

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant claims, inter alia, that the Commission has breached its obligation to monitor through suitable measures the activities of ECOCERT SA as a recognised supervising authority for products from organic production for the purposes of ensuring equivalence in China, and thereby to ensure satisfactory compliance with the conditions laid down by Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007. ⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ Commission Regulation (EC) No 1235/2008 of 8 December 2008 laying down detailed rules for implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 as regards the arrangements for imports of organic products from third countries (OJ 2008 L 334, p. 25).

⁽²⁾ Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 of 28 June 2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 2092/91 (OJ 2009 L 189, p. 1).

Action brought on 24 September 2018 — Local-e-motion v EUIPO — Volkswagen (WE)**(Case T-568/18)**

(2018/C 427/121)

*Language in which the application was lodged: German***Parties**

Applicant: Local-e-motion GmbH (Dorsten, Germany) (represented by: D. Sprenger, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Volkswagen AG (Wolfsburg, Germany)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant for the mark at issue: Applicant

Mark at issue: Application for EU figurative mark WE with the colour indication 'black, red and grey' — Application for registration No 15 225 675

Proceedings before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 12 July 2018 in Case R 128/2018-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- amend the contested decision by rejecting the opposition;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.
-