applies without prejudice to the applicable rules of competition law (in particular Articles 101 and 102 TFEU). Situations where the PSOs are fulfilled by the contributions from several air carriers typically call for a corresponding adaptation of the obligations (see chapter 5 below).

59. Since Article 13(4) of the Regulation allows operation under code-share agreements and does not exclude the case of PSOs, such agreements are in this case in principle admissible. As in all other cases, this is subject to compliance with the competition rules. The same applies to frequent flyer programmes.

60. Unless otherwise specified and without prejudice to the need for regular re-assessment discussed in chapter 5 below, PSOs need not have a limit in time. However, if no scheduled air service has been operated during a period of twelve months, the PSO shall be deemed to have expired (†).  

4. PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED FOR IMPOSING PSOS

61. Before imposing a PSO, the Member State should consult the other Member States concerned (notably in the case of a PSO on a route to another Member State or when another Member State has an airport in the border region close to the airport which is foreseen to be included in the PSO route). It should inform the Commission (‡), the airports concerned and the air carriers currently operating the route in question. To this end, the Member State should communicate the text of the draft legal act concerning the envisaged PSO to these parties and leave sufficient time for possible responses. The contact with the Commission should be established via the Directorate-General for Mobility and Transport (hereinafter: ‘DG MOVE’). This can be done in the most efficient way by email to the specific mailbox for PSO issues: MOVE-PSO@ec.europa.eu. Where a regional or local authority intends to impose a PSO, the Commission encourages the involvement of the Permanent Representation or the central government of the Member State concerned in the process of communication described here, in accordance with the applicable requirements of national law, so as to ensure adequate coordination.

(*) This is without prejudice to the requirement according to which any PSO must be necessary in view of the objectives set out in Article 16(1) of Regulation No 1008/2008. While a higher level of service provided may sometimes cast doubts on this necessity, a judgment on this issue will depend on the circumstances of each case. In particular, where the increase, compared to the PSO imposed, of the level of service materializes for short phases only or is otherwise unsystematic, it may not be a decisive indicator.

(†) Article 16(8) of Regulation No 1008/2008. This also clearly applies to carriers that had operated the route before.

62. Commission services stand ready to give advice informally and should be contacted preferably at a very early stage of the preparations of the PSO. Discussions may concern the interpretation of the Regulation, procedures and possible solutions in specific situations. Early contacts also allow speeding up the procedures as potential concerns will have been dealt with beforehand. Informal contacts may also help avoiding certain issues from arising at a later stage, for example possible complaints from interested parties.

4.1. The publication of information notices in the Official Journal

63. According to the first subparagraph of Article 16(4) of Regulation No 1008/2008, the Member State concerned, intending to impose a PSO, shall communicate the text of the envisaged imposition to the Commission (\(^{(*)}\)). It is then up to the Commission to publish the information notice referred to in the second subparagraph of that provision. In the interest of efficient and speedy handling, it is advisable that Member States prepare a corresponding draft information notice and communicate it to the Commission (in any EU official language). It should be addressed by email to the functional mailbox mentioned above (\(^{(**)}\)) (in electronic format, having recourse to one of the usual processing systems). The draft should contain the information described in Article 16(4)(a)-(c) of the Regulation (\(^{(**)}\)). The aim of the publication is to make all interested parties aware of the envisaged PSO in question and to allow them to obtain further information, notably the precise terms. The Commission has prepared templates that are available on the CIRCABC internet website open to the relevant national administrations. Copies of the template may also be directly requested from the Commission.

64. To ensure full transparency, DG MOVE will publish the transmitted notices on its Internet website (\(^{(**)\)}), in its newsletter and on the dedicated CIRCABC newsgroup.

65. With respect to routes where the total number of passengers expected to use the air service is less than 10 000 per year, the Regulation gives the Member State the choice between asking the Commission to publish an information notice in the Official Journal of the European Union and publishing it itself in its own national official journal (\(^{(**)}\)). It is to be noted that Article 16(5) of the Regulation constitutes an exception to paragraph 4 on publication requirements only. All the other requirements of Article 16, in particular the requirement of consultation and information of the Commission, of other Member States and of concerned airports and air carriers, also apply to routes with less than 10 000 expected passengers per year.

66. The date of entry into force of a PSO cannot be earlier than the date of publication of the information notice (\(^{(**)}\)). The publication of such a notice in the national official journal in accordance with Article 16(5) should not happen earlier than the publication in Official Journal of the European Union if the Member State wishes to have the notice published in both.

67. In order to inform the market of the intended modifications of the conditions of the PSOs imposed, the Commission considers that the same procedures should apply for modifications of existing PSOs, for fundamentally the same reasons as those relevant to the initial publication directly referred to in Article 16(4) and (5). For transparency reasons, this means all modifications of the conditions imposed by the PSO should be mentioned. In cases where a Member State comes to the conclusion that the PSO is no longer necessary, it can ask the Commission to publish an information notice in the Official Journal of the European Union on the repeal of the PSO, so as to inform the market of the route becoming free again. Alternatively, it may publish such a notice itself in its national official journal in case the notification concerning the PSO has been published only therein.

5. THE NEED FOR REGULAR RE-ASSESSMENT OF PSOS

68. The assessment of the necessity and proportionality of PSOs (see paragraph 3.3 above) should not be made only once. Instead, PSOs should be reassessed regularly and at least whenever one of the relevant assessment factors,
such as the number of service providers, undergoes a significant change. PSOs are a response to a specific market failure and should therefore evolve with the market. A reassessment may lead to modification or even repeal of the PSO concerned. In order to ensure that the obligations are respected at all times by air carriers operating PSO routes, a review of their performance at least on an annual basis is preferred as good practice.

69. In order to ensure that situations arising from the application of the situations described in point (2) of point 58 above do not lead to a discrimination between air carriers, each time a new operator commences or is about to commence operating a route, the level of capacity and frequency imposed by the PSO on each operator should be adjusted, so that the total frequency and capacity offered on each route does not exceed that which is strictly necessary to provide an adequate service.

6. EXCLUSIVE SERVICE CONCESSIONS

70. In accordance with internal market principles, Regulation No 1008/2008 seeks to ensure that competition in the context of a PSO takes place to the widest possible extent and that any exception to this principle is limited to what is necessary to achieve the justified connectivity objective. This regime also tends to allow substantial savings of public money for the Member State or local/regional authorities concerned, while still ensuring adequate connectivity.

71. Concretely, Article 16(9) of the Regulation permits that access to the scheduled services on the PSO route be limited to only one EU carrier only if no such air carrier has commenced or can demonstrate that it is about to commence sustainable scheduled air services on that route. In this context, the demonstration that the air carrier is about to commence sustainable services corresponding to the PSO should be firm and credible, meaning that the air carrier would need to commit to the provision of such services for a certain period specified in the PSO conditions within a clear and limited time frame and accept the possibility of penalties being imposed on it if it fails to honour this commitment. The limitation of the PSO regime to one air carrier may or may not be combined with a financial compensation, as specified in the Regulation (53).

6.1. Obligation to undertake a public tender

72. The exclusive right to operate the route must be offered by public tender in accordance with the procedures of Regulation No 1008/2008. Transparency of the tender is again ensured by the publication in the Official Journal of the European Union (54).

73. If before or during the tender procedure, an air carrier commences scheduled air services according to the PSO, or demonstrates that it is about to commence sustainable services of the kind (55) (but without requiring an exclusive right or compensation), the tender procedure must be cancelled and access to the route should remain open for competition (56). The exact cut-off date for an air carrier to commence or to demonstrate the intention to commence operations should not be earlier than the date of the conclusion of the contract with the selected air carrier. This conclusion of the contract implies concrete mutual obligations of the parties, which constitute the ‘limitation of access’ within the meaning of Article 16(9) of the Regulation. However, the Member can also further postpone this cut-off date, for example until the start of the operations by the air carrier selected in the tender.

74. In case the tender concerns a route to which the access had already been limited to one air carrier in accordance with Article 16(9), Article 17(4) of the Regulation provides for a publication of the information notice six months before the new contract period starts. The key objective of this prior notice is to give the air carriers interested in operating the route without compensation the chance to pre-empt, in accordance with the criteria of Article 16(9), a fresh limitation to a single air carrier selected in accordance with Article 16(9) to (12) and Article 17 of the Regulation (cf. previous point).

(53) Article 17(8) of Regulation No 1008/2008. See further chapter 8 below. In practice all restricted PSOs in the EU have been operating with financial compensation to this day.
(54) Article 17(4) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(55) Cf. Article 16(9) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(56) Cf. also point 71 above.
6.2. Eligibility to submit a tender

75. In accordance with Article 16(9) of the Regulation, only EU air carriers, or air carriers assimilated to them under EU law, can obtain an exclusive right to operate a PSO route. Hence, entitled are air carriers holding a valid operating licence issued by the competent authorities of a Member State or of a third country to which the regime set out in Regulation No 1008/2008 applies (e.g. Norway, Iceland (5)). In the following, reference will be made to ‘air carriers’ or ‘EU air carriers’ only, for ease of presentation.

76. The Regulation does not prevent a group of air carriers to present a common tender, but in its tender the EU air carrier must spell out the identity of the air carrier(s) (consortium) which would operate the PSO services according to the conditions fixed in the tender specifications, so that the national authorities can control the fulfilment of the formal requirements by all the air carriers involved. While subcontracting is not forbidden in the Regulation, it is in principle up to the Member State to specify whether it allows sub-contracting or not and under what conditions, provided the Regulation and EU law generally is respected. In any event, the sub-contractor must also be an EU air carrier.

77. A given PSO can only be operated where the operating license and the air operator certificate (hereinafter ‘AOC’) are commensurate with the requirements of that PSO. Notably, an air carrier with a so-called B-licence, governed by Article 5(3) of the Regulation, cannot operate aircraft other than of the kind covered by such licence (and covered by the AOC granted to him). These requirements apply to the air carrier(s) which will provide the PSO services.

6.3. Selection criteria

78. The selection among the submissions shall be made as soon as possible taking into consideration the adequacy of the service, including the prices and conditions which can be quoted to users, and the cost of the compensation required from the Member State(s) concerned, if any (6). Member States are in principle free to determine the weighing of the criteria used in the selection (award), for example attributing 70 % for the level of compensation and 30 % for quality (7). In the view of the Commission there is normally nothing to preclude them from setting a maximum limit for the total amount of compensation to be paid. It is particularly important however that all such criteria are set out in advance in the tender documents in a clear, objective and transparent manner.

6.4. Consequences of granting the exclusive right to operate the route

79. During the period of exclusivity, access to the concerned route will be refused to any air carrier other than the air carrier selected by the tender. The duration of the exclusive right to operate the route cannot exceed four years; however, this period may be up to five years if the PSO is imposed on a route to an airport serving an outermost region, as defined today in Articles 349 and 355(1) TFEU (8). If the original contract is concluded for less than the maximum period fixed in Regulation No 1008/2008 (i.e. 4 or 5 years) (9), then the contract can be renewed up to the applicable maximum, provided that this option has been clearly and transparently mentioned in the tender documents and contract. If the contract is for the maximum period from the start, then a new tender needs to be organised, should the Member State consider that the conditions justifying this still exist, as according to Article 16(9) of the Regulation it is necessary to review the situation. In accordance with Article 17(4) of the Regulation, in case the tender concerns a route to which the access had already been limited to one air carrier in accordance with Article 16(9) of the Regulation, the invitation to tender will be published at least six months before the start of the new concession in order to assess the continued necessity of the restricted access. No renewal beyond the maximum periods mentioned is possible, as a regular review is due and this would close the market for new entrants for an excessively long period.

(5) See Art. 2(11) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(6) Article 17(7) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(7) Quality aspects taken into account could include experience in PSO operations; aircraft maintenance and back-up arrangements; suitability of schedules and length of stay in destination during a day trip; interlining options offered; average fares offered; sales operation & advertising; promotion of services and financial robustness of the carrier. For example marketing of a route could be very important for ensuring a route’s initial success and long-term viability to develop a route with the eventual aim of reducing and ultimately eliminating subsidies.
(8) Article 16(9) of Regulation No 1008/2008 – the concerned regions are Guadeloupe, French Guiana, Martinique, Mayotte, Réunion, Saint-Martin, the Azores, Madeira and the Canary Islands.
(9) Article 17(9) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
80. The requirement of proportionality implies that the exclusive right should be limited to those services concerned by the PSOs. For example, if the PSO only concerns the winter season, access to the route should be free during the summer season. The PSO and the invitation to tender should clearly mention to which periods and which services they apply. Indeed, this information is crucial for the air carriers responding to the invitation to tenders as the extent of the exclusive right has normally a considerable impact on the conditions of their offer.

81. The restriction to one single air carrier should not hinder this air carrier from agreeing on code-share agreements with other air carriers, subject to compliance with competition rules. As recalled above (62) the permission contained in Article 15(4) of the Regulation to operate under code-share agreements also extends to PSO routes, and the Regulation contains no exclusion either where access to such route is limited under paragraphs 9 et seq. of Article 16 of the Regulation.

82. Unless otherwise stated in the tender documents, nothing in the Regulation would prevent the EU air carrier enjoying the exclusive right to sub-contract part or all of the air services to another EU air carrier. But the responsibility for the carrying out of the contract remains with the air carrier selected through the tender procedure.

83. Article 16(9) is an exception to the principle, laid down in its paragraph 8, that any air carrier may at any time start providing services as defined in a PSO. If exclusivity has been awarded to one air carrier in a definitive manner in accordance with the national legislation and tender specifications, this principle does not apply anymore.

6.5. Re-examination of the PSOs and of the exclusivity at the end of each concession period

84. As described earlier, Member States should regularly assess the necessity and adequacy of the PSO. In particular, in case of restricted (exclusive) PSOs the situation shall be reviewed at the end of the concession period (63) and the continued necessity of the restricted access shall be assessed before the start of new concession (64). The objective is generally speaking to assess during the period of six months before the start of the new concession whether the circumstances under which the previous tender was issued are unchanged. The assessment will typically include the experience with the last concession period, especially in respect to the observed demand, and whether an adequate provision of air services could be provided without exclusivity and/or with changed, less restrictive or even no PSO anymore.

85. The period of six months is not the maximum time period for the Commission to give a ‘clearance’ on the intended PSO. The Regulation does not provide for such clearance and this period is intended for other purposes. In particular, it is needed in order to allow for other air carriers to come forward with proposals to operate on the PSO route without exclusivity or compensation, in which case this could prove that there is no longer a need for restricted access. If this does not happen, this could be an indication that there is a continued need for restricted access. In any case, it is for the Member States to first make an assessment of the need for restricted access, subject to review by the Commission in accordance with EU law.

6.6. Special case: grouping of routes to be tendered

86. In principle, Member States should proceed to public tenders for each individual route. However, the Regulation allows Member States to issue a public tender for a group of PSO routes, but only where justified for reasons of operational efficiency (65). In practice this means granting exclusivity to one air carrier for a group of PSO routes.

87. Such grouping of PSO routes can be justified, in particular, where several routes with thin traffic present important operational complementarities (e.g. routes to/within an isolated archipelago or remote and sparsely populated area).

\(^{(62)}\) Point 59.
\(^{(63)}\) Article 16(9) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
\(^{(64)}\) Article 17(4) of Regulation No 1008/2008. The start of the new concession is at the earliest the date following the date when the existing concession expires, but it could also be a later date.
\(^{(65)}\) Article 16(10) of Regulation No 1008/2008. Examples of routes grouped in the tender phase include 14 PSO routes in the Azores archipelago, 4 PSO routes on Shetland Islands, 6 PSO routes on Orkney Islands, 4 PSOs on Canary Islands and 4 PSO routes connecting the Italian islands of Lampedusa and Pantelleria to 3 cities in Sicily.
88. The reasons for the grouping have to be of an operational nature (e.g. necessity of an operational base in a remote area). The grouping may not have as its main objective to reduce the budgetary impact on the Member State. In this sense, the grouping of profitable and unprofitable routes without operational commonalities is not possible.

89. Grouping of routes for tendering, as described here, should not be confused with the bundling of routes when imposing PSOs. As explained in point 28 above, the access to one particular route may not be made dependent upon the operation on other routes. Likewise, it may be that certain routes are not eligible for being grouped for tendering, in which case they may nonetheless be covered jointly in a single invitation to tender, if this is justified for reasons of administrative efficiency (\(^{(*)}\)).

7. PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED FOR PUBLIC TENDERS

90. As regards the phase prior to the publication referred to in Article 17(4) (\(^{(*)}\)), the Regulation provides that the Member State concerned shall communicate the entire text of the invitation to tender to the Commission, except where, in accordance with Article 16(5), it has made the public service obligation known through the publication of a notice in its national official journal. In such case the tender shall also be published in the national official journal (\(^{(**)}\)). In the interest of efficient and speedy handling, the Member State should also communicate (in any EU official language) to the Commission (by email to the functional mailbox mentioned earlier) (\(^{(**)}\)) a draft information notice concerning the invitation to tender (in electronic format, having recourse to one of the usual processing systems) to be published in the *Official Journal of the European Union* in all EU languages. This draft information notice should contain the information listed in Article 17(5) of the Regulation. The Commission has prepared templates that are available on CIRCABC and at request from the Commission. Member States are also encouraged to transmit the terms of the contract envisaged and any other document relating to the points mentioned in Article 17(3) of Regulation No 1008/2008.

91. According to Article 17(6) of Regulation No 1008/2008, the Member State concerned shall make available without delay and free of charge any relevant information and documents requested by a party interested in the public tender. Although no obligation exists to provide the tender documents to interested parties in languages other than of the Member State concerned, doing so might attract more potential bidders.

92. The invitation to tender, and the subsequent contract, should cover at least the points mentioned in Article 17(3) of the Regulation. The exact format and content of these documents is for the competent authorities of the Member States to decide and the Commission does not have templates for those.

93. Member State may issue a single invitation to tender covering different routes for reasons of administrative efficiency (\(^{(*)}\)). This can lead to several air carriers operating the different routes concerned, as it does not mean that bids can only be made for all routes concerned.

94. The deadline for the submission of tenders shall not be earlier than two months after the day of publication of the information notice (\(^{(*)}\)). The date of commencement of the period of exclusivity must be mentioned in the invitation to tender. This date will usually also correspond to the start date of the contract with the selected air carrier, but the contract can also start later. The contract and the operations under it cannot start before the indicated start date of exclusivity.

95. According to Article 17(4) of the Regulation, in case the tender concerns a route to which the access had already been limited to one air carrier, the invitation to tender will be published at least six months before the start of the new concession. As emerges from the provision, this minimum period is intended to ensure that the continued necessity of the restricted access be properly assessed (\(^{(*)}\)). It is also possible to publish an information notice announcing the re-opening of the route when a contract with exclusive carrier comes to end and the route becomes an open PSO route again. However, a new invitation to tender in accordance with the Regulation also makes it possible for air carriers to be informed and to announce their willingness to operate without exclusivity and compensation.

\(^{(*)}\) Article 16(10) of Regulation No 1008/2008. See paragraph 94 below.

\(^{(**)}\) Cf. point 72 above.

\(^{(**)}\) Article 17(2) of Regulation No 1008/2008.

\(^{(*)}\) DG MOVE requests the publication via Secretariat-General of the Commission. Member States should not contact directly the Publications Office of the European Union. Also these information notices will be published on the DG MOVE website, in its newsletter and on the dedicated CIRCABC-newsgroup.

\(^{(*)}\) Article 16(1) of Regulation No 1008/2008.

\(^{(**)}\) Article 17(4) of Regulation No 1008/2008.

\(^{(*)}\) Article 17(4) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
96. The Member State must inform the Commission without delay about the results of the public tender (\(^{73}\)). There is no template available for this purpose at the moment. The Commission may request the Member State to communicate, within one month, all relevant documents relating to the selection of an air carrier for the PSO (\(^{74}\)).

8. COMPENSATION AND RELATION TO THE STATE AID RULES

8.1. Possibility and amount of compensation

97. The tender procedure aims to award the exclusive right to operate the PSO route to a single air carrier. According to Article 17(8) of the Regulation, the Member State concerned may compensate the air carrier selected by the tender, for satisfying the standards required by the PSO. Even when an air carrier offers to operate the route without compensation, the exclusive right can only be conferred by public tender (\(^{75}\)).

98. The compensation may not exceed the amount required to cover the net costs incurred in discharging each PSO, taking account of revenue relating thereto kept by the air carrier and a reasonable profit (\(^{76}\)). The term ‘reasonable profit’ has not been defined in Regulation No 1008/2008. In the context of services of general economic interest, this term is defined in Article 5(5) of Commission Decision 2012/21/EU (\(^{77}\)) (hereinafter ‘SGEI Decision’) as the rate of return on capital that would be required by a typical undertaking considering whether or not to provide the service of general economic interest for the whole period of entrustment, taking into account the level of risk. According to Article 5(7) of that Decision, ‘where the provision of the service of general economic interest is not connected with a substantial commercial or contractual risk, in particular when the net cost incurred in providing the service of general economic interest is essentially compensated ex post in full, the reasonable profit may not exceed the relevant swap rate plus a premium of 100 basis points.’ (\(^{78}\)) It seems reasonable to apply these principles by analogy in the context of air transport PSOs under the Regulation. If the profit would exceed the reasonable level, the compensation may also raise issues as regards compliance with State aid law.

99. The amount of compensation paid to the air carrier should be calculated on the basis of effective, actual costs and revenues from operating the route as recorded by the air carrier. In order for these to be transparent and verifiable, the air carrier needs to maintain a sufficiently detailed accounting system to permit the calculation of costs and revenues corresponding to this specific PSO route. In any event, the compensation is limited to the amount displayed in the air carrier’s tender.

8.2. Relation to State aid rules

100. First, it must be recalled that the State aid assessment takes place independently from the assessment under the provisions of Regulation No 1008/2008. However, if the requirements of Regulation No 1008/2008 are not fulfilled, for example in respect of the definition of the PSO, and where a financial compensation is attached to such a PSO, the requirements of the State aid rules cannot be fulfilled either. While the Commission services concerned work closely together in assessing the PSO schemes, it is important to emphasise that the State aid notifications, where these are required (\(^{79}\)), are separate from notification of the PSO scheme to the Commission; at administrative level, the latter is dealt with by DG MOVE whereas any necessary State aid notifications are dealt with by the Commission’s Directorate General for Competition (known as ‘DG COMP’). Both sets of rules and procedures need to be respected, and complaints to the Commission are possible under both of them.

\(^{73}\) Article 17(9) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
\(^{74}\) Article 17(10) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
\(^{75}\) Article 16(10) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
\(^{76}\) Article 17(8) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
\(^{77}\) Commission Decision of 20 December 2011 on the application of Article 106(2) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union to State aid in the form of public service compensation granted to certain undertakings entrusted with the operation of services of general economic interest (OJ L 7, 11.1.2012, p. 3).
\(^{79}\) It should be recalled that if the Altmark criteria (see footnote 83) or the provisions of SGEI Decision (see footnote 85) are complied with, no such notification is necessary.
8.2.1. **Public subsidies granted to compensate for public service obligations**

101. The Regulation applies in the same way whether compensation is granted or not. However, when compensation for PSOs is granted, this must be done in compliance with the Treaty State aid rules (Art. 107-109 TFEU), as interpreted by the Court of Justice, and with the rules contained in the Commission instruments governing State aid for the provision of Services of General Economic Interest (SGEI). Those Commission instruments are:

(a) a Communication (80) that clarifies the key concepts underlying the application of the State aid rules to public service compensation, as well as the conditions (so called ‘Altmark’ criteria; see below in next point) under which public service compensation does not constitute State aid;

(b) Commission Regulation (EU) No 360/2012 on ‘de minimis’ aid for the provision of SGEI (hereinafter: ‘Regulation No 360/2012’) (82), providing that public service compensation in an amount not exceeding EUR 500 000 over any period of three fiscal years is deemed not to constitute aid, provided that the conditions set out in that Regulation are fulfilled;

(c) The SGEI Decision that sets out the conditions under which State aid in the form of public service compensation is compatible with the internal market and exempt from the requirement of notification. The scope of this Decision covers PSO compensation that is granted to air carriers as regards air links to islands where the average annual traffic does not exceed 300 000 passengers and that complies with Regulation No 1008/2008;

(d) a Communication on the Framework for State aid in the form of public service compensation (83) (hereinafter ‘SGEI Framework’) that sets out the conditions under which compensation subject to the notification requirement (84) may be declared by the Commission compatible with Article 106(2) TFEU;

(e) a Commission Guide on the application of the EU rules on State aid, public procurement and the internal market to services of general economic interest, and in particular to social services of general interest (85), providing further guidance on the application of the SGEI rules.

8.2.2. **The Altmark criteria**

102. In its judgment in the case of Altmark Trans GmbH and Regierungspräsidium Magdeburg v Nahverkehrsgesellschaft Altmark GmbH (Altmark), the Court of Justice held that public service compensation does not constitute State aid within the meaning of Article 107 TFEU, provided that four cumulative criteria are met:

(1) the recipient undertaking must actually have PSOs to discharge, and those PSOs must be clearly defined;

(2) the parameters on the basis of which the compensation is calculated must be established in advance in an objective and transparent manner;

(3) the compensation cannot exceed what is necessary to cover all or part of the costs incurred in the discharge of the PSOs, taking into account the relevant receipts and a reasonable profit;

(4) where the undertaking which is to discharge the PSOs, in a specific case, is not chosen pursuant to a public tender procedure which would allow for the selection of the tenderer capable of providing those services at the least cost to the community, the level of compensation needed must be determined on the basis of an analysis of the costs which a typical undertaking, well run and adequately provided with means of transport, would have incurred.

(80) Communication from the Commission on the application of the European Union State aid rules to compensation granted for the provision of services of general economic interest (OJ C 8, 11.1.2012, p. 4).

(81) Case C-280/00 Altmark Trans GmbH and Regierungspräsidium Magdeburg v Nahverkehrsgesellschaft Altmark GmbH.


(84) That is when the public service compensation does not meet the Altmark criteria, is not covered by the SGEI de minimis regulation and is not block exempted under the Decision.

103. Where those four criteria are met, compensation for PSOs does not constitute State aid and a notification of State aid to the Commission is not needed in this case (however, as mentioned earlier, the notification and publication requirements of Regulation No 1008/2008 remain applicable) (86). It is primarily for the national authorities concerned to assess whether these four criteria are fulfilled by any compensation granted pursuant to Article 17(8) of Regulation No 1008/2008.

104. The provisions of Regulation No 1008/2008 have been modelled on the criteria mentioned above: Article 17(3)(a) covers the first Altmark criterion, Article 17(3)(e) the second, Article 17(8) the third and, with regard to the fourth Altmark criterion, Article 16(10) provides for the use of a tender procedure. While Article 16(10) does not further qualify the tender procedure, according to the ruling in Altmark, the procedure chosen should lead to the provision of the service at the least cost to the community. Therefore, when applying the fourth Altmark criterion, if there is only one bid submitted in the tender procedure, it cannot normally be presumed that this criterion has been fulfilled, unless (i) there are particularly strong safeguards in the design of the procedure ensuring the provision of the service at the least cost to the community or (ii) the Member State concerned verifies through additional means that the outcome corresponds to the least cost to the community. This means that if the conditions of Regulation No 1008/2008 are complied with, in light of the explanations given above, it can in principle be considered that there is no State aid.

105. In case it cannot be excluded that, in view of the criteria established in Altmark, there may be State aid, the Member State concerned should ensure in another manner that the State aid rules are complied with. This case may for example arise where, under the emergency conditions of Article 16(12) of the Regulation, the air carrier is selected without an open tender procedure, by mutual agreement between Member State authorities and the air carrier. In such cases the Member State should check if the amount of compensation does not imply the provision of State aid on the basis of the Altmark criteria or Regulation No 360/2012. In the opposite case, it is also possible that the aid is compatible with the internal market under the SGEI Decision, in which case no notification is required, or that it needs to be notified, but can be declared compatible by the Commission pursuant to Article 106(2) TFEU if the conditions of the SGEI Framework are met.

9. THE EMERGENCY PROCEDURE

106. During the course of an exclusive concession, the EU air carrier that was selected through the public tender may be forced to terminate the provision of the services at short notice, for example because of financial insolvability. Under these circumstances, where necessary, Article 16(12) of the Regulation allows for an emergency procedure to avoid an interruption of air the services concerned. The procedure only applies to the sudden and unexpected interruption of air services on a PSO route where the services were already being carried out by an air carrier selected through a public tender. It does not apply where national procedures for modifying PSOs or renewing tenders have taken an unexpectedly long time (87).

107. The emergency procedure cannot be applied inter alia in the following situations:

— an airline was operating the route without exclusivity (open PSO);

— the interruption of air services is due to the end of the contract (as this interruption of services is predictable and therefore not sudden). A new invitation to tender should have been published at least six months before the start of the new concession period;

— the interruption of air services follows an advance notice of at least six months given by the operating air carrier (the interruption of services is not sudden or unpredictable and there is enough time to launch a new tender without the emergency procedure) (88).

108. In case of sudden interruption of service by the air carrier selected in accordance with the before-mentioned tender procedure, the Member State may select a different EU air carrier to operate the PSO for a period of up to

(86) For instance, it should be underlined that the first Altmark criterion, as well as the SGEI Decision and the SGEI Framework all require the existence of a ‘genuine’ Service of General Economic Interest, which in the context of air transport implies that Art. 16 and 17 of Regulation No 1008/2008 must be complied with.

(87) The Commission has noted a more widespread use of the emergency procedures and resorting to national award procedures in cases that may not in fact qualify as emergencies. This is tendency that it intends to monitor and control closely and address it where necessary.

(88) Article 17(4) of Regulation No 1008/2008 and point 97 above.
seven months if the amount of compensations remains in line with Article 17(8) of the Regulation (*) and if the selection of the new air carrier is made among EU air carriers in compliance with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination. A new invitation to tender for a regular contract period shall also be launched immediately, which is also implied by the interaction of the time limits explained immediately below.

109. The seven-month period is to be counted from the start of the operations by the newly selected air carrier. It cannot be renewed. Indeed, as the invitation to tender aims for the renewal of an already existing exclusive right, the invitation to tender has to be launched at least six months in advance in accordance with Article 17(4) of the Regulation.

110. The principles of transparency and non-discrimination for the selection of the air carrier should be respected, taking into account the particular circumstances. Indeed, the emergency procedure applies when not enough time is available to launch a formal tender because a new air carrier has to be found expeditiously. Still, the authorities should contact as quickly as possible several air carriers likely to be interested in flying the route concerned. This could typically be air carriers already active in the region and/or having participated in the last tender procedure.

111. The Member State concerned shall without delay inform the Commission and other Member States concerned of the emergency procedure and of its reasons (**). It is recommended that the Member State also informs the Commission on any contacts with other air carriers and on the outcome of these contacts. The Commission may also arrange for the publication of a notice in the *Official Journal of the European Union*, although such publication is not required in the case of the emergency procedure.

112. At the request of a Member State, or on its own initiative, the Commission may, in accordance with the relevant advisory procedure suspend the emergency procedure if it considers after its assessment that it does not meet the requirements of the emergency procedure set out in the Regulation or is otherwise contrary to EU law (**).  

10. **PSO TENDER PROCEDURES AND RELATION TO THE PROCUREMENT RULES**

113. Procedures in Regulation No 1008/2008 always need to be applied when organising tenders for procurement of air services. When the competent authority of a Member State concludes a public service contract, it has to respect the applicable procurement rules. On 26 February 2014, the European Parliament and the Council adopted Directives 2014/24/EU and 2014/25/EU (**), which lay down the rules concerning public service contracts.

114. On the same date, the European Parliament and the Council adopted a new Directive 2014/23/EU on the award of service concession contracts (***) hereinafter Directive 2014/23 and according to the Commission’s experience, most of contracts awarded in application of Article 17 of the Regulation No 1008/2008 constitute ‘service concessions’ within the meaning of this new Directive. In particular, by means of such contract the competent authority entrusts the provision of the air services to one air carrier for a certain period of time. The air carrier is obliged to provide the transport service stipulated in the contract, normally against a financial compensation from the authority (**). The air carrier in principle bears the operating risk (if this is not the case, such a contract qualifies in principle as a public contract within the meaning of Directives 2014/24 or Directive 2014/25), encompassing the risk related to the demand for his transport services, since the competent authorities usually do not guarantee in the contract that the air carrier would recoup all the investments made or the costs incurred in performing his contractual obligations (**). The qualification as a concession is important, as Article 10(3) of Directive 2014/23 on the award of concession contracts states explicitly that it shall not apply to concessions for air transport services based on the granting of an operating licence within the meaning of Regulation No 1008/2008. In the rare cases where the arrangement could be qualified as a public service contract which is covered by Directive 2014/24 or Directive 2014/25, as the case may be, then the applicable Directive would in principle apply simultaneously with Regulation No 1008/2008, which latter, as lex specialis, takes precedence in case of conflict.

(*) As always, State aid rules have equally to be complied with.
(**) Article 16(12), last subparagraph, first sentence, of Regulation No 1008/2008.
(***) Ibidem, second sentence.
(*****) See also Case C-205/99, Analir v Administración General del Estado [2001] ECR I-1271, paragraphs 63 and 65.
(******) The difference in the level of risk would also have an effect on the definition of the reasonable profit.
11. INVESTIGATION AND DECISION-MAKING POWERS OF THE COMMISSION

115. Member States must take all necessary measures to ensure that any decision (including award decisions) on PSO can be reviewed effectively and as soon as possible, on the grounds of an infringement of EU law or national rules implementing that law (\(^9\)).

116. In accordance with Article 18(1) of the Regulation, the Commission can request the Member State to communicate, within two months, a justification document for the PSO and its compliance with the criteria mentioned in Article 16, analysis of the economy of the region, analysis of the proportionality between the envisaged obligations and the economic development objectives and finally an analysis of existing air services, if any, and of the other modes of transport available which could be considered a substitute for the envisaged imposition. The right to receive the documents and analysis referred to in Article 18(1)(a)-(d) allows the Commission to be informed on the PSO and on its justification. These documents produced by the Member State concerned may also clarify the background of the PSO and its adequacy under the Regulation.

117. The Commission shall undertake an investigation at the request of a Member State or on its own initiative under Article 18(2) of the Regulation.

118. According to Article 18(2), the Commission shall take a decision \(^\text{(*)}\), on the basis of all relevant factors, on whether Articles 16 and 17 shall continue to apply in respect of the route concerned. The aim is to ensure the proper application of the rules relevant both to the imposition of the PSO as such and to the limitation of access under Article 16(9) and the amount of any compensation granted.

\(^{(*)}\) Article 18(1) of Regulation No 1008/2008. Whether this is done by an administrative body or court, is for the Member States to decide.

\(^{(*)}\) Applying the relevant advisory procedure in accordance with Article 18(2) of Regulation No 1008/2008.
ANNEX I

Questionnaire developed by DG COMP and DG MOVE for notification of PSO to the Commission

Notification of Public Service Obligations (PSO) imposed on the basis of Articles 16 and 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008 (1)

* Please attach this form to those that have to be sent to DG MOVE to be published in EU Official Journal.

* The form should be sent to MOVE-PSO@ec.europa.eu

* Pages 1 and 2 should be sent together with the notification of the imposition of the PSO.

* Page 3 should be sent together with the notification of the call for tenders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information on the region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region served by the PSO (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this region peripheral or is it a development region? Is the route a thin route considered vital for the economic and social development of the region served by the airport?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justification relating to the needs of the region (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information on the route(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Since when has the PSO been imposed on the route (4)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total traffic on the route during the last two years (expressed in number of passengers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total traffic (5) at the airports served during the last two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination airport (serving the concerned region)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin airport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information on alternative air services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative airports to the destination/origin airport (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


(2) Please indicate in particular the cities to be served by the PSO routes.

(3) Please indicate the reasons mentioned by the Article 16(1) and (3)(a) of Regulation No 1008/2008 and which justify the need to impose the PSO.

(4) Please attach the text of the last imposition or modification of the PSO on the basis of Regulation No 1008/2008 or, if applicable, of Regulation 2408/92.

(5) Passengers at departure and arrival.

(6) This list should include at least the airports situated within a 150 km radius or a 1h 30 minutes isochrones from the city/cities of the concerned region.
### Information on alternative air services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of alternative air services (frequencies, schedules, stopovers, seasonality)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of travel times between the air service covered by PSO and alternative services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion on the absence of a valid alternative for passengers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Information on the alternative modes of transport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other modes of transport available (please tick)</th>
<th>Maritime?</th>
<th>Rail?</th>
<th>Road?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of the services offered by alternative modes of transport (frequencies, schedules, stopovers, seasonality)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of the travel times between the air service covered by PSO and alternative services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion on the absence of a valid alternative for passengers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Information on the PSO

| Brief presentation of the PSO in terms of fares, schedules, frequencies and capacity. |  |
| How have these service aspects been established? |  |

### Information on the call for tenders

| Specify if the call for tenders concerns several routes for reasons of operational efficiency |  |
| Specify if the call for tenders aims to offer exclusivity without financial compensation. If negative, please explain why this option is not appropriate. |  |
| Specify if financial compensation has been offered and the amount |  |
| Specify how the compensation offered through the call for tenders and the terms of reference has been calculated. |  |
Information concerning State aid

The Commission services wish to draw the attention of national authorities to the fact that compliance with the requirements of Regulation No 1008/2008 is without prejudice to the assessment against State aid rules of a possible financial compensation granted pursuant to Article 17 (8) of Regulation No 1008/2008 (see in particular paragraph 68 of Communication from the Commission of 20 December 2011 on the application of the European Union State aid rules to compensation granted for the provision of services of general economic interest (1)).

In particular, the compliance of such a compensation with Articles 17 (3), 17(8) and 16(10) of Regulation No 1008/2008 is not sufficient to consider that the four cumulative conditions of the Altmark ruling (2) are fulfilled. It is for the national authorities to assess whether these four conditions are fulfilled by any compensation granted pursuant to Article 17 (8) of Regulation No 1008/2008. If this is not the case, the compensation in question constitutes State aid (de minimis aid as defined in Regulation 360/2012 is regarded as not fulfilling all the criteria of Article 107 (1) of the TFEU and is thus not subject to the notification obligation laid down in Article 108 (3) of the Treaty (3)).

Such State aid may be declared compatible and exempted from the notification obligation if the criteria of the Commission Decision on State aid in the form of public service compensation (4) are met. In the opposite case, the notification obligation laid down in Article 108 (3) of the Treaty applies. The compensation may then be declared compatible with the internal market on the basis of the European Union framework for State aid in the form of public service compensation (5). In order to limit the number of notifications, the Member States may notify aid schemes instead of individual aid measures.

---

(2) Case C-280/00 Altmark Trans GmbH, Regierungspräsidium Magdeburg and Nahverkehrsgesellschaft Altmark GmbH, paragraphs 87 to 93. The four conditions are the following: 1. the recipient undertaking must actually have public service obligations to discharge, and the obligations must be clearly defined. 2. the parameters on the basis of which the compensation is calculated must be established in advance in an objective and transparent manner, to avoid it conferring an economic advantage which may favour the recipient undertaking over competing undertakings. 3. the compensation cannot exceed what is necessary to cover all or part of the costs incurred in the discharge of public service obligations, taking into account the relevant receipts and a reasonable profit for discharging those obligations. 4. where the undertaking which is to discharge public service obligations, in a specific case, is not chosen pursuant to a public procurement procedure which would allow for the selection of the tenderer capable of providing those services at the least cost to the community, the level of compensation needed must be determined on the basis of an analysis of the costs which a typical undertaking, well run and adequately provided with means of transport so as to be able to meet the necessary public service requirements, would have incurred in discharging those obligations, taking into account the relevant receipts and a reasonable profit for discharging the obligations.
(4) Commission Decision of 20 December 2011 on the application of Article 106(2) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union to State aid in the form of public service compensation granted to certain undertakings entrusted with the operation of services of general economic interest (OJ L 7, 11.1.2012, p. 3). It concerns for instance routes to islands on which the annual traffic during the two preceding financial years did not exceed 300 000 passengers.
ANNEX II

Extract of provisions concerning PSOS in Regulation No 1008/2008


Recitals 11 – 12 and Articles 16 – 18:

Whereas:

(11) To take into account the special characteristics and constraints of the outermost regions, in particular their remoteness, insularity and small size, and the need to properly link them with the central regions of the Community, special arrangements may be justified regarding the rules on the period of validity of the contracts for public service obligations covering routes to such regions.

(12) The conditions under which public service obligations may be imposed should be defined clearly in an unambiguous way, while the associated tender procedures should allow a sufficient number of competitors to take part in the tenders. The Commission should be able to obtain as much information as necessary to be able to assess the economic justifications for public service obligations in individual cases.

Article 16

General principles for public service obligations

1. A Member State, following consultations with the other Member States concerned and after having informed the Commission, the airports concerned and air carriers operating on the route, may impose a public service obligation in respect of scheduled air services between an airport in the Community and an airport serving a peripheral or development region in its territory or on a thin route to any airport on its territory any such route being considered vital for the economic and social development of the region which the airport serves. That obligation shall be imposed only to the extent necessary to ensure on that route the minimum provision of scheduled air services satisfying fixed standards of continuity, regularity, pricing or minimum capacity, which air carriers would not assume if they were solely considering their commercial interest.

The fixed standards imposed on the route subject to that public service obligation shall be set in a transparent and non-discriminatory way.

2. In instances where other modes of transport cannot ensure an uninterrupted service with at least two daily frequencies, the Member States concerned may include in the public service obligation the requirement that any Community air carrier intending to operate the route gives a guarantee that it will operate the route for a certain period, to be specified, in accordance with the other terms of the public service obligation.

3. The necessity and the adequacy of an envisaged public service obligation shall be assessed by the Member State(s) having regard to:

(a) the proportionality between the envisaged obligation and the economic development needs of the region concerned;

(b) the possibility of having recourse to other modes of transport and the ability of such modes to meet the transport needs under consideration, in particular when existing rail services serve the envisaged route with a travel time of less than three hours and with sufficient frequencies, connections and suitable timings;

(c) the air fares and conditions which can be quoted to users;

(d) the combined effect of all air carriers operating or intending to operate on the route.

4. When a Member State wishes to impose a public service obligation, it shall communicate the text of the envisaged imposition of the public service obligation to the Commission, to the other Member States concerned, to the airports concerned and to the air carriers operating the route in question.

The Commission shall publish an information notice in the Official Journal of the European Union:

(a) identifying the two airports connected by the route concerned and possible intermediate stop-over point(s);

(b) mentioning the date of entry into force of the public service obligation; and
(c) indicating the complete address where the text and any relevant information and/or documentation related to the public service obligation shall be made available without delay and free of charge by the Member State concerned.

5. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 4, with respect to routes where the number of passengers expected to use the air service is less than 10,000 per annum, the information notice on a public service obligation shall be published either in the *Official Journal of the European Union* or in the national official journal of the Member State concerned.

6. The date of entry into force of a public service obligation shall not be earlier than the date of publication of the information notice referred to in the second subparagraph of paragraph 4.

7. When a public service obligation has been imposed in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 2 the Community air carrier shall be able to offer seat-only sales provided that the air service in question meets all the requirements of the public service obligation. Consequently that air service shall be considered as a scheduled air service.

8. When a public service obligation has been imposed in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 2, any other Community air carrier shall at any time be allowed to commence scheduled air services meeting all the requirements of the public service obligation, including the period of operation that may be required in accordance with paragraph 2.

9. Notwithstanding paragraph 8, if no Community air carrier has commenced or can demonstrate that it is about to commence sustainable scheduled air services on a route in accordance with the public service obligation which has been imposed on that route, the Member State concerned may limit access to the scheduled air services on that route to only one Community air carrier for a period of up to four years, after which the situation shall be reviewed.

This period may be up to five years if the public service obligation is imposed on a route to an airport serving an outermost region, referred to in Article 299(2) of the Treaty.

10. The right to operate the services referred to in paragraph 9 shall be offered by public tender in accordance with Article 17, either singly or, in cases where justified for reasons of operational efficiency, for a group of such routes to any Community air carrier entitled to operate such air services. For reasons of administrative efficiency, a Member State may issue a single invitation to tender covering different routes.

11. A public service obligation shall be deemed to have expired if no scheduled air service has been operated during a period of 12 months on the route subject to such obligation.

12. In case of sudden interruption of service by the Community air carrier selected in accordance with Article 17, either singly or, in cases where justified for reasons of operational efficiency, for a group of such routes to any Community air carrier entitled to operate such air services, the Member State concerned may, in case of emergency, select by mutual agreement a different Community air carrier to operate the public service obligation for a period up to seven months, not renewable, under the following conditions:

(a) any compensation paid by the Member State shall be made in compliance with Article 17(8);

(b) the selection shall be made among Community air carriers in compliance with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination;

(c) a new call for tender shall be launched.

The Commission and the Member State(s) concerned shall be informed without delay of the emergency procedure and of its reasons. At the request of a Member State, or on its own initiative, the Commission may, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 25(2) suspend the procedure if it considers after its assessment that it does not meet the requirements of this paragraph or is otherwise contrary to Community law.

**Article 17**

**Public tender procedure for public service obligation**

1. The public tender required in Article 16(10) shall be conducted according to the procedure set out in paragraphs 2 to 10 of this Article.

2. The Member State concerned shall communicate the entire text of the invitation to tender to the Commission except where, in accordance with Article 16(5), it has made the public service obligation known through the publication of a notice in its national official journal. In such case the tender shall also be published in the national official journal.

3. The invitation to tender and the subsequent contract shall cover, inter alia, the following points:

(a) the standards required by the public service obligation;

(b) rules concerning amendment and termination of the contract, in particular to take account of unforeseeable changes;

(c) the period of validity of the contract;
(d) penalties in the event of failure to comply with the contract;
(e) objective and transparent parameters on the basis of which compensation, if any, for the discharging of the public service obligations shall be calculated.

4. The Commission shall make the invitation to tender known through an information notice published in the *Official Journal of the European Union*. The deadline for submission of tenders shall not be earlier than two months after the day of publication of such an information notice. In case the tender concerns a route to which the access had already been limited to one carrier in accordance with Article 16(9), the invitation to tender will be published at least six months before the start of the new concession in order to assess the continued necessity of the restricted access.

5. The information notice shall provide the following information:
(a) Member State(s) concerned;
(b) air route concerned;
(c) period of validity of the contract;
(d) complete address where the text of the invitation to tender and any relevant information and/or documentation related to the public tender and the public service obligation shall be made available by the Member State concerned;
(e) deadline for submission of tenders.

6. The Member State(s) concerned shall communicate without delay and free of charge any relevant information and documents requested by a party interested in the public tender.

7. The selection among the submissions shall be made as soon as possible taking into consideration the adequacy of the service, including the prices and conditions which can be quoted to users, and the cost of the compensation required from the Member State(s) concerned, if any.

8. The Member State concerned may compensate an air carrier, which has been selected under paragraph 7, for adhering to the standards required by a public service obligation imposed under Article 16. Such compensation may not exceed the amount required to cover the net costs incurred in discharging each public service obligation, taking account of revenue relating thereto kept by the air carrier and a reasonable profit.

9. The Commission shall be informed in writing and without delay of the results of the public tender and of the selection by the Member State including the following information:
(a) numbers, names and corporate information of tenderers;
(b) operational elements contained in the offers;
(c) compensation requested in the offers;
(d) name of the selected tenderer.

10. At a request of a Member State or on its own initiative, the Commission may request Member States to communicate, within one month, all relevant documents relating to the selection of an air carrier for the operation of a public service obligation. In case the requested documents are not communicated within the deadline, the Commission may decide to suspend the invitation to tender in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 25(2).

**Article 18**

**Examination of public service obligations**

1. Member States shall take all necessary measures to ensure that any decision taken under Articles 16 and 17 can be reviewed effectively and, in particular, as soon as possible on the grounds that such decisions have infringed Community law or national rules implementing Community law.

In particular, at a request of a Member State or on its own initiative, the Commission may request Member States to communicate, within two months:

(a) a document justifying the need for the public service obligation and its compliance with the criteria mentioned in Article 16;
(b) an analysis of the economy of the region;
(c) an analysis of the proportionality between the envisaged obligations and the economic development objectives;
(d) an analysis of the existing air services, if any, and of the other modes of transport available which could be considered a substitute for the envisaged imposition.
2. At the request of a Member State which considers that the development of a route is being unduly restricted by the terms of Articles 16 and 17, or on its own initiative, the Commission shall carry out an investigation and, within six months of receipt of the request and in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 25(2), shall take a decision on the basis of all relevant factors on whether Articles 16 and 17 shall continue to apply in respect of the route concerned.
Non-opposition to a notified concentration
(Case M.7746 — Teva/Allergan Generics)
(Text with EEA relevance)
(2017/C 194/02)

On 10 March 2016, the Commission decided not to oppose the above notified concentration and to declare it compatible with the internal market. This decision is based on Article 6(1)(b) in conjunction with Article 6(2) of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (1). The full text of the decision is available only in English and will be made public after it is cleared of any business secrets it may contain. It will be available:

— in the merger section of the Competition website of the Commission (http://ec.europa.eu/competition/mergers/cases/),
This website provides various facilities to help locate individual merger decisions, including company, case number, date and sectoral indexes,

— in electronic form on the EUR-Lex website (http://eur-lex.europa.eu/homepage.html?locale=en) under document number 32016M7746. EUR-Lex is the online access to European law.


Non-opposition to a notified concentration
(Case M.8503 — Goldman Sachs/Eurazeo/Dominion Web Solutions)
(Text with EEA relevance)
(2017/C 194/03)

On 8 June 2017, the Commission decided not to oppose the above notified concentration and to declare it compatible with the internal market. This decision is based on Article 6(1)(b) of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (1). The full text of the decision is available only in English and will be made public after it is cleared of any business secrets it may contain. It will be available:

— in the merger section of the Competition website of the Commission (http://ec.europa.eu/competition/mergers/cases/). This website provides various facilities to help locate individual merger decisions, including company, case number, date and sectoral indexes,


IV
(Notices)

NOTICES FROM EUROPEAN UNION INSTITUTIONS, BODIES, OFFICES AND AGENCIES

COUNCIL

COUNCIL DECISION
of 8 June 2017
renewing the Governing Board of the European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training
(2017/C 194/04)

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 337/75 of 10 February 1975 establishing the European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training (¹), and in particular Article 4 thereof,

Having regard to the nomination submitted by the Hungarian Government,

Whereas:

(1) By its Decisions of 14 July 2015 (²) and of 14 September 2015 (³), the Council appointed the members of the Governing Board of the European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training for the period from 18 September 2015 to 17 September 2018.

(2) A member’s seat is available for Hungary on the Governing Board of the Centre in the category of Government representatives as a result of the resignation of Mr Lázló ODRÓBINA.

(3) The members of the Governing Board of the aforementioned Centre should be appointed for the remainder of the current term of office, which expires on 17 September 2018,

HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

Article 1

The following person is hereby appointed member of the Governing Board of the European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training for the remainder of its term of office, which runs until 17 September 2018:

GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES

HUNGARY
Ms Krisztina VUKOV TOMORNÉ

(²) OJ C 232, 16.7.2015, p. 2.
Article 2

This Decision shall be published, for information, in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Done at Luxembourg, 8 June 2017.

*For the Council*

*The President*

*K. SIMSON*
EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Euro exchange rates (1)
16 June 2017
(2017/C 194/05)

1 euro =

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Exchange rate</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Exchange rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USD US dollar</td>
<td>1,1167</td>
<td>CAD Canadian dollar</td>
<td>1,4766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPY Japanese yen</td>
<td>124,33</td>
<td>HKD Hong Kong dollar</td>
<td>8,7108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKK Danish krone</td>
<td>7,4361</td>
<td>NZD New Zealand dollar</td>
<td>1,5441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP Pound sterling</td>
<td>0,87453</td>
<td>SGD Singapore dollar</td>
<td>1,5457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEK Swedish krona</td>
<td>9,7370</td>
<td>KRW South Korean won</td>
<td>1 265,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF Swiss franc</td>
<td>1,0885</td>
<td>ZAR South African rand</td>
<td>14,3831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISK Iceland króna</td>
<td>1,9558</td>
<td>CNY Chinese yuan renminbi</td>
<td>7,6089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOK Norwegian krone</td>
<td>9,4615</td>
<td>HRK Croatian kuna</td>
<td>7,3995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGN Bulgarian lev</td>
<td>26,233</td>
<td>MYR Malaysian ringgit</td>
<td>4,7756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZK Czech koruna</td>
<td>307,91</td>
<td>PHP Philippine peso</td>
<td>55,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUF Hungarian forint</td>
<td>3,6681</td>
<td>RUB Russian rouble</td>
<td>3,6681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLN Polish zloty</td>
<td>4,2184</td>
<td>THB Thai baht</td>
<td>37,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RON Romanian leu</td>
<td>4,5835</td>
<td>BRL Brazilian real</td>
<td>20,0887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRY Turkish lira</td>
<td>3,9225</td>
<td>MXN Mexican peso</td>
<td>71,9515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD Australian dollar</td>
<td>1,4683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Source: reference exchange rate published by the ECB.
COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION
of 15 June 2017


(2017/C 194/06)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,


Whereas:

(1) Spain has sent an application for amendment of the specification for the name ‘Almansa’ in accordance with Article 105 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013.

(2) The Commission has examined the application and concluded that the conditions laid down in Articles 93 to 96, Article 97(1), and Articles 100, 101 and 102 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 have been met.

(3) In order to allow for the presentation of statements of opposition in accordance with Article 98 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013, the application for amendment of the specification for the name ‘Almansa’ should be published in the Official Journal of the European Union,

HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

Sole Article

The application for amendment of the specification for the name ‘Almansa’ (PDO), in accordance with Article 105 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013, is contained in the Annex to this Decision.

In accordance with Article 98 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013, the publication of this Decision confers the right to oppose the amendment of the specification referred to in the first paragraph of this Article within 2 months of the date of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Done at Brussels, 15 June 2017.

For the Commission

Phil HOGAN

Member of the Commission

ANNEX

‘ALMANSA’

AOP-ES-A0044-AM03

Date of submission of the application: 31 August 2015

Application for amendment of the specification

1. Rules applicable to the amendment
   Article 105 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 — Non-minor amendment

2. Description and reasons for the amendment
   2.1. Product description
   The amendments are due to changes on the wine market where consumers increasingly demand wine with low alcohol content and wine from new vine varieties which are best adapted to our soil and climate.

   In addition, the actual alcoholic strength of young white, rosé, young red and 'roble' red wines is specified for semi-dry, semi-sweet and sweet wines, with a minimum alcohol content of 9 % being indicated for this type of wine.

   In view of demand and market competition, the Almansa designation of origin relies on the production of quality sparkling wines which are opening up to a new market and which continue to promote the Almansa designation of origin.

   In this section, therefore, the parameters are set for the production of quality sparkling wines bearing the Almansa designation of origin.

   2.2. Oenological practices used
   For young white wine, young red wine, barrel-fermented white wine and 'Crianza' white wine, the maximum pressing pressure is deleted while the maximum harvest yield is retained. The harvest yield is the yield measured and it is used as the basis to determine the pressure limit at which pressing is carried out.

   The limit on the duration of maceration is removed for young rosé wine because it is not possible to set the minimum and maximum periods of maceration for the production of a wine as that duration will depend on the ripeness of the grapes, the temperature of the must during maceration and the grape variety. This will prevent the rosé wines from having too strong a colour, too much tannin, being very robust and difficult to sell.

   A new paragraph is added for quality sparkling wine, specifying that quality sparkling wine must be adapted to the requirements set out in letter C of Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 606/2009. The Almansa designation of origin will be expanded to include these wines. In addition, it will be possible to indicate the type of fermentation in accordance with Article 66(4) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 607/2009.

   2.3. Definition of the geographical area
   This paragraph contains a request to change the method of defining the geographical area so that it is defined by municipalities instead of by cadastral polygons as is currently the case. From 1975 (Order No 16414 of 19 May 1975 governing the Almansa designation of origin and its regulatory board) to 2006 (Order of 1 February 2006 of the Regional Ministry of Agriculture laying down the production standards and other characteristics or technical specifications of wine with the Almansa designation of origin), the geographical area was defined by municipalities; in 2006, the wine production standard was amended and the geographical area was defined by cadastral polygons.

   The current definition of the geographical area was determined on the basis of the areas planted with vines. This geographical area is not continuous, with islands between the cadastral polygons indicated in the production standard, and does not correspond to the historic production area of the Almansa designation of origin, given that the areas not included meet the production conditions of Almansa as set out in the Almansa DO and should therefore be included in the specifications. The proposed amendment will avoid errors in the future due to land consolidation and due to changes in the nomenclature/numbering of cadastral polygons in the land register.
It is therefore requested that the definition of the geographical area for the Almansa designation of origin includes parcels and vineyard plots located in the following municipalities:

— Almansa
— Alpera
— Bonete
— Corral Rubio
— Higueruela
— Hoya Gonzalo
— Pétrola
— the area of the municipality of Chinchilla, corresponding to the Villar de Chinchilla district, bounded by service road AB-402 (going from Horna to Venta de Alhama) and bordering on one side the municipalities of Pétrola and Corral Rubio and on the other the municipalities of Bonete, Higueruela and Hoya Gonzalo.

All of these municipalities form a homogeneous area where soil and climate conditions are comparable and demonstrate the characteristics required by the product specification for the Almansa designation of origin, as stated in the production standard for this wine before 2006.

2.4. Vine varieties

The 'Pinot Noir' black grape variety has been included (as a secondary variety), since it has been grown in the geographical area since 2000 and it allows wines of the quality required by the Almansa designation of origin to be produced.

2.5. Link

This paragraph clarifies the links with the geographical area as regards quality sparkling wine.

The soil and climate conditions, the location of the area, the wine-producing experience of the wine growers and the varieties of the designation of origin provide the necessary conditions for producing grapes of the quality required for the designation of origin to be used in the production of quality sparkling wine.

2.6. Names and addresses of control authorities

The names and addresses of the competent control authorities at the time of submitting the request for amendment have been indicated and the link to the website providing updated information on the control authorities for the PDO Almansa has been maintained.

SINGLE DOCUMENT

1. Name

Almansa

2. Type of geographical indication

PDO — Protected Designation of Origin

3. Grapevine product categories

1. Wine

5. Quality sparkling wine

4. Description of the wine(s)

Young white and rosé wines, dry

The white wines are light and moderately aromatic, with medium alcoholic strength and a strong yellow colour.

The rosé wines are strawberry pink to raspberry in colour. They are fresh and light with medium acidity. In the mouth, they are lively and fruity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General analytical characteristics:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Minimum total acidity | 4,5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid
Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre) | 10
Maximum total sulphur dioxide content (in milligrams per litre) | 180

Young white and rosé wines, semi-dry, semi-sweet and sweet
The appearance and aroma of these wines resemble those of other dry wines produced from the same variety.

Their flavour is balanced in terms of alcoholic strength, acidity and residual sugar content.

General analytical characteristics:

| Maximum total alcoholic strength (in % volume) | 11,5 |
| Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume) | 9 |
| Minimum total acidity | 4,5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid |
| Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre) | 10 |
| Maximum total sulphur dioxide content (in milligrams per litre) | 180 |

Barrel-fermented white wine and ‘Crianza’ white wine
The appearance of this wine is clean and bright, with a straw yellow colour and/or golden tones.

Its smell contains primary and tertiary smoky aromas from the barrel. Medium to high intensity.

In the mouth, it is balanced and mild on the taste buds, with a fruity after-taste and hints of raw wood.

General analytical characteristics:

| Maximum total alcoholic strength (in % volume) | 11,5 |
| Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume) | 11,5 |
| Minimum total acidity | 4,5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid |
| Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre) | 11,7 |
| Maximum total sulphur dioxide content (in milligrams per litre) | 180 |

Young red wine and ‘roble’ red wine, dry wines
The red wines have a colour that is simple and bright, with shades of violet/garnet and/or purple. Their excellent level of acidity allows ageing and conservation over a long period of time. They have a very strong aroma and colour intensity, and are well blended with medium intensity.

The wines are full-bodied and fleshy, with a colour that is rich, balanced and slightly tannic. The ones that have been kept in oak barrels have a medium persistence and a retronasal aroma with smoky hints.
General analytical characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total acidity</td>
<td>4.5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre)</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total sulphur dioxide content (in milligrams per litre)</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Young red wine and young 'roble' red wine, semi-dry, semi-sweet and sweet wines
The appearance and aroma of these wines resemble those of other dry wines produced from the same variety.

Their flavour is balanced in terms of alcoholic strength, acidity and residual sugar content.

General analytical characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total acidity</td>
<td>4.5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre)</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total sulphur dioxide content (in milligrams per litre)</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

'Crianza', 'Reserva' and 'Gran reserva' red wines
Cherry red or ruby-red colour, with possible tile-coloured tones. Medium-intense or medium colour.

A good blend of fruity and barrel aromas or spicy aromas. Medium-high or high intensity.

Structured wine with medium tannin levels and a harmonious and long-lasting after-taste. *Maximum volatile acidity: 15 meq/l for aged wines.

General analytical characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total acidity</td>
<td>4.5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total sulphur dioxide content (in milligrams per litre)</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quality sparkling wine
Fine and long-lasting bubbles, pale to golden and brilliant tones for white wines, pink to tile-coloured tones for rosé wines. Clean and fruity aromas; in the reserve wines, the aromas are intense. In the mouth, they are balanced and lively.
General analytical characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total acidity</td>
<td>4 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total sulphur dioxide content (in milligrams per litre)</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Wine-making practices**

   a. **Essential oenological practices**

   Specific oenological practice

   The process of alcoholic fermentation must be carried out until all the fermentable sugars have been turned into alcohol; the temperature best suited to producing the characteristic primary aromas must not exceed 20 °C for white wines, 25 °C for rosé wines and 28 °C for red wines. Fermentation may be interrupted in order to maintain the residual sugar content, or, when starting from dry wines, by sweetening using rectified concentrated musts from the production area.

   The wines may be aged, the period of ageing being 18, 24 or 36 months, for ‘Crianza’, ‘Reserva’ and ‘Gran reserva’ wines, respectively. The barrels are to be made of oak and have a capacity of 330 litres.

   The quality sparkling wines are to be adapted to the requirements set out in Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 606/2009.

   b. **Maximum yields**

   White wine varieties from vines pruned to an open centre
   - 7 860 kg of grapes per hectare
   - 55 hectolitres per hectare

   Red wine varieties from vines pruned to an open centre
   - 6 430 kg of grapes per hectare
   - 45 hectolitres per hectare

   White wine varieties from espalier-trained vines
   - 11 430 kg of grapes per hectare
   - 80 hectolitres per hectare

   Red wine varieties from espalier-trained vines
   - 10 000 kg of grapes per hectare
   - 70 hectolitres per hectare

6. **Demarcated area**

   The area includes parcels and vineyard plots located in the following municipalities: Almansa, Alpera, Bonete, Corral Rubio, Higuereula, Hoya Gonzalo, Pétrola and Chinchilla, the area bounded by service road AB-402 (going from Horna to Venta de Alhama) and bordering on one side the municipalities of Pétrola and Corral Rubio and on the other the municipalities of Bonete, Higuereula and Hoya Gonzalo.
7. Main wine grapes

Verdejo

Garnacha Tintorera

Monastrell

8. Description of the link(s)

Wine

1) Details of the geographical area (natural and human factors).

The geographical area which includes the Almansa designation of origin is a high plateau, bordered to the east, across the Almansa corridor, by the old Kingdom of Valencia. For centuries this area marked the passage from the lands of the Levante to Castile. The main distinguishing factor between the territory which includes the Almansa designation of origin and the Levante is the difference in altitude, which ranges from 400 m (in the neighbouring town of Fuente La Higuera) to 700 m (in Almansa) above sea level, even though the two towns are only 15 km apart. The climate is extreme continental, with very cold, dry winters alternating with very hot summers. Rainfall is concentrated in the spring and late summer and the average annual rainfall does not exceed 250 mm.

The soil is generally rich in limestone and stony areas with a rather thin substrate alternate with other areas where the soil is sandier and deeper. The land is not normally very fertile and produces lower yields per hectare.

The municipalities making up the area of the Almansa designation of origin are all located within the province of Albacete. This is a highly rural area whose main urban area is the town of Almansa itself with its 26,000 inhabitants, with agriculture being the main resource of the region. The Almansa designation of origin was established in 1966.

2) Information on quality or characteristics of the wine which are fundamentally or exclusively due to the geographical environment.

The semi-arid continental climate of the production area of the Almansa designation of origin, together with the relatively infertile soil, make it easier to self-check production in vineyards, the average yield per hectare being 4,500 kg. This low yield per vine increases the colour concentration, the concentration of tannins and the aromatic richness of the red wines. During the ripening phase, the elevation above sea level leads to a very significant thermal inversion at night. This favours the production of high quality wines.

3) Link between the characteristics of the geographical area and the quality of the wine.

The production area of the Almansa designation of origin is located in a transition region and vineyards are situated on lowlands, characterised by permeable soils that are rich in limestone and low in nutrients; moreover, the average annual rainfall is low, not exceeding 250 mm. The low rainfall, permeability of the soil and low yield allow wines to be produced with a strong aroma and intense colour.

Quality sparkling wine

1) Details of the geographical area (natural and human factors).

The extreme climate, characterised by very cold, dry winters and very hot summers in the production area, as well as the average altitude, limestone-rich soils and wine-growing tradition of the Almansa designation of origin, constitute appropriate conditions for producing grapes of the quality required and ideal conditions for producing quality sparkling wine.

2) Information on quality or characteristics of the wine which are fundamentally or exclusively due to the geographical environment.

The low rainfall and relatively infertile soil lead to a low grape yield per hectare, lending body and balance to quality sparkling wines with the Almansa designation of origin, and giving them fine and long-lasting bubbles.

3) Link between the characteristics of the geographical area and the quality of the wine.

The extreme temperatures and richness of the limestone soil allow the authorised varieties to be grown, giving the wines body and balance; likewise, drought, low yields and sunshine, as well as a natural alcoholic strength, allow the production of quality sparkling wines with defined alcoholic strengths.
9. **Essential further conditions**

   Legal framework:

   In national legislation

   Type of further condition:

   Additional provisions relating to labelling

   Description of the condition:

   In order to use the name of a single specific vine variety, it is necessary for at least 86% of the grapes to come from that variety and for this to be recorded in the wine registers.

10. **Link to the product specification**

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION
of 16 June 2017


(2017/C 194/07)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,


Whereas:

(1) Spain has sent an application for amendment of the specification for the name ‘Méntrida’ in accordance with Article 105 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013.

(2) The Commission has examined the application and concluded that the conditions laid down in Articles 93 to 96, Article 97(1), and Articles 100, 101 and 102 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 have been met.

(3) In order to allow for the presentation of statements of opposition in accordance with Article 98 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013, the application for amendment of the specification for the name ‘Méntrida’ should be published in the Official Journal of the European Union,

HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

Sole Article

The application for amendment of the specification for the name ‘Méntrida’ (PDO), in accordance with Article 105 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013, is contained in the Annex to this Decision.

In accordance with Article 98 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013, the publication of this Decision confers the right to oppose the amendment of the specification referred to in the first paragraph of this Article within two months of the date of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Done at Brussels, 16 June 2017.

For the Commission

Phil HOGAN

Member of the Commission

ANNEX

‘MÉNTRIDA’
AOP-ES-A0047-AM03

Date of submission of the application: 15 July 2015

Request for amendment of the specification

1. Rules applicable to the amendment
   Article 105 — Non-minor amendment

2. Description of and reasons for the amendment

2.1. Product description
   In view of the current market demand and consumer demand, it is requested that sparkling white, rosé and red
   wines be included in the specification in order to:
   — direct part of the production towards a type of market that is less saturated in terms of the products on offer.
   — There has been an increase in the sales of these products, and we consider it appropriate to protect them
     through differentiated quality standards.
   — International markets demand that products be increasingly diverse and meet consumer needs. If we fail to
     diversify, we will not be competitive, and for this reason it has been decided to broaden the representation of
     the products in all ranges and prices, in particular for the purpose of export.

2.2. Oenological practices used
   Specific new oenological practices have been established for the new type of wine product ‘sparkling wines’.

2.3. Definition of the geographical area
   This paragraph contains a request to update the 17 municipalities of the production area, i.e.: Argés (only polygons
   3 and 5), Cardiel de los Montes, Carpio del Tajo (El), Carriches, Cazalegas, Erustes, Garciotún, Guadamur (only polygons
   17 and 18), Ilián de Vacas, Lominchar, Mata (La), Mesegar, Nuño Gómez, Olias del Rey, Palomeque, San Martín de Pusa
   and Talavera de la Reina.
   It is necessary to update these municipalities, because at the beginning they did not have vine plantings and were
   not covered by the first production standards established. Some of these municipalities were already included in
   the production area defined in the rules on the designation of origin published in 1966, 1976 and 1992, and
   these 17 municipalities are located geographically in the defined production area or border it.

   There are currently plantings of local vine varieties in the area, authorised in the specification of the PDO
   ‘Méntrrida’ and, as has been shown for all these municipalities, both their soil and their climate are similar to those
   of the municipalities in the area; therefore one can consider that the municipalities in question belong to the pro-
   duction area of the PDO ‘Méntrrida’.

   The characteristics of the soils, climate and varieties of these municipalities for which an update is requested are
   similar to those that already make up the production area of this designation of origin.

2.4. Link
   A new link has been established for the new type of wine product ‘sparkling wine’.

2.5. Names and addresses of control authorities
   The names and addresses of the competent control authorities at the time when the request for amendment was
   presented have been given, as has a link to the website providing updated information on the control authorities
   for the PDO ‘Méntrrida’.
1. **Name(s)**
   Méntrida (es)

2. **Type of geographical indication**
   PDO — Protected Designation of Origin

3. **Grapevine product categories**
   1. Wine
   4. Sparkling wine

4. **Description of the wine(s)**
   Dry white wine and dry white ‘Roble’ wine
   The white wines have a pale yellow/straw yellow colour, occasionally with greenish nuances (during the first few months, after which they disappear), subtly golden depending on the variety and, when applicable, the time spent in the barrel. The aromas are clean and of medium or high intensity. The fruity aromas are highlighted, with fresh fruity or herbal notes. The dominant aromas in white ‘Roble’ wine are those of pastry, such as cream, with a roasted undertone. They are flavoursome and aromatic.


   General analytical characteristics:

   | Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume): | 11 |
   | Minimum total acidity: | 5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid |
   | Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre): | 10 |

   White wine, white ‘Roble’ wine, semi-dry, semi-sweet and sweet wine
   The same visual and olfactory phases as for dry wines of the same variety.

   Gustative phase: a balanced wine considering the alcoholic strength, acidity and residual sugar content.


   General analytical characteristics:

   | Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume): | 9 |
   | Minimum total acidity: | 5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid |
   | Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre): | 10 |

   Dry, semi-dry, semi-sweet and sweet rosé wine
   Strawberry pink in colour, brilliant and lively, with cardinal red reflections when the wine is young. A strong aroma reminiscent of strawberry, raspberry, red fruits and/or rose petals.

   Fresh, fruity (red fruits, strawberry, raspberry), flavoursome, strong.

   Wines marketed without filtration or stabilisation are defined in the visual phase as ‘slightly turbid or veiled’, while in the gustative phase their taste may be denser and flesher.

   Minimum actual alcoholic strength for dry wines: 11,5 % vol.

General analytical characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume):</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total acidity:</td>
<td>5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre):</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Young red wine and young red ‘Roble’ wine, dry red wine and red ‘Crianza’, ‘Reserva’ and ‘Gran Reserva’ wine

High titration. Cherry red, deep garnet or bigarreau red in colour, with bright purplish nuances on the edges, or ruby red to cherry red hues with a touch of orange and tile. Fruity (blackberry, redcurrant) or with floral notes that may be spicy or woody. A flavoursome and aromatic wine with well-integrated tannins. During its time in the barrel, it is strong on the palate; there is good structure and body, with intense fruity after-tastes and woody notes characteristics of wood. The long and intensive after-taste is slightly bitter.

*Volatile acidity with a possibly exceedance of 1 mEq/l for each degree of alcohol above 11 % vol. and each year of ageing, up to a maximum of 16,6 mEq/l. *Total sulphur: 200 mg/l if sugars > 5 g/l.

General analytical characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume):</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total acidity:</td>
<td>4.5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre):</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total sulphur dioxide content (in milligrams per litre):</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Young red wine and young red ‘Roble’ wine, semi-dry, semi-sweet and sweet wine

The same visual and olfactory phases as for dry wines of the same variety.

Gustative phase: a balanced wine considering the alcoholic strength, acidity and residual sugar content.


General analytical characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume):</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total acidity:</td>
<td>4.5 in grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre):</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sparkling red, white and rosé wine

Persistent fine bubbles. Its aromas are clean and fruity. ‘Reserva’ wine has intense aromas. A wine that is balanced in the mouth and has a palateful structure.

The white wines have pale gold and golden shades in the case of ‘Reserva’ wines. The rosé wines have pink hues evoking rose petals and tile-coloured hues in the case of ‘Reserva’ wines. The red wines have brilliant violet hues as well as woody hues in the case of ‘Reserva’ wines.
General analytical characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum actual alcoholic strength (in % volume)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total acidity</td>
<td>3.5 grams per litre expressed as tartaric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum volatile acidity (in milliequivalents per litre)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total sulphur dioxide content (in milligrams per litre)</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Wine-making practices
   a. Essential oenological practices

   Pressures lower than 2.5 kg/cm² are applied to extract the must and the wine and separate it from the marcs, with the yield not exceeding 70 litres of must or wine for 100 kg of grapes.

   In the white wines, the musts, from which are absent any solid parts of the bunch of grapes, ferment at temperatures below 20 °C. For the red wines, the minimum duration of the maceration of the must with the skins is 48 hours.

   For the wines requiring ageing, the alcoholic fermentation takes place at a temperature not exceeding 30 °C. During the ageing process, they are stored in oak barrels having a maximum capacity of 330 litres.

   b. Maximum yields

   Gobelet-trained vines
   7 150 kg of grapes per hectare

   Gobelet-trained vines
   50 hl per hectare

   Trellis-trained vines
   12 850 kg of grapes per hectare

   Trellis-trained vines
   90 hl per hectare

6. Demarcated area

   Located to the north of the province of Toledo: province of Toledo: Albarreal de Tajo, Alcábón, Aldeaencabo, Almorox, Arcicollar, Argés (only polygons 3 and 5), Barcience, Bargas, Burujón, Camarena, Camarenilla, Cardiel de los Montes, Carmena, Carpio de Tajo (El), Carranque, Carriches, Casar de Escalona (El), Casarrubios del Monte, Castillo de Bayuela, Cazalegas, Cebolla, Cerralbos (Los), Chozas de Canales, Domingo Pérez, Erustes, Escalona, Escalomilla, Fuensalida, Garciotún, Gerindote, Guadamur (only polygons 17 and 18), Hormigos, Huecas, Illán de Vacas, Lominchar, Lucillos, Malpica de Tajo, Maqueda, Mata (La), Méntrida, Mesegar, Montearagón, Nombela, Novés, Nuño Gómez, Olías del Rey, Otero, Palomeque, Paredes, Pelahustán, Portillo, Quismondo, Real de San Vicente, Recas, Rielves, San Martín de Pusa, Santa Cruz del Retamar, Santa Olalla, Talavera de la Reina, Toledo, Torre de Esteban Hambrán (La), Torrijos, Val de Santo Domingo, Valmojado, Ventas de Retamosa (Las), Villamiel, Viso (El) and Yunclillos.

7. Main wine grapes

   Garnacha Tinta

8. Description of the link(s)

   Wine

   The extreme continental climate, characterised by long, cold winters, hot summers and very scarce precipitation as well as sandy, acidic soil containing very little lime, make it possible to obtain wines that have a high alcoholic strength and dry matter content and are fleshy, full-bodied and warm.
Sparkling wine
The geographical environment enables the cultivation of the varieties indicated in point 6 of this specification, varieties that contribute to full-bodied, balanced wines.

Likewise, the drought and hours of sunshine result in a natural alcoholic strength enabling the production of wines with defined alcoholic strengths. The wines listed in the preceding point are used as base wines for the preparation of the sparkling wines. Consequently the designations in that paragraph also apply to the sparkling wines.

9. **Essential further conditions**
   Legal framework: —
   Type of further condition: —
   Description of the condition: —

10. **Link to the product specification**
PROCEDURES RELATING TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPETITION POLICY

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Prior notification of a concentration
(Case M.8505 — NN Group/ATP/Hotel)
Candidate case for simplified procedure
(Text with EEA relevance)
(2017/C 194/08)

1. On 6 June 2017, the Commission received notification of a proposed concentration pursuant to Article 4 of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (1) by which the undertakings NN Group N.V. ('NN Group', Netherlands), through its wholly owned subsidiary REI Germany B.V. ('REI', Netherlands), and Arbejdsmarkedets Tillægspension ('ATP', Denmark) indirectly acquire within the meaning of Article 3(1)(b) of the Merger Regulation joint control over a building complex in Munich, Germany that mainly comprises a Holiday Inn hotel ('Hotel'), by way of purchase of shares.

2. The business activities of the undertakings concerned are:
   — NN Group: a global financial institution of Dutch origin offering investment and insurance services.
   — ATP: a Danish public pension fund with 4,9 million members under supervision by the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority. It administers a number of welfare and social security schemes that help to provide basic security for Danish citizens.
   — Hotel: a building known as the Holiday Inn hotel located at Hochstraße 3, Munich, Germany and the appertaining parking, restaurants, bar and leisure facilities.

3. On preliminary examination, the Commission finds that the notified transaction could fall within the scope of the Merger Regulation. However, the final decision on this point is reserved. Pursuant to the Commission Notice on a simplified procedure for treatment of certain concentrations under the Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (2) it should be noted that this case is a candidate for treatment under the procedure set out in this Notice.

4. The Commission invites interested third parties to submit their possible observations on the proposed operation to the Commission.

Observations must reach the Commission not later than 10 days following the date of this publication. Observations can be sent to the Commission by fax (+32 22964301), by email to COMP-MERGER-REGISTRY@ec.europa.eu or by post, under reference M.8505 — NN Group/ATP/Hotel, to the following address:

European Commission
Directorate-General for Competition
Merger Registry
1049 Bruxelles/Brussel
BELGIQUE/BELGIË

Prior notification of a concentration
(Case M.8508 — Engie/CDC/Solairecorsica 1-2-3)
Candidate case for simplified procedure
(Text with EEA relevance)
(2017/C 194/09)

1. On 6 June 2017, the Commission received notification of a proposed concentration pursuant to Article 4 of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (1) by which the undertakings Engie (France) and Caisse des Dépôts et consignations (CDC, France) acquire within the meaning of Article 3(1)(b) of the Merger Regulation joint control of the undertakings Solairecorsica 1, Solairecorsica 2 and Solairecorsica 3 (all from France) by way of a purchase of shares.

2. The business activities of the undertakings concerned are:
— for Engie: entire energy value chain in the fields of gas, electricity and energy services,
— for CDC: French State-owned financial group and fund manager investing in both public sector projects and open markets activities,
— for Solairecorsica 1, 2 and 3: ownership and management of a photovoltaic power plant active in the generation of electricity in Corsica (France).

3. On preliminary examination, the Commission finds that the notified transaction could fall within the scope of the Merger Regulation. However, the final decision on this point is reserved. Pursuant to the Commission Notice on a simplified procedure for treatment of certain concentrations under the Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (2) it should be noted that this case is a candidate for treatment under the procedure set out in this Notice.

4. The Commission invites interested third parties to submit their possible observations on the proposed operation to the Commission.

Observations must reach the Commission not later than 10 days following the date of this publication. Observations can be sent to the Commission by fax (+32 22964301), by email to COMP-MERGER-REGISTRY@ec.europa.eu or by post, under reference M.8508 — Engie/CDC/Solairecorsica 1-2-3, to the following address:

European Commission
Directorate-General for Competition
Merger Registry
1049 Bruxelles/Brussel
BELGIQUE/BELGIË

Prior notification of a concentration
(Case M.8495 — Cummins/Eaton Corporation/Eaton JV Business)

Candidate case for simplified procedure
(Text with EEA relevance)
(2017/C 194/10)

1. On 9 June 2017, the Commission received notification of a proposed concentration pursuant to Article 4 of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (1) by which the undertakings Cummins, Inc. (‘Cummins’, of the United States) and Eaton Corporation (‘Eaton’, of Ireland) acquire within the meaning of Article 3(1)(b) of the Merger Regulation joint control of parts of Eaton (‘Eaton JV Business’) by way of purchase of shares.

2. The business activities of the undertakings concerned are:
   — for Cummins: design, manufacture, distribution and servicing of engines and related products around the world;
   — for Eaton: supply of energy-efficient solutions to manage electrical, hydraulic and mechanical power, including the manufacture and sale of automated transmissions for commercial vehicles;
   — for Eaton JV Business: supply and development of automated transmission for heavy-duty and medium-duty commercial vehicles.

3. On preliminary examination, the Commission finds that the notified transaction could fall within the scope of the Merger Regulation. However, the final decision on this point is reserved. Pursuant to the Commission Notice on a simplified procedure for treatment of certain concentrations under the Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (2) it should be noted that this case is a candidate for treatment under the procedure set out in this Notice.

4. The Commission invites interested third parties to submit their possible observations on the proposed operation to the Commission.

Observations must reach the Commission not later than 10 days following the date of this publication. Observations can be sent to the Commission by fax (+32 22964301), by email to COMP-MERGER-REGISTRY@ec.europa.eu or by post, under reference M.8495 — Cummins/Eaton Corporation/Eaton JV Business, to the following address:

European Commission
Directorate-General for Competition
Merger Registry
1049 Bruxelles/Brussel
BELGIQUE/BELGIË

1. On 8 June 2017, the Commission received notification of a proposed concentration pursuant to Article 4 and following a referral pursuant to Article 4(5) of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (1) by which the undertaking FMC Corporation (‘FMC’, USA) acquires within the meaning of Article 3(1)(b) of the Merger Regulation control of parts of the undertaking E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company (‘DuPont’, USA) (the ‘Divestment Business’).

This proposed concentration is part of an asset purchase and sale agreement, entered into between DuPont and FMC on 31 March 2017, that provides for the acquisition by FMC of certain DuPont activities, pursuant to the divestment commitments entered into by The Dow Chemical Company (‘Dow’) and DuPont as part of the merger control proceedings relating to the proposed merger of Dow and DuPont (Case M.7932 Dow/DuPont).

2. The business activities of the undertakings concerned are:

— for FMC: global specialty chemicals company with interests in agricultural, industrial and consumer markets,
— for the Divestment Business: the majority of DuPont’s global insecticide and herbicide activities, as well as the crop protection R&D activities of DuPont. It also includes an exclusive licence for the supply of straight picoxystrobin, a fungicide AI, to be used for the formulation of straight or mixture formulated products for rice applications in the EEA.

3. On preliminary examination, the Commission finds that the notified transaction could fall within the scope of the Merger Regulation. However, the final decision on this point is reserved.

4. The Commission invites interested third parties to submit their possible observations on the proposed operation to the Commission.

Observations must reach the Commission not later than 10 days following the date of this publication. Observations can be sent to the Commission by fax (+32 22964301), by email to COMP-MERGER-REGISTRY@ec.europa.eu or by post, under reference M.8435 — FMC/DuPont Divestment Business, to the following address:

European Commission
Directorate-General for Competition
Merger Registry
1049 Bruxelles/Brussel
BELGIQUE/BELGIË

Prior notification of a concentration
(Case M.8526 — CPPIB/BTPS/Milton Park)

Candidate case for simplified procedure
(Text with EEA relevance)
(2017/C 194/12)

1. On 12 June 2017, the Commission received notification of a proposed concentration pursuant to Article 4 of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (1) by which the Canada Pension Plan Investment Board (‘CPPIB’, Canada) and the British Telecom Pension Scheme (‘BTPS’, United Kingdom) acquire within the meaning of Article 3(1)(b) of the Merger Regulation joint control of the whole of the Milton Park business park (‘Milton Park’, United Kingdom) by way of purchase of shares.

2. The business activities of the undertakings concerned are:
   — CPPIB: investing the funds of the Canada Pension Plan and based in Toronto. CPPIB principally invests in real estate, public equities, private equities, infrastructure and fixed income instruments.
   — BTPS: occupational pension scheme established for the benefit of employees of BT Group plc. The activities of BTPS include real estate investment and its broader group is active in bond and equity investment, both in the UK and overseas.
   — Milton Park, located in Didcot, Oxfordshire, consists of a total real estate area extending 302 acres. There are 92 buildings with 250 occupiers, employing over 9 000 people. Milton Park is currently owned by BTPS.

3. On preliminary examination, the Commission finds that the notified transaction could fall within the scope of the Merger Regulation. However, the final decision on this point is reserved. Pursuant to the Commission Notice on a simplified procedure for treatment of certain concentrations under the Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 (2) it should be noted that this case is a candidate for treatment under the procedure set out in this Notice.

4. The Commission invites interested third parties to submit their possible observations on the proposed operation to the Commission.

Observations must reach the Commission not later than 10 days following the date of this publication. Observations can be sent to the Commission by fax (+32 22964301), by email to COMP-MERGER-REGISTRY@ec.europa.eu or by post, under reference M.8526 — CPPIB/BTPS/Milton Park, to the following address:

European Commission
Directorate-General for Competition
Merger Registry
1049 Bruxelles/Brussel
BELGIQUE/BELGIË

OTHER ACTS

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Publication of an amendment application pursuant to Article 50(2)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs

(2017/C 194/13)

This publication confers the right to oppose the application, pursuant to Article 51 of Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council (1).

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE PRODUCT SPECIFICATION OF PROTECTED DESIGNATIONS OF ORIGIN/PROTECTED GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATIONS WHICH IS NOT MINOR

Application for approval of an amendment in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 53(2), of Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

'BLEU D’AUVERGNE'

EU No: PDO-FR-02214 — 4.1.2017

PDO (X) PGI ( )

1. Applicant group and legitimate interest

Syndicat Interprofessionnel Régional du 'Bleu d'Auvergne'

Address: Mairie
15400 Riom-ès-Montagnes
FRANCE

Tel. +33 471781198
Fax +33 471781198
Email: bleudauvergne@wanadoo.fr

The Syndicat Interprofessionnel Régional du 'Bleu d'Auvergne' is composed of operators of the PDO 'Bleu d'Auvergne' (producers, collectors, processors and ripeners) and has a legitimate interest in submitting the application.

2. Member State or Third Country

France.

3. Heading in the product specification affected by the amendment(s)

— □ Name of product
— ☒ Description of product
— ☒ Geographical area
— ☒ Proof of origin
— ☒ Method of production
— □ Link
— ☒ Labelling
— ☒ Other: link, control

4. Type of amendment(s)

— ☒ Amendment to product specification of a registered PDO or PGI not to be qualified as minor in accordance with the third subparagraph of Article 53(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

— ☐ Amendment to product specification of registered PDO or PGI for which a Single Document (or equivalent) has not been published not to be qualified as minor in accordance with the third subparagraph of Article 53(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

5. Amendment(s)

Description of the product

In order to provide a full description of the product, it is added that 'Bleu d’Auvergne' is ‘produced exclusively’ from ‘renneted’ cow’s milk and that it is an ‘unpressed, uncooked, fermented, and salted’ cheese.

The sentence:

‘This cheese is produced in two sizes: — the large cheeses are approximately 20 cm in diameter, 8 to 10 cm in height and weigh 2 to 3 kg; — the small cheeses are approximately 10,5 cm in diameter and weigh 350 g, 500 g or 1 kg’

is replaced by the sentence:

‘This cheese is produced in the form of a flat cylinder, between 19 and 23 cm in diameter, 8 and 11 cm in height, weighing between 2 and 3 kg’.

Therefore, only the large cheese (19 to 23 cm in diameter and 8 to 11 cm in height) is retained in the designation, since the small cheeses have not been made for many years. The diameter of the cheese ranges between 19 cm minimum and 23 cm maximum, which is more precise than the words ‘approximately 20 cm’ appearing in the current specification. The maximum height is increased by one centimetre to take account of observed practice.

The product must have a dry matter content of at least 51 % instead of 52 % and it is added that this parameter is determined ‘after total desiccation’ for inspection purposes. This amendment takes account of the gradual evolution of the product towards a creamier texture. Comparing the tasting notes and the dry extract results shows a positive correlation, with cheeses of good tasting quality often corresponding to the cheeses with the least dry matter.

The sentence:

‘The cheese may be marketed under the designation of origin ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ only from the 28th day following the date of renneting.’

is added, replacing the sentence:

‘The cheese is ripened for at least four weeks for cheeses weighing more than 1 kg and for at least two weeks for cheeses weighing less than 1 kg.’, which appears under the heading ‘Method of production’ in the current specification.

The ripening period of the cheeses is therefore replaced by a minimum period before marketing of equivalent duration, due to the introduction of the possibility of a storage period following the ripening period proper. This period is expressed in days rather than weeks and it is specified that it starts from the date of renneting, in accordance with customary practice and in order to facilitate inspection.

The rind of the product is described more precisely (instead of ‘natural mould rind’) by the sentences ‘The ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ rind is healthy, without wetness (mouillères) or exudation. It is not of a single, uniform colour. White, grey, green, blue and black mould may be present.’ The following additions are made to the organoleptic description of the product: ‘The inside of the cheese is white to ivory in colour, with cracks and an even distribution of blue-to-green veins. These veins are of a size between that of a wheat grain and a maize kernel. The texture is melt-in-the-mouth, creamy and fine. The taste of ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ is intense, typical, and well-balanced, with aromas suggesting blue cheese, forest undergrowth and even mushrooms. It may be slightly salty and bitter. It is enhanced, to a greater or lesser extent depending on the length of the ripening period, by flavours from the activity of the Penicillium roqueforti mould.’ This description, which results from organoleptic tests carried out by ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ professionals, improves the characterisation of the product and is useful for the organoleptic test of the product during inspection.

It is added that ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ can be marketed in the form of portions of any size.’, since the product is widely distributed in segments.
Geographical area

Having supplemented the description of the ripening stage by distinguishing a period of ripening in cellars at between 6 °C and 12 °C, and possibly a period of cold storage at between zero and 6 °C, the first sentence indicating the steps located in the geographical area is supplemented to include the storage of the cheese until the 28th day from the date of renneting.

The delimitation of the geographical area is changed. The geographical area is reduced from 1 158 to 630 municipalities. It has refocused on the Hercynian basement and its volcanic cover, excluding the immense sedimentary basins and the broad alluvial valleys. Its emphasis is on the pasture farming regions, where practices are geared towards grass, and which benefit from an altitude and rainfall that are conducive to grass production. Finally, it is part of the traditional area for 'Bleu d’Auvergne' and reflects the preservation of current milk processing and collection practices for ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’. In the regions excluded from the geographical area, there is no longer any recognised milk collection for the production of ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ or actual production of ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’.

Proof of origin

Provision is made for a declaration identifying operators to replace the declaration of aptitude. Identification of the operators is a prerequisite for their accreditation, recognising their ability to meet the requirements of the specifica­tion for the designation from which they wish to benefit. Provision is also made for the requisite declarations for the knowledge and monitoring of the products to be marketed under designation of origin.

The list of registrations to be made by the operators has been supplemented. The clarification of the conditions of production defined by the specification require additional registration criteria to allow for their inspection.

Method of production

Milk production:

Provisions concerning the conditions for breeding, management and feeding of the dairy herd are introduced to document the traditional practices.

The dairy herd is defined. This is 'the group of dairy cows and heifers to restock the herd present on the farm', on the understanding that 'dairy cows include lactating cows and dry cows' and 'heifers are animals between weaning and first calving.' The purpose of this definition is for it to be clearly established which animals are referred to in the terms 'dairy herd', 'dairy cows' and 'heifers' in the rest of the specification, to avoid any confusion.

It is added that the introduction into dairy herds of cows or heifers born and/or reared outside the geographical area is prohibited. Historically, the breeders of the geographical area have been self-sufficient when providing for the restocking of their herds, as heifers or cows purchased outside the geographical area tended to experience difficulties in adapting to both the mid-mountain environment and the type of feed, leading to their rapid culling. In this way, the prohibition on introducing into the dairy herds animals born and/or reared outside the geographical area enables better adaptation of the animals to the environment and feed, especially as there is no requirement as to breed.

However, provision is made that, for health reasons or for the Abondance, Aubrac, Brune, Ferrandaise, Simmental Française and Tarentaise breeds, which are present in low numbers in the geographical area, a derogation may be granted, giving full details and for a limited period of time, by the competent national authority. These breeds are renowned for being better suited to mountain areas, which enables them to meet the requirement of adaptation to the geographical environment. Moreover, they are subject to compliance with the same production conditions as the cows of other breeds if they are present on a farm operating under the PDO ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’.

It is added that ‘Throughout the year, the dairy herd is fed exclusively on fodder from the geographical area covered by the designation.’ This feed autonomy in the geographical area contributes to reinforcing the link with the region.

The composition of the basic feed is then explained: it consists of all fodder, including bundles of dried long lucerne, and excluding brassicas, as they have a strong impact on the organoleptic characteristics of the milk (cabbage odour).

The proportion of grass, hay, wilted grass or silage in the basic feed of the dairy cows is set at 70 % minimum on average over the year, expressed in dry matter. It is set at 30 % minimum per day. Outside the grazing period, the
Dairy cows receive hay (dried cut grass with a dry matter content exceeding 80%), amounting to 3 kg minimum in dry matter per cow per day. Production of 'Bleu d’Auvergne' is rooted in a mountain area dominated by the production of grass used to feed dairy cows. The provisions of the specification confirm the role of grass as staple feed.

Grazing is mandatory for at least 150 days per year for lactating dairy cows, with a minimum of 30 ares of grazing land per cow. Grazing is a key element in the feed of lactating dairy cows in the geographical area covered by the designation of origin. It is in keeping with the concept of the importance of grass in the feed of dairy cows, expressed in the previous paragraph.

It is prohibited to rear the dairy herd without a grazing area, in order to ensure the link with the region via the animals’ feed.

The animal density on the farms is limited to one dairy cow per hectare of grazing area, which follows on from the proposals above giving precedence to feed from the farm’s fodder resources, and therefore from the geographical area, and contributes to preserving the relatively extensive nature of the farms.

The fodder storage conditions for dairy cows are described: pile and bunker silos are constructed on a concrete or stabilised platform; dry fodder is stored under permanent shelter. These provisions are designed to preserve the quality of the fodder.

For the dairy cows, complementary feedstuffs are limited to 1800 kg of dry matter per dairy cow per year, on average for all the dairy cows together; for the heifers, complementary feedstuffs may not exceed 30% of the total feed, expressed in dry matter, on average for the year. This is so as to avoid such feedstuffs playing too large a part in the feed, and to thus give precedence to the basic feed from the geographical area. Furthermore, the raw materials authorised in the complementary feedstuffs for the dairy cows and the authorised additives are each the subject of a positive list, necessary to better manage, guarantee and monitor the feed. Tanning of cattle-cake with formaldehyde is prohibited, as this practice does not accord with traditional practices.

A provision prohibiting GMOs in animal feed and farm crops is added in order to preserve the traditional nature of the feed.

Provisions on the application of organic fertilisers are defined in order to preserve the feed of the cows from any risk of contamination by pollutants.

Milk used:

It is added that the milk is stored in a refrigerated tank after milking and may not be kept on the farm for more than 48 hours in order to limit its degradation and to avoid the development of undesirable bacteria.

It is added that 'The emptying of the tankers into fixed tanks must be carried out within the geographical area covered by the designation.' This provision is designed to guarantee the traceability of the milk collected and to facilitate inspection.

It is added that the milk used may be raw, thermised or pasteurised, which corresponds to the practices implemented for several decades.

Processing:

The preparation of the milk before renneting is described, for farmhouse production and dairy production, in accordance with the know-how, so as to guarantee that both types of production are preserved.

— In the case of farmhouse production, milk from at most two successive milkings is used (the first being refrigerated so that it will keep) and renneting takes place no later than 16 hours from the first milking.

— In the case of dairy production, pre-maturation of the milk is carried out before renneting, at a temperature of between 6°C and 14°C for a minimum of 4 hours. Bringing to pre-maturation temperature may be accompanied by the addition of ferment and takes place within 24 hours of the milk being delivered to the place where the cheese is made. These conditions promote the development of the lactic bacteria present or added to the medium. In this way, they allow slight acidification to be initiated before renneting, and curds with a dominant lactic character to be produced subsequently. Pre-maturation is a historical procedure in dairy production of 'Bleu d’Auvergne'.
— For both types of production, homogenisation and standardisation of the fat content are allowed. Homogenisation is a technique that promotes the development of the aroma of the cheese, allows a better distribution of the fat in the curd and enables a whiter cheese to be obtained, showing the veining more clearly.

The renneting temperature is kept within a range between 30 and 34 °C. It has a considerable influence over the renneting activity in this important step.

*Penicillium roqueforti* is cited by name in the list of ingredients, production aids and additives authorised in the milk and during the production of 'Bleu d’Auvergne', apart from the dairy raw materials. In this same list, the reference to ‘innocuous bacterial cultures, yeasts and moulds’ is replaced by a reference to ‘other’ cultures (apart from *Penicillium*) of bacteria, yeasts and moulds ‘which have been proved to be innocuous through use’ for greater precision. The injection of *Penicillium roqueforti* is then affirmed, as it is a key element in the technological process for the production of 'Bleu d’Auvergne'. It should be noted that the reference to ‘*Penicillum roqueforti*’ replaces the reference to ‘*Penicillum glaucum*’ mentioned in the summary published, following developments in the knowledge of moulds and their classification. Moreover, to avoid any ambiguity during inspection, it is specified that reconstituted culture media are authorised as a ferment growing medium.

After coagulation, the stages of cutting and stirring the curd and the separation of most of the curd and whey before putting into moulds have been introduced to reflect customary practice: ‘After coagulation, the curd is cut into cubes of a size between that of a maize kernel and a hazel nut. The stirring enables a ‘styled’ curd grain to be obtained. Before placing in moulds, most of the whey is separated from the curd. The curd is placed in the moulds after cutting and stirring.’ The aim is therefore to describe the manufacture using the size of the curd grain after cutting (from the size of a maize kernel to that of a hazel nut) and of noting the (sought-after) appearance of ‘styled’ curd grain (grain coiffé), which is subsequently instrumental in the formation of the cracks, since the curd grains remain individual at the time of placing in the mould.

Details are given of the draining of the curd after placing in the mould. A maximum period of 72 hours is defined, replacing the vague adverb ‘slowly’ used in the current specification, in order to document the current practices and to provide a value for carrying out inspections. It is added that the draining is done without pressing, regularly turning the cheese over and at a temperature enabling a pH of less than 5 at the end of the stage. This pH value is a compulsory step to obtain sufficient draining for the ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’.

The sentence ‘The dairy raw materials, partly finished products, curd and fresh cheese may not be conserved at a temperature below 0 °C’ is replaced by the sentence ‘Processing may not be deferred for the curds; and the dairy raw materials, partly finished products and fresh cheese may not be conserved at a temperature below 0 °C’. This is so as to prohibit deferring the processing of the curd by any means whatsoever, as this does not correspond to any historical practice.

The salting methods are modified to adapt to the development of production tools.

The sentences:

‘The cheese is salted after draining, by rubbing or sprinkling with salt, over its entire surface, in a room at a temperature that may vary from 14 °C to 22 °C. This salting may be preceded by salting in brine’

replacing the sentence:

‘The cheese is drained slowly, salted by hand, in two stages, using dry coarse salt and turning the cheese over several times’. The manual technique in two stages, which is still used, can no longer remain the only method of salting due to the development of these tools. Coarse salt and fine salt may both be used. Salting by rubbing or sprinkling with salt, whether by hand or not, allows the formation of the typical ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ rind. It may be preceded by brining the product, which is a practice that has been used for some years to supplement the salting by rubbing or sprinkling with salt without affecting the organoleptic qualities of the product, as shown by the tasting results. It is specified that the salting is carried out at the end of the draining.

The prickling stage is supplemented, indicating that the cheese is pricked only once and defining a maximum period of 10 days between renneting and prickling so as to guarantee the quality of the product.

Maturing:

Instead of ‘The minimum maturing period is four weeks for cheeses weighing more than 1 kg and two weeks for cheeses weighing less than 1 kg’, it is indicated that ‘From the date of pricking, the cheese is matured uncovered
in a cellar or ripening room at a temperature of between 6 °C and 12 °C and a humidity level exceeding 90 % for at least 15 days. The cheese may then be stored in a room at a temperature of between 0 °C and 6 °C until the 28th day following renneting’, according to customary practice. The stage of maturing uncovered at a temperature of between 6 °C at 12 °C and a humidity level exceeding 90 % allows the development of \textit{Penicillium roqueforti} in the cheese. Depending on this development, and at least 15 days after the pricking date, the cheese is either stored in a cellar at a temperature of between 6 °C and 12 °C until the 28th day after the date of renneting or is kept in a room at a temperature of between 0 °C and 6 °C, to slow the development of \textit{Penicillium roqueforti}, until the 28th day after the date of renneting. The purpose is to control the growth of the blue mould and reveal the aromatic panel of the product.

It is added that the product may not be cut into portions before the 28th day following the date of renneting in order to guarantee the integrity of the product until it has acquired all its characteristics and to avoid denaturing it.

\textit{Labelling}

A minimum size of character for the registered name is introduced: ‘at least two-thirds the size of the largest characters on the label’, in order to ensure that the name is sufficiently legible in relation to the other information appearing on the label.

The obligation is included to show the PDO symbol of the European Union.

It is added that it is forbidden to place any qualifier directly next to the registered designation of origin. This is an update to comply with the developments in terms of labelling of products under designation of origin.

To take account of the diversity of types of packaging, the sentence indicating that cheese must be wrapped in tin foil is deleted; other packaging may be used.

\textit{Other}

The heading ‘Elements justifying the link with the geographical area’ is redrafted and structured into three parts. The part ‘specific nature of the geographical area’ includes the natural factors, highlighting the grass production of the geographical area, and the human factors, summarising the historical aspect and stressing the specific know-how of the producers of ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ (feed of dairy cows focused mainly on grass, mixing the curd grains in the cheese-making vat, draining without pressing, salting, pricking and maturing). The ‘specific nature of the product’ part has been updated to include the elements added to the description of the product. Finally, the ‘causal link’ point explains the interactions between the natural and human factors and the product.

It is added to the sub-heading ‘Product tests’ that ‘the tests are carried out by sampling from cheese of at least 28 days old from the date of renneting, according to the procedures described in the control plan’. These terms and conditions are then included in the control plan of the designation of origin drawn up by an inspection body.

In the heading ‘References concerning the inspection body’, the name and details of the inspection bodies have been updated.

Finally, a table presenting the main points to be checked and the method for their assessment is added.
3. Description of the agricultural product or foodstuff

3.1. Type of product
Class 1.3. Cheese

3.2. Description of product to which the name in (1) applies

'Bleu d'Auvergne' is an unpressed, uncooked, fermented, and salted cheese with blue veins, made exclusively with renneted cow's milk.

This cheese is produced in the form of a flat cylinder between 19 and 23 cm in diameter, 8 and 11 cm in height, weighing between 2 and 3 kg.

The fat content is at least 50% after total desiccation and the dry matter content is at least 51%.

The cheese may be marketed under the designation of origin 'Bleu d'Auvergne' only from the 28th day following the date of renneting.

The 'Bleu d'Auvergne' rind is healthy, without wetness (mouillères) or exudation. It is not of a single, uniform colour. White, grey, green, blue and black mould may be present.

The inside of the cheese is white to ivory in colour, with cracks and an even distribution of blue-to-green veins.

These veins are of a size between that of a wheat grain and a maize kernel.

The texture is melt-in-the-mouth, creamy and fine.

The taste of 'Bleu d'Auvergne' is intense, typical, and well-balanced, with aromas suggesting blue cheese, forest undergrowth and even mushrooms. It may be slightly salty and bitter. It is enhanced, to a greater or lesser extent depending on the length of the ripening period, by flavours from the activity of the Penicillium roqueforti mould.

3.3. Feed (for products of animal origin only) and raw materials (for processed products only)

Throughout the year, the dairy herd is fed exclusively on fodder from the geographical area covered by the designation. The middle mountain terrain and climate of the geographical area are not conducive technically to production in the geographical area of complementary feedstuffs fed to the dairy herd. For the dairy cows, these complementary feedstuffs are limited to 1 800 kg of dry matter per dairy cow per year, on average for all the dairy cows together; for the heifers, complementary feedstuffs may not exceed 30% of the total feed, expressed in dry matter, on average for the year. Consequently, these provisions mean that at least 70% of the dry matter of the total feed made available to the dairy herd is produced in the geographical area.

With the exception of brassicas, which are prohibited in the form of fodder for all the animals on the farm, all fodder may be included in the composition of the basic feed of the dairy herd. Bundles of dried long lucerne are part of it.

The proportion of grass, hay, wilted grass or silage in the basic feed of the dairy cows is fixed at 70% minimum on average over the year, expressed in dry matter.

The proportion of grass, hay, wilted grass or silage in the basic feed of the dairy cows is fixed at 30% minimum per day, expressed in dry matter.

Outside the grazing period, the dairy cows receive hay, amounting to 3 kg minimum in dry matter per cow per day. Hay is dried cut grass with a dry matter content exceeding 80%.

When grass is available, grazing is mandatory for lactating dairy cows as soon as the weather allows. In any event, the grazing period must amount to at least 150 days per year.

Complementary feedstuffs and additives distributed to dairy cows comprise only the authorised raw materials and additives specified in a positive list.
Only plants, co-products and complementary feedstuffs derived from non-transgenic products are authorised in the animal feed.

3.4. Specific steps in production that must take place in the identified geographical area
The milk is produced and the cheese made, matured and conserved until the 28th day following the date of renneting within the geographical area.

3.5. Specific rules concerning slicing, grating, packaging, etc. of the product the registered name refers to
‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ can be marketed in the form of portions of any size.

3.6. Specific rules concerning labelling of the product the registered name refers to
The label must include the name of the designation of origin in characters at least two-thirds the size of the largest characters on the label.

It is forbidden to place any qualifier directly next to the registered designation of origin in the label, advertising, invoices or commercial papers, with the exception of trademarks or brand names.

4. Concise definition of the geographical area
The geographical area for ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ covers:

Department of Aveyron:
Municipalities of Brommat, Lacroix-Barrez, Mur-de-Barrez, Murols, Taussac, Théondels.

Department of Cantal:


Department of Corrèze:

Department of Haute-Loire:

Department of Lot:

Department of Lozère:
Cantons of Langogne, Saint-Chély-d’Apcher.

Department of Puy-de-Dôme:


5. Link with the geographical area

The geographical area of the ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ cheese covers the central-northern part of the Massif Central.

It is based mainly on the Hercynian basement of the Massif Central, composed of metamorphic and granitic rocks, with a volcanic cover.

It is a mid-mountain area, generally culminating at over 1 000 m and at an altitude throughout exceeding 500 m.

It is characterised by a predominantly mountain climate, balanced to the west by the influences of an oceanic climate and to the east by the influences of a modified continental climate. Within this at-times complex climate, the most decisive parameter is the rainfall pattern, which plays a major role in the abundant grass production observed in the terrain. The geographical area therefore corresponds to the sectors where rainfall exceeds 800 mm/year.

In terms of human factors, the Puy-de-Dôme area located to the west of the Auvergne Mountains, which is conducive to grass-growing and milk production, is the historical birthplace of the production of ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’, which appeared in the mid-19th century. This sector is the primary nucleus of the designation, from which production gradually developed. Of farmhouse origin, production gradually spread to dairies in the second half of the 19th century, notably thanks to the technical innovations advanced by Antoine Roussel, a local cheese producer who introduced the pricking of the cheese. The dissemination of this method from the end of the 19th century led to the appearance of a second manufacturing base in the south-west of the Cantal Massif. Production of ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ then gradually extended to the entire geographical area. A definition of ‘Bleu d’Auvergne’ was confirmed in 1934 by the Ministry of Agriculture and the product was granted designation of origin status in March 1975.
Today, the grass produced in the geographical area still receives precedence in the feed of the dairy cows, representing on average over the year more than two thirds of the basic feed. Grazing is obligatory for at least 150 days per year.

Moreover, the production of 'Bleu d'Auvergne' involves specific know-how. The curd grains are mixed in the cheese-making vat with a view to 'styling' them, which means coating them in a thin film, which prevents them from consolidating when placed in the mould. They are then placed in the mould. This is followed by draining without pressing, regularly turning the cheese over. The cheese is salted at the end of draining by rubbing or sprinkling with salt, over its entire surface, then pricked once to air the cheese. Finally, the cheese is matured in a damp, aired cellar.

'Bleu d'Auvergne' is cow's milk cheese, weighing 2 to 3 kg; the inside of the cheese has marbling of a size between that of a wheat grain and a maize kernel and an even distribution of blue-to-green veins over its entire surface.

Its taste is intense, typical, and well-balanced, with aromas suggesting blue cheese, forest undergrowth and even mushrooms. It is enhanced by the flavours from the activity of the Penicillium roqueforti mould.

Production of 'Bleu d'Auvergne' is rooted in a mid-mountain area where the altitude and climate, characterised by heavy rainfall, promotes significant grass production used to feed the dairy cows, especially through grazing.

In addition, this high altitude volcanic and granitic earth of the central plateau, with long and harsh winters, has long determined the specific production practices.

The manufacture of this cheese, weighing 2 to 3 kg, meant that it could be kept for a significant period and provided a solution to the problems of processing and deferment imposed by the characteristics of the climate and human needs. Moreover, this production for a long time constituted an alternative to that of 'Cantal' for small-scale cheese-makers who had insufficient milk to produce a 'Cantal' cheese.

The organoleptic characteristics of 'Bleu d'Auvergne' are determined in particular by the use of a specific manufacturing technique. The 'styling' of the grains by means of stirring in the vat and the draining without pressing promotes the creation of evenly distributed holes in the cheese. The pricking creates air vents in the inside of the cheese. The oxygen supplied by these vents allows the development of Penicillium roqueforti in the holes. This explains the very fine marbling (of a size between that of a wheat grain and a maize kernel), evenly distributed and blue-green in colour of the 'Bleu d'Auvergne'. The activity of the Penicillium roqueforti also contributes to forming the taste of the product. The salting by rubbing or sprinkling with salt over the entire surface of the cheese allows the draining to be completed and plays an important role in constructing the intense, typical taste of 'Bleu d'Auvergne'. Finally, the maturing in damp, aired cellars allows the development of the aromas characteristic of blue cheese, forest undergrowth and mushrooms of 'Bleu d'Auvergne'.
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