

Action brought on 20 June 2019 — Pisoni v Parliament**(Case T-375/19)**

(2019/C 270/40)

*Language of the case: Italian***Parties***Applicant:* Ferruccio Pisoni (Trento, Italy) (represented by: M. Paniz, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Parliament**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the communication of the European Parliament Directorate-General for Finance implementing Resolution No 14/2018 of 12 July 2018 of the Office of the President of the Italian Chamber of Deputies and/or Resolution No 6/2018 of the Presidential Council of the Senate of the Italian Republic, and, in any event,
- annul the redetermination and recalculation of the life annuity disbursed by the European Parliament;
- accordingly, declare that the applicant is entitled to the maintenance of the life annuity in question in so far as it was accrued and is being accrued on the basis of the legislation in force prior to Resolution No 14/2018 of the Office of the President of the Italian Chamber [of Deputies] and/or Resolution No 6/2018 of the Presidential Council of the Senate of the Italian Republic, and order the European Parliament to pay him all the sums unduly withheld, adjusted for inflation, together with statutory interest from the date of withholding until the date of payment;
- order the European Parliament to implement the judgment and immediately to restore in full the original amount of the life annuity, and to pay compensation for all damages if and to the extent that damages are payable to the applicant; and,
- in any event, order the Parliament to pay all costs, lawyers' fees, plus VAT, taxes, duties and flat-rate charges.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those raised in Case T-345/19, *Santini v Parliament*

Action brought on 21 June 2019 — Topcart v EUIPO — Carl International (TC CARL)**(Case T-377/19)**

(2019/C 270/41)

*Language in which the application was lodged: German***Parties***Applicant:* Topcart GmbH (Wiesbaden, Germany) (represented by: M. Gail, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Carl International (Limonest, France)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant for the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: Application for EU word mark TC CARL — Application No 14 957 542

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 2 April 2019 in Case R 1826/2018-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 60(1)(a), in conjunction with Article 8(1)(b), of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 21 June 2019 — Topcart v EUIPO — Carl International (TC CARL)

(Case T-378/19)

(2019/C 270/42)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: Topcart GmbH (Wiesbaden, Germany) (represented by: M. Gail, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Carl International (Limonest, France)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant for the trade mark at issue: Applicant