

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 48(4) of Regulation No 2100/94;
- Infringement of Article 7 of Regulation No 2100/94 and of the rules on the burden of proof and the taking of evidence.

Action brought on 27 July 2015 — Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Lines a.o./Council**(Case T-434/15)**

(2015/C 328/19)

*Language of the case: English***Parties**

Applicants: Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Lines (Tehran, Iran); Hafize Darya Shipping Lines (HDSL) (Tehran); Safiran Payam Darya Shipping Lines (SAPID) (Tehran); Khazar Sea Shipping Lines (Anzali Free Zone, Iran); Rahbaran Omid Darya Ship Management Co. (Tehran); Irinvestship Ltd (London, United Kingdom); and IRISL Europe GmbH (Hambourg, Germany) (represented by: M. Taher, Solicitor, M. Malek, QC, and R. Blakeley, Barrister).

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- order the Council to pay the applicants compensation, for an amount to be determined in the course of the present proceedings, but not less than USD 571 040 504, together with interest; and
- order the Council to pay the applicants' costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicants rely on one plea in law, alleging that the EU Council's imposition of restrictive measures on the applicants was a sufficiently serious breach of obligations intended to confer rights upon the Applicants and accordingly the non-contractual liability of the EU is engaged. This breach was the direct cause of significant material and non-material harm to the applicants for which they are entitled to compensation.

Action brought on 29 July 2015 — Kolachi Raj Industrial/Commission**(Case T-435/15)**

(2015/C 328/20)

*Language of the case: English***Parties**

Applicant: Kolachi Raj Industrial (Private) Ltd (Karachi, Pakistan) (represented by: P. Bentley, QC)

Defendant: European Commission