

3. Third plea in law, alleging that the Council committed a manifest error of assessment as concerns the facts on which the restrictive measures are based.
4. Fourth plea in law, alleging that the restrictive measures constitute unlawful countermeasures under customary international law.

---

**Action brought on 29 January 2018 — Tsapakidou v Court of Justice**

**(Case T-66/18)**

(2018/C 134/35)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Argyro Tsapakidou (Berlin, Germany) (represented by: E. Kleani, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Court of Justice of the European Union

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the Court of Justice of 23 November 2017 (reference 20173939) rejecting the applicant's tender submitted in respect of the freelance translator contract notice 2017/S 002-001564 for the Greek language;
- order the defendant to bear the costs of the proceedings.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant maintains that the contested decision breaches the general principles of EU law, according to which administrative acts must be sufficiently justified and state the principles on which they are based. It fails to meet these criteria. The applicant argues, in particular, that the justification provided by the defendant was insufficient in light of Article 4.3.1. of the tender specifications. Moreover, the information provided to the applicant did not enable her to assess the validity of the result obtained in the test translation in question. She lacked information regarding the evaluation guidelines or criteria on the basis of which the contested decision was adopted.

---

**Action brought on 9 February 2018 — CN v Parliament**

**(Case T-76/18)**

(2018/C 134/36)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* CN (represented by: C. Bernard-Glanz and A. Tymen, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Parliament

**Form of order sought**

- Declare this application admissible;
- Order the defendant to produce the findings of the APA Committee, the minutes of the testimony of the witnesses heard by the APA Committee and the file sent to the President of the European Parliament under Article 10 of the internal rules of the APA Committee;