# Judgment of the General Court of 7 March 2017 — Neka Novin v Council

(Case T-436/14) (1)

(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures taken against Iran with the aim of preventing nuclear proliferation — Freezing of funds — Maintenance of the applicant's name on the list of persons concerned — Error of law — Manifest error of assessment — Proportionality)

(2017/C 121/35)

Language of the case: French

### **Parties**

Applicant: Neka Novin Co., Private Joint Stock (Tehran, Iran) (represented by: L. Vidal, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: A. Vitro and M. Bishop, acting as Agents)

#### Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU and seeking the annulment of the decision of the Council to maintain the applicant's name on the list in Annex II to Council Decision 2010/413/CFSP of 26 July 2010 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Common Position 2007/140/CFSP (OJ 2010 L 195, p. 39), as amended by Council Decision 2011/299/CFSP of 23 May 2011 (OJ 2011 L 136, p. 65), and on the list in Annex IX to Council Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 of 23 March 2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 (OJ 2012 L 88, p. 1), as communicated by a notice of 15 March 2014.

## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Neka Novin Co., Private Joint Stock to bear its own costs and to pay half of those incurred by the Council of the European Union;
- 3. Orders the Council to bear half of its own costs.

(1) OJ C 253, 4.8.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 1 March 2017 — Universiteit Antwerpen v REA

(Case T-208/15) (1)

(Arbitration clause — Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2007-2013) — Marie Curie actions — Early-stage researchers — Call for proposals FP7-People-ITN-2008 — Grant agreements — Eligible costs — Recovery of sums paid — Concept of hosting researchers — Proportionality)

(2017/C 121/36)

Language of the case: English

## **Parties**

Applicant: Universiteit Antwerpen (Antwerp, Belgium) (represented by: P. Teerlinck and P. de Bandt, lawyers)

Defendant: Research Executive Agency (REA) (represented by: S. Payan-Lagrou and V. Canetti, acting as Agents, and by D. Waelbroeck and A. Duron, lawyers)