

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision No 11084 C of the Cancellation Division and the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and Sky International to pay the costs of the proceedings both before the General Court and EUIPO.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of EU law and of substantial procedural measures of EU law;
- Infringement of principles of good and sound administration;
- Infringement of Rule 45(5) of Regulation No 2868/95.

Action brought on 25 January 2017 — VR-Bank Rhein-Sieg v SRB**(Case T-42/17)**

(2017/C 078/52)

*Language of the case: German***Parties**

Applicant: VR-Bank Rhein-Sieg eG (Siegburg, Germany) (represented by: H. Berger and K. Rübsamen, lawyers)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board (SRB)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the Single Resolution Board of 15 April 2016 on the ex-ante contributions to the Single Resolution Fund for 2016 (SRB/ES/SRF/2016/06) and the decision of the Single Resolution Board of 20 May 2016 on the adjustment of the ex-ante contributions to the Single Resolution Fund for 2016, supplementing the decision of the Board of 15 April 2016 on the ex-ante contributions to the Single Resolution Fund for 2016 (SRB/ES/SRF/2016/13), in so far as the contested decisions affect the applicant's contribution;
- order the defendant to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law which are essentially identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-14/17, *Landesbank Baden-Württemberg v SRB*.

Action brought on 20 January 2017 — Kwang Yang Motor v EUIPO — Schmidt (CK1)**(Case T-45/17)**

(2017/C 078/53)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English***Parties**

Applicant: Kwang Yang Motor Co., Ltd (Kaohsiung, Taiwan) (represented by: A. González Hähnlein and A. Kleinheyer, lawyers)