



Action brought on 29 December 2025 – PJ v EIB

(Case T-913/25)

(C/2026/1229)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: PJ (represented by: L. Levi, lawyer)

Defendant: European Investment Bank

Form of order sought

The applicant claims the General Court should:

- declare the present action admissible and well-founded;
- annul the decision to appoint another candidate to the post of Head of the Health and Benefits Division of the EIB's Human Resources Directorate, published on 28 February 2025, and annul the implied decision not to select the applicant for that post;
- annul the decision of 25 September 2025 rejecting the applicant's administrative appeal of 26 April 2025;
- order the EIB to compensate the applicant for non-material and material damage;
- order the EIB to pay the entirety of the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on three pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging several procedural irregularities, a breach of the Guidelines on Internal Mobility and Promotions and of best practice in managerial selection panels, and a breach of the obligation to state reasons.
2. Second plea in law, alleging infringement of the vacancy notice and manifest errors of assessment.
3. Third plea in law, alleging a breach of the principle of non-discrimination on grounds of age discrimination.
