

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: P. Sipos, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Miguel Torres, SA (Vilafranca del Penedés, Spain) (represented by: J. Güell Serra, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 1 December 2016 (Case R 2018/2015-2), relating to opposition proceedings between Miguel Torres and Cantina e oleificio sociale di San Marzano.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Cantina e oleificio sociale di San Marzano to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 121, 18.4.2017.

Order of the General Court of 22 January 2018 — Italy and Others v Commission

(Joined Cases T-125/13, T-152/13 and T-167/13) ⁽¹⁾

(State aid — Groundhandling services — Capital injections provided by SEA in favour of Sea Handling — Decision declaring the aid incompatible with the internal market and ordering its recovery — Partial removal from the register — Withdrawal — No need to adjudicate in part — Removal from the register of undertakings)

(2018/C 104/52)

Language of the cases: Italian

Parties

Applicant in Case T-125/13: Italian Republic (represented by: G. Palmieri, Agent, and S. Fiorentino, avvocato dello Stato)

Applicant in Case T-152/13: Sea Handling SpA (Somma Lombardo, Italy) (represented initially by B. Nascimbene, F. Rossi dal Pozzo, M. Merola and L. Cappelletti, and subsequently by B. Nascimbene, F. Rossi dal Pozzo and M. Merola, lawyers)

Applicant in Case T-167/13: Comune di Milano (Italy) (represented initially by S. Grassani and A. Franchi, and subsequently by S. Grassani, lawyers)

Interveners in support of the applicant in Case T-152/13: Società per azioni esercizi aeroportuali (SEA) (Segrate, Italy) (represented by: M. Merola, B. Nascimbene, F. Rossi dal Pozzo and M.C. Toniolo, lawyers) and Comune di Milano (Italy) (represented initially by S. Grassani and A. Franchi, and subsequently by S. Grassani, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: G. Conte and D. Grespan, Agents)

Re:

Application pursuant to Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of Commission Decision (EU) 2015/1225 of 19 December 2012 regarding injections of capital by SEA SpA into Sea Handling SpA (Case SA.21420 (C 14/10) (ex NN 25/10) (ex CP 175/06)) (OJ 2015 L 201, p. 1).

Operative part of the order

1. Cases T-125/13, T-152/13 and T-167/13 are disjoined for the purposes of the oral part of the procedure and of the decision closing the proceedings.
2. Case T-125/13 is removed from the register of the General Court.
3. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action brought by Sea Handling SpA in Case T-152/13.
4. In Case T-125/13, the Italian Republic and the European Commission shall bear their own costs.
5. In Case T-152/13, Sea Handling and the Commission shall bear their own costs, including those in relation to the interlocutory proceedings. Società per azioni esercizi aeroportuali (SEA) and the Comune di Milano (Italy) shall bear their own costs in relation to Case T-152/13.
6. In Case T-167/13, the costs are reserved.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 114, 20.4.2013.

Order of the General Court of 23 January 2018 — QG v Commission

(Case T-845/16) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — State aid — Aid granted by the Spanish authorities to certain professional football clubs — Preferential tax rate applied in connection with corporate tax — Decision declaring the aid to be incompatible with the internal market — No interest in bringing proceedings — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2018/C 104/53)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: QG (represented by: L. Ruiz Ezquerro, R. Oncina Borrego, I. Sobrepera Millet and A. Hernández Pardo, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: G. Luengo, B. Stromsky and P. Němečková, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application pursuant to Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of Commission Decision C(2016) 4046 final of 4 July 2016 on the State aid SA.29769 (2013/C) (ex 2013/NN) implemented by Spain for certain football clubs.

Operative part of the order

1. The application for a decision that there is no need to adjudicate is dismissed.
2. The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.
3. There is no longer any need to rule on the applications to intervene submitted by the Kingdom of Spain and Fútbol Club Barcelona.
4. QG shall pay the costs.