



Action brought on 23 December 2025 – OZ v Commission

(Case T-892/25)

(C/2026/815)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: OZ (represented by: L. Levi and P. Baudoux, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the defendant's decision of 18 February 2025 in which it rejected the applicant's request for reconstitution of the applicant's career;
- If need be, annul the defendant's decision rejecting the applicant's complaint of 18 September 2025, notified to the applicant on the same day;
- Order the defendant to compensate the applicant for the moral prejudice and material prejudice suffered;
- Order the defendant to pay all the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on three pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging incompetency of the author who adopted the first disputed decision.
2. Second plea in law, alleging manifest error of appreciation.
3. Third plea in law, alleging breach of the principle to a sound administration – breach of the duty to provide reasons – breach of the duty of care and to act with diligence.
