

2. Does the applicability of Article 132(1)(c) of Council Directive 2006/112/EC of 28 November 2006 on the common system of value added tax — if this provision is applicable — require that a confidential relationship exist between the doctor and the person being treated?

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2006 L 347, p. 1.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Verwaltungsgerichtshof (Austria) lodged on 28 December 2017 — Mohammed Bilali

(Case C-720/17)

(2018/C 104/20)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Verwaltungsgerichtshof

Parties to the main proceedings

Appellant on a point of law: Mohammed Bilali

Respondent authority: Bundesamt für Fremdenwesen und Asyl

Question referred

Do the provisions of EU law, in particular Article 19(3) of Directive 2011/95/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 ⁽¹⁾ (the Qualification Directive), preclude a national provision of a Member State concerning the possibility of revocation of subsidiary protection status pursuant to which subsidiary protection status may be revoked without a change in the factual circumstances themselves which are relevant for the purpose of granting that status, but rather only where the state of knowledge of the authority in this regard has undergone a change, and, in that context, without either a misrepresentation or an omission of facts on the part of the third-country national or stateless person having been a determinant factor in the granting of the subsidiary protection status?

⁽¹⁾ Directive 2011/95/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on standards for the qualification of third-country nationals or stateless persons as beneficiaries of international protection, for a uniform status for refugees or for persons eligible for subsidiary protection, and for the content of the protection granted (OJ 2011 L 337, p. 9).

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Rechtbank van eerste aanleg te Brussel (Belgium) lodged on 29 December 2017 — Lies Craeynest and Others v Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest and Brussels Instituut voor Milieubeheer; other party: Belgische Staat

(Case C-723/17)

(2018/C 104/21)

Language of the case: Dutch

Referring court

Rechtbank van eerste aanleg te Brussel

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicants: Lies Craeynest, Cristina Lopez Devaux, Frédéric Mertens, Stefan Vandermeulen, Karin De Schepper, ClientEarth

Defendants: Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest and Brussels Instituut voor Milieubeheer

Other party: Belgische Staat