

Judgment of the General Court of 12 July 2017 — Estonia v Commission(Case T-157/15) ⁽¹⁾**(EAGF and EAFRD — Expenditure excluded from financing — Expenditure incurred by Estonia — Cross compliance — Obligation to state reasons — Proportionality — Principle of sound administration — Legal certainty)**

(2017/C 283/48)

Language of the case: Estonian

Parties*Applicant:* Republic of Estonia (represented initially by K. Kraavi-Käerdi, subsequently by N. Grünberg, acting as Agents)*Defendant:* Commission (represented by: A. Sauka and E. Randvere, acting as Agents)**Re:**

Application based on Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/103 of 16 January 2015 excluding from European Union financing certain expenditure incurred by the Member States under the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) (OJ 2015 L 16, p. 33) to the extent that it concerns the expenditure incurred by the Republic of Estonia amounting to EUR 691 746,53.

Operative part of the judgment*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders the Republic of Estonia to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 190, 8.6.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 20 July 2017 — Diesel v EUIPO — Sprinter megacentros del deporte (Representation of a curved and angled line)(Case T-521/15) ⁽¹⁾**(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for an EU figurative mark representing a curved and angled line — Earlier EU figurative mark representing a capital letter 'D' — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)**

(2017/C 283/49)

Language of the case: English

Parties*Applicant:* Diesel SpA (Breganze, Italy) (represented by: A. Gaul, M. Frank, A. Parassina and K. Dani, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Ivanauskas and A. Folliard-Monguiral, acting as Agents)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court:* Sprinter megacentros del deporte, SL (Elche, Spain) (represented by: S. Malynicz QC)**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 18 June 2015 (Case R 3291/2014-2), relating to opposition proceedings between Diesel and Sprinter megacentros del deporte.