

Action brought on 22 February 2018 — Miles-Bramwell Executive Services v EUIPO (FREE)**(Case T-113/18)**

(2018/C 152/52)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* Miles-Bramwell Executive Services Ltd (Alfreton, United Kingdom) (represented by: J. Mellor, QC)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Trade mark at issue:* EU word mark FREE — Application for registration No 15 083 091*Contested decision:* Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 27 November 2017 in Case R 2164/2016-1**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 2017/1001;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation No 2017/1001.

Action brought on 22 February 2018 — Miles-Bramwell Executive Services v EUIPO (FREE)**(Case T-114/18)**

(2018/C 152/53)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* Miles-Bramwell Executive Services Ltd (Alfreton, United Kingdom) (represented by: J. Mellor, QC)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Trade mark at issue:* EU word mark FREE — Application for registration No 15 081 508*Contested decision:* Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 27 November 2017 in Case R 2166/2016-1**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;

— order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 2017/1001;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation No 2017/1001.

Action brought on 27 February 2018 — Darmanin v EASO

(Case T-116/18)

(2018/C 152/54)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Joanna Darmanin (Sliema, Malta) (represented by: N. De Montigny, lawyer)

Defendant: European Asylum Support Office

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the Authority Empowered to Conclude Contracts (Executive Director) of 27 June 2017 by which the applicant was dismissed at the end of the probation period, from 15 July 2017;
- in so far as necessary, annul the express decision rejecting the complaint of 29 January 2018;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on seven pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging infringement of Article 14 of the Conditions of Employment of Other Servants ('the CEOS') and of the Guide applicable to the procedure for appraising trainees at the European Asylum Support Office (EASO).
2. Second plea in law, alleging infringement of Article 43 of the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Union ('the Staff Regulations') and of the decision of the Management Board of EASO of 18 January 2016 implementing Articles 43 and 44 of the Staff Regulations for temporary staff.
3. Third plea in law, alleging infringement of Decision No 11 of the Management Board of EASO of 4 July 2012 on middle management staff.
4. Fourth plea in law, alleging infringement of the principles of good administration and legal certainty.
5. Fifth plea in law, alleging, in the alternative, a plea of illegality due to infringement of the principle of equal treatment and infringement of the effective right to be heard.