

4. Fourth plea in law, alleging that the SRB has breached its obligation to provide reasons for the contested decision, contrary to Article 296 TFEU.

⁽¹⁾ Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 July 2014 establishing uniform rules and a uniform procedure for the resolution of credit institutions and certain investment firms in the framework of a Single Resolution Mechanism and a Single Resolution Fund and amending Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 (OJ 2014 L 225, p. 1).

Action brought on 4 January 2019 — Clatronic International/EUIPO (PROFI CARE)

(Case T-5/19)

(2019/C 82/73)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Clatronic International GmbH (Kempen, Germany) (represented by: O. Löffel, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: International registration designating the European Union in respect of the figurative sign PROFICARE — Application for registration No 1 372 358

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 15 October 2018 in Case R 504/2018-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 94(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 11 January 2019 — Fastweb v Commission

(Case T-19/19)

(2019/C 82/74)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Fastweb SpA (Milan, Italy) (represented by: M. Merola, L. Armati, A. Guarino and E. Cerchi, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission