



C/2025/927

17.2.2025

Action brought on 23 December 2024 – GY v Commission

(Case T-671/24)

(C/2025/927)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: GY (represented by: N. de Montigny, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of PMO.01 of 18 January 2024 in which it refused to extend double dependent child allowance;
- annul, to the extent necessary, the decision of 7 October 2024 in response to the applicant's complaint;
- order the defendant to pay the applicant one euro in symbolic compensation in respect of the non-material damage resulting from the defendant's error in its management of the pre-contentious phase;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging a manifest error of assessment in so far as the file makes it clear that the applicant's son has a full-blown malabsorption syndrome as referred to in Article 56-1 of the European physical and mental disability rating scale for medical purposes. The applicant relies on internal inconsistencies, in so far as the opinions differ, despite there having been no change in his son's state of health, and on the fact that no specific and detailed examination was carried out and that the defendant's requirement regarding an examination of the scope of the tests carried out or to be carried out was improper.
2. Second plea in law, alleging a lack of reasoning in the decision and, principally, in the opinions of the medical officers on the basis of which the decision was adopted and, at the very least, reasoning that is inadequate for the purposes of understanding the reasons for that decision, which deviates from previous decisions.
