

Appeal brought on 5 June 2026 by DP and DQ against the judgment of the General Court (Tenth Chamber) delivered on 25 March 2026 in Case T-183/24, DP et DQ v. EIOPA

(Case C-603/26 P)

(C/2026/3647)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellants: DP and DQ (represented by: N. Flandin, avocate)

Other party to the proceedings: European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA)

Form of order sought

The appellants claim that the Court should:

- annul the judgement under appeal;
- grant the appellants the benefit of their submissions at first instance and, consequently:
 - declare the appellants' action admissible;
 - declare the appellants' action well founded in so far as
 - the defendant has committed sufficiently serious breaches of rules of law and in particular of Article 339 of the TFEU and of the principle of good administration as enshrined by Article 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;
 - the applicants have suffered a non-material damage;
 - the damage suffered by the applicants results directly from the abovementioned illegalities.

Consequently:

- order the compensation of the damage suffered by the applicants;
- order the defendant to pay all the costs in first instance and on appeal.
or, if that is not possible
- refer the case back to the General Court for judgment, the costs of the appeal being paid in accordance with the rules laid down in Article 184 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice of the European Union.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the appellants rely on a single plea in law.

The General Court has committed an error of law concerning the breach of the principle of good administration not only in considering that the lack of competence does not fall within the scope of the principle of good administration, but also in excluding the fact that the appointment in itself of a non-competent external investigator constituted a violation of the principle of good administration.