

— order EUIPO to pay all the costs.

Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 11 June 2018 — Arçelik v EUIPO (MicroGarden)

(Case T-364/18)

(2018/C 268/58)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Arçelik AS (Istanbul, Turquie) (represented by: A. Franke, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union word mark MicroGarden — Application for registration No 16 971 988

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 4 April 2018 in Case R 163/2018-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 19 June 2018 — ABB v EUIPO (FLEXLOADER)

(Case T-373/18)

(2018/C 268/59)

Language in which the application was brought: German

Parties

Applicant: ABB AB (Västerås, Sweden) (represented by: M. Hartmann and S. Fröhlich, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)