

Action brought on 27 April 2018 — Gruppo Armonie v EUIPO (mo.da)**(Case T-264/18)**

(2018/C 221/42)

*Language of the case: Italian***Parties***Applicant:* Gruppo Armonie SpA (Casalgrande, Italy) (represented by: G. Medri, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Trade mark at issue:* European Union figurative mark containing the word element mo.da — Application for registration No 16 430 035*Contested decision:* Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 20 February 2018 in Case R 2065/2017-5**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— annul the contested decision.

Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation 2017/1001.

Action brought on 27 April 2018 — EBM Technologies v EUIPO (MobiPACS)**(Case T-272/18)**

(2018/C 221/43)

*Language of the case: German***Parties***Applicant:* EBM Technologies Inc. (Taipei City, Taiwan) (represented by: J. Liesegang, M. Jost and N. Lang, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Trade mark at issue:* EU word mark 'MobiPACS' — Application for registration No 16 400 061*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 19 February 2018 in Case R 2145/2017-2**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— annul the contested decision;