

— order the defendant to reimburse the applicant's costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on a plea in law to the effect that the defendant failed to provide him with proper and clear information about his social security rights in the event of his refusal to sign the contract renewal. The applicant argues that he was not in a position to know that his refusal to sign the contract would be treated as resignation. Thus, the applicant was deprived of information and the defendant breached its duty of care and the principle of good administration enshrined in Article 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.

Action brought on 27 April 2018 — Julius-K9 v EUIPO — El Corte Inglés (K9 UNIT)

(Case T-276/18)

(2018/C 231/51)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Julius-K9 Zrt (Szigetszentmiklós, Hungary) (represented by: G. Jambrik, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: El Corte Inglés, SA (Madrid, Spain)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: EU figurative mark K9 UNIT — Application for registration No 14 590 831

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 22 February 2018 in Case R 1432/2017-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— annul the contested decision;

— order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 2017/1001.

Action brought on 4 May 2018 — Zitro IP v EUIPO (PICK & WIN MULTISLOT)

(Case T-277/18)

(2018/C 231/52)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Zitro IP Sàrl (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: A. Canela Giménez, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: EU figurative mark PICK & WIN MULTISLOT — Application for registration No 16 071 946

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 14 March 2018 in Case R 978/2017-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 2017/1001.

Action brought on 30 April 2018 — Alliance Pharmaceuticals v EUIPO — AxiCorp (AXICORP ALLIANCE)

(Case T-279/18)

(2018/C 231/53)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Alliance Pharmaceuticals Ltd (Wiltshire, United Kingdom) (represented by: M. Edenborough, QC)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: AxiCorp GmbH (Friedrichsdorf/Ts, Germany)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: International registration designating the European Union in respect of the mark AXICORP ALLIANCE — International registration designating the European Union No 1 072 913

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 7 February 2018 in Case R 1473/2017-5

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- in the alternative, the contested decision of the Fifth Board be altered to state that the opposition should be remitted to the Opposition Division for it to reconsider the opposition pursuant to Articles 8(1)(b) and 8(5) in addition to reconsidering the opposition pursuant to Article 8(4);