

**Judgment of the General Court of 13 December 2017 — Coedo Suárez v Council**(Case T-4/17) <sup>(1)</sup>**(Civil service — Civil servants — Automatic retirement — Application for recognition of the occupational origin of the invalidity — Classification of the claim — Reasonable period — Irregularity of the prior administrative procedure — Inadmissibility — Liability)**

(2018/C 042/34)

Language of the case: French

**Parties***Applicant:* Ángel Coedo Suárez (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: S. Rodrigues and C. Bernard-Glanz, lawyers)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: M. Bauer and R. Meyer, acting as Agents)**Re:**

Application based on Article 270 TFEU and seeking, first, annulment of the Council decision of 4 March 2016 refusing to recognise the occupational origin of the applicant's invalidity and, second, compensation for the damage allegedly suffered by the applicant.

**Operative part of the judgment***The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Mr Ángel Coedo Suárez to pay the costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 78, 13.3.2017.

---

**Order of the General Court of 28 November 2017 — Staelen v Ombudsman**(Case T-217/11 REV) <sup>(1)</sup>**(Non-contractual liability — Application for revision — Consequences of a subsequent judgment of the Court of Justice partially setting aside a judgment of the General Court — No new facts — Inadmissibility)**

(2018/C 042/35)

Language of the case: French

**Parties***Applicant:* Claire Staelen (Bridel, Luxembourg) (represented by: V. Olona, lawyer)*Other party to the proceedings:* European Ombudsman (represented by: initially G. Grill, then L. Papadias, acting as Agents, and A. Duron and D. Waelbroeck, lawyers)**Re:**

Application for revision of the judgment of 29 April 2015, *Staelen v Ombudsman* (T-217/11, EU:T:2015:238)

**Operative part of the order**

1. The application for revision is dismissed as inadmissible.
2. Ms Claire Staelen is ordered to pay the costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 204, 9.7.2011.