

Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of the Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 21 June 2018 — Nonnemacher v EUIPO — Ingram (WKU)**(Case T-389/18)**

(2018/C 285/58)

*Language in which the application was lodged: German***Parties**

Applicant: Klaus Nonnemacher (Karlsruhe, Germany) (represented by: C. Zierhut, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Paul Ingram (Birmingham, United Kingdom)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: EU word mark WKU — EU trade mark No 11 482 841

Procedure before EUIPO: Proceedings for a declaration of invalidity

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 17 April 2018 in Case R 399/2017-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;

— Infringement of Article 61 of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 21 June 2018 — Nonnemacher v EUIPO — Ingram (WKU WORLD KICKBOXING AND KARATE UNION)**(Case T-390/18)**

(2018/C 285/59)

*Language in which the application was lodged: German***Parties**

Applicant: Klaus Nonnemacher (Karlsruhe, Germany) (represented by: C. Zierhut, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Paul Ingram (Birmingham, United Kingdom)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: EU figurative mark WKU WORLD KICKBOXING AND KARATE UNION — EU trade mark No 11 523 958

Procedure before EUIPO: Proceedings for a declaration of invalidity

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 17 April 2018 in Case R 409/2017-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 61 of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 28 June 2018 — Innocenti v EUIPO — Gemelli (Innocenti)

(Case T-392/18)

(2018/C 285/60)

Language in which the application was lodged: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Innocenti SA (Lugano, Switzerland) (represented by: N. Ferretti, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Filippo Gemelli (Turin, Italy)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark 'Innocenti' – Application for registration No 7 502 181

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 19 April 2018 in Case R 2336/2010-5