

3. Third plea in law, alleging infringement of the right to privacy, the right of property and the principle of proportionality.
4. Fourth plea in law, alleging that the provisions of Articles 3(2)(b) of Council Decision 2010/788/CFSP of 20 December 2010 concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo and repealing Common Position 2008/369/CFSP (OJ 2010 L 336, p. 30), as amended by Council Decision 2016/2231/CFSP of 12 December 2016 amending Decision 2010/788/CFSP of 20 December 2010 concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo (OJ 2016 L 336, p. 7), and 2b(1)(b) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005 of 18 July 2005 imposing certain specific restrictive measures directed against persons acting in violation of the arms embargo with regard to the Democratic Republic of the Congo (OJ 2005 L 193, p. 1) are unlawful.

---

**Action brought on 8 March 2018 — Kampete v Council**

**(Case T-164/18)**

(2018/C 161/72)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Ilunga Kampete (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, M. Forgeois and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union

**Form of order sought**

- Annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2017/2282 of 11 December 2017 amending Decision 2010/788/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo, in so far as the applicant remains at No 1 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP and No 1 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;
- Rule that the provisions of Articles 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP, as amended by Decision 2016/2231/CFSP, and 2b(1)(b) of Regulation [No] 1183/2005/EC are unlawful;
- Order the Council to pay the costs.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law, which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-163/18, *Amisi Kumba v Council*.

---

**Action brought on 8 March 2018 — Kahimbi Kasagwe v Council**

**(Case T-165/18)**

(2018/C 161/73)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Delphin Kahimbi Kasagwe (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, M. Forgeois and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union

**Form of order sought**

- Annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2017/2282 of 11 December 2017 amending Decision 2010/788/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo, in so far as the applicant remains at No 7 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP and No 7 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;
- Rule that the provisions of Articles 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP, as amended by Decision 2016/2231/CFSP, and 2b(1)(b) of Regulation [No] 1183/2005/EC are unlawful;
- Order the Council to pay the costs.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law, which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-163/18, *Amisi Kumba v Council*.

---

**Action brought on 8 March 2018 — Ilunga Luyoyo v Council****(Case T-166/18)**

(2018/C 161/74)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

*Applicant:* Ferdinand Ilunga Kampete (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, M. Forgeois and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union

**Form of order sought**

- Annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2017/2282 of 11 December 2017 amending Decision 2010/788/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo, in so far as the applicant remains at No 3 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP and No 3 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;
- Rule that the provisions of Articles 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP, as amended by Decision 2016/2231/CFSP, and 2b(1)(b) of Regulation [No] 1183/2005/EC are unlawful;
- Order the Council to pay the costs.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law, which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-163/18, *Amisi Kumba v Council*.

---