1. Conclusions and recommendations

1.1. This opinion refers to the proposal by the European Commission to amend Regulation (EU) 2015/757 on the monitoring, reporting and verification of carbon dioxide emissions from maritime transport (1) (the 'EU MRV Regulation'). In this respect, reference is made to the 2013 opinion by rapporteur Stefan Bach, NAT/616 for maritime transport emissions, concerning the proposed amendments for the 2015 MRV Regulation, which contains many important proposals, including Article 22 of the 2015 Regulation that was adopted by the Commission, according to which the Commission will, in the event of an international agreement on a global monitoring, reporting and verification system, review the EU MRV Regulation and, if appropriate, propose amendments in order to ensure alignment with that international agreement.

1.2. In fact, in 2016, the United Nations International Maritime Organization (IMO) Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC) adopted amendments to the MARPOL Convention establishing the legal framework for a global data collection system for fuel oil consumption of ships ('global UN IMO DCS'), according to which monitoring obligations began in January 2019.

1.3. The Commission stressed that a partial alignment of the two monitoring, reporting and verification systems could contribute to reducing the administrative burden for shipping companies and administrations, while preserving the key objectives of the EU MRV Regulation. To this effect, the Commission has proposed the limited alignment of the EU MRV Regulation to the global UN IMO DCS in relation to definitions, monitoring parameters, monitoring plans and templates.

1.4. The proposed changes to the EU MRV Regulation are as follows:

1.4.1. The reporting obligations in case of changes of company must take into account the parallel provisions of the global UN IMO DCS. This will ensure that the same legal entities monitor and report according to similarly calculated reporting periods.

1.4.2. The parameter 'cargo carried' is kept as a voluntary monitoring parameter for those companies willing to provide a calculation of their ships' average energy efficiency based on cargo carried.

1.4.3. The current parameter 'time at sea' is replaced by the global UN IMO DCS definition of 'hours underway'.

1.4.4. The calculation of 'distance travelled' should take as a basis the options retained under relevant UN IMO DCS guidelines.

---

1.4.5. The minimum content of monitoring plans should be streamlined so as to take into consideration the UN IMO ‘Guidelines for
the development of a Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan (SEEMP)’ except for those provisions which are necessary to ensure that
only EU-related data are monitored and reported under the EU MRV Regulation.

1.4.6. Ships’ CO₂ emissions within EU ports are also to be monitored and reported separately, so as to incentivise the use of available
measures for reduction of CO₂ emissions within EU ports and to raise further awareness of shipping emissions.

1.4.7. Current EU MRV provisions on verification of data by accredited third parties are to be kept so as to preserve the EU objective
of providing robust information that is comparable over time for further decision making at the EU or at the global level.

1.5. The remaining important issues with regard to achieving complete alignment with IMO DCS guidelines mainly concern verifica-
tion authorities and modalities, monitoring items, and publication of sensitive commercial data. The aim of a complete alignment
exercise of the EU MRV Regulation with the UN IMO DCS is to have global regulatory uniformity in order to minimise the additional
burden that has a large impact, in particular on small and medium-sized shipping companies, and avoid any dual reporting require-
ments under two different systems.

2. General comments

2.1. In the 2013 opinion (1), the EESC questioned the need for and the added value of the operational information and data that go
beyond the scope of fuel consumption and emissions, which is to be monitored and reported under the EU MRV Regulation and, in
particular, the need for information, as set out in Articles 9(d)-(g) concerning the monitoring of parameters on a per-voyage basis and
Article 10(g)-(j) concerning the monitoring of parameters on an annual basis, as well as Annex I concerning the monitoring of other
(relevant) information, as this information is commercially sensitive, and as questions may arise regarding the value of the availability
of this information in an aggregated form.

2.2. In general, with the current proposed changes to the EU MRV Regulation, the Commission keeps its stance with regard to the
publication of the collected data from individual ships. The proposed changes are technical adjustments representing technical correc-
tions at the level of parameterisation. As such, they do not represent a complete alignment of the EU MRV Regulation with the UN
IMO DCS, as requested by the UN IMO and the shipping industry in their public interventions, and important issues remain open.
These mainly concern verification authorities and modalities, monitoring items, and publication of sensitive commercial data.

2.3. In its assessment for the proposed amendments, the Commission should take into consideration the fact that the global ship-
ing industry is fully committed to reducing CO₂ emissions by at least 40 % by 2030, pursuing efforts towards 70 % by 2050, com-
pared to 2008, and to reduce GHG emissions by at least 50 % by 2050, compared to 2008, in conformity with the Paris Agreement
goals. The UN IMO adopted an Initial Strategy in April 2018, due to be revised in 2023, which provides the framework for the develop-
ment and adoption of concrete short-term CO₂ reduction measures as well as candidate mid- to long-term measures for achieving
appropriately reduced emissions within agreed timelines and implementation schedules, consistent with the UN IMO-agreed roadmap
for decarbonisation from now until 2050.

2.4. Given the number and content of submitted proposals by Member States and industry for consideration at the forthcoming UN
IMO deliberations, all stakeholders seem to be working hard to regulate energy efficiency of the world merchant fleet in a concrete
timeframe with precise information and data provided by the UN IMO DCS regarding CO₂ emissions from ships.

2.5. The EESC considers that the proposed partial alignment will lead to burdensome and ineffective double monitoring and
reporting requirements, since reporting obligations remain under two different systems: a regional (European) and a global (UN IMO)
one. As a result, ships will have to fulfil monitoring and reporting requirements under both the EU MRV Regulation and the global UN
IMO DCS. The EU and UN IMO reporting templates are different, which increases the workload, administrative burden and costs for
ships’ crews and maritime transport.

2.6. The complete alignment of the EU MRV Regulation with the UN IMO DCS would not only serve the purpose of creating an
internationally unified reliable data base for ships’ CO₂ emissions, but would also be in line with the better regulation agenda of the
European Commission, which aims for targeted regulation in order to achieve its objectives and bring benefits to the European econ-
omy at minimum cost. It will also ensure an international level playing field for the European fleet. The aim of a complete alignment
exercise of the EU MRV Regulation with the UN IMO DCS is to have global regulatory uniformity in order to minimise the additional
burden that has a large impact, in particular on small and medium-sized shipping companies, and avoid any dual reporting require-
ments under two different systems.

2.7. The EU MRV Regulation requires the Commission to publish the data received along with company and individual ship identifiers, so that it can be used by third parties. The purpose of the UN IMO regime is simply to establish the total CO₂ emissions of the international shipping sector in order to facilitate further policy decisions and consideration of additional GHG reduction measures. However, the Commission wishes to publish detailed (and commercially sensitive) data about individual ships visiting EU/EEA ports, which may be misused. This could result in the unfair penalisation of ships, and could also distort competition and bring major competitive disadvantages for EU shipowners in the global shipping market and for ships calling at EU ports.

2.8. It would be desirable to use the existing UN IMO mechanisms of the Flag State Administrations for enforcement and implementation, under a single and functional global fuel consumption data collection system. Under the UN IMO system, the information from ships submitted to the UN IMO via the flag state is anonymous to third parties.

3. Specific comments

3.1. The following technical points regarding the proposal should be noted. Under Article 21(f), the annual average fuel consumption and CO₂ emissions per distance travelled and cargo carried on voyages still have to be made publicly available by the European Commission. This seems to be in conflict with the new amended provision of the proposal in Article 9(1)(f) (new) stating that any monitoring (on a per voyage basis) of cargo carried shall be voluntary, as the proposed change to the cargo carried is only a voluntary monitoring item and as such is not aligned with the UN IMO DCS. In addition, despite the fact that the definition of Dead Weight Tonnage (DWT) of the ship has been included in Article 3(p) and Article 11(3)(a)(xi) of the proposal, it seems this metric is not integrated consistently throughout all articles of the proposal, and might be interpreted as a lack of trust on the part of the Commission in the efficiency of the UN IMO DCS.

3.2. The modifications in Article 11(2) and Article 3(d) of the proposal on the change of company are welcome since they now introduce the liability of the former owner, which was much needed for cases where a change of company has taken place within a reporting period. The definitions of ‘company’ and ‘reporting period’ and also the attribution of monitoring and reporting obligations in case of ‘changes of company’ are now more aligned with the UN IMO DCS parallel provisions. Nonetheless, the newly introduced description seems not to be precise enough to regulate the relation between an ‘old’ and ‘new’ company. In order to have maximum legal clarity, the new owner could be legally liable from the day when the completion of the change takes effect. The proposed Article 11(2) (new) could be amended as follows:

‘Where there is a change of company, the previous company shall submit to the Commission and to the authorities of the flag state concerned, as close as practical to the day of the completion of the change and no later than three months thereafter, a report covering the same elements as the emissions report but limited to the period corresponding to the activities carried out under its responsibility. The new company shall ensure that each ship complies with the requirements of this Regulation from the day of the completion of the change.’
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