



## Reports of Cases

**Order of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 3 February 2015 —**

**Equitalia Nord**

**(Case C-68/14) <sup>11</sup>**

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Articles 106 TFEU and 107 TFEU — Competition — Concept of ‘State aid’ — National legislation — Use of buildings for institutional purposes — Rent reduction — Factual and regulatory background to the dispute in the main proceedings — Lack of sufficient information — Need for a reply to the questions referred — Lack of information — Manifest inadmissibility)

*Questions referred for a preliminary ruling — Admissibility — Reference giving no details of the relevant facts or legislation and not setting out the reasons for making that reference to the Court of Justice — Manifest inadmissibility (Art. 267 TFEU; Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 23; Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Art. 53(2) and 94) (see paras 13-17, operative part)*

### **Operative part**

The request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale ordinario di Aosta (Italy), by decision of 12 December 2013, is manifestly inadmissible.

<sup>1</sup> — OJ C 102, 7.4.2014.