

Action brought on 31 May 2017 — Hotelbeds Spain v EUIPO — Guidigo Europe (Guidigo what to do next)**(Case T-346/17)**

(2017/C 239/78)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English***Parties***Applicant:* Hotelbeds Spain, SL (Palma de Mallorca, Spain) (represented by: L. Broschat García, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Guidigo Europe SARL (Paris, France)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Applicant:* Applicant*Trade mark at issue:* EU figurative mark containing the words elements 'Guidigo what to do next' — Application for registration No 12 944 898*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings*Contested decision:* Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 21 March 2017 in Case R 449/2016-4**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- grant the European Union trade mark application No 12 944 898, in classes 39, 41 and 43.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 1 June 2017 — Singapore Airlines and Singapore Airlines Cargo v Commission**(Case T-350/17)**

(2017/C 239/79)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicants:* Singapore Airlines Ltd (Singapore, Singapore) and Singapore Airlines Cargo Pte Ltd (Singapore) (represented by: J. Kallaughner and J. Poitras, Solicitors and J. Ruiz Calzado, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Commission**Form of order sought**

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- annul Commission Decision C(2017) 1742 final of 17 March 2017 relating to a proceeding under Article 101 TFEU, Article 53 of the EEA Agreement and Article 8 of the Agreement between the European Community and the Swiss Confederation on Air Transport (Case AT.39258 — Airfreight), in whole or in part;
- further, or in the alternative, substantially reduce the amount of the fine imposed on the applicants;
- order the Commission to pay the costs; and