

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Oberster Gerichtshof (Austria) lodged on 10 January 2018 — Eva Glawischnig-Piesczek v Facebook Ireland Limited**

**(Case C-18/18)**

(2018/C 104/26)

*Language of the case: German*

**Referring court**

Oberster Gerichtshof

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* Eva Glawischnig-Piesczek

*Defendant:* Facebook Ireland Limited

**Questions referred**

1. Does Article 15(1) of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market ('Directive on electronic commerce')<sup>(1)</sup> generally preclude any of the obligations listed below of a host provider which has not expeditiously removed illegal information, specifically not just this illegal information within the meaning of Article 14 (1)(a) of the Directive, but also other identically worded items of information:
  - a.a. worldwide?
  - a.b. in the relevant Member State?
  - a.c. of the relevant user worldwide?
  - a.d. of the relevant user in the relevant Member State?
2. In so far as Question 1 is answered in the negative: Does this also apply in each case for information with an equivalent meaning?
3. Does this also apply for information with an equivalent meaning as soon as the operator has become aware of this circumstance?

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ 2000 L 178, p. 1.

---

**Action brought on 9 January 2018 — European Commission v Grand Duchy of Luxembourg**

**(Case C-20/18)**

(2018/C 104/27)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* European Commission (represented by: J. Hottiaux, J. Samnadda and G. von Rintelen, acting as Agents)

*Defendant:* Grand Duchy of Luxembourg